1	NEW YORK STATI	E SENATE	
2	STANDING COMMITTEE ON INVESTIGATIONS AND GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS		
3		ic Hearing in th	he Matter to
4		_	
5	Investigate New York State's Attempt to Collect Taxes Generated by Native Americans both on Indian Reservations and over the		
6		net to Non-Nati	
7			
8			Richard Harris Terrace Borough of Manhatten
9			Community College 199 Chambers Street New York, N.Y.
10			•
11			October 27, 2009 Tuesday 10:30
12			
13	PRESIDING:	Senator Craig N Chair	M. Johnson
14		Senator George	H. Winner
15		Senator Michael	l Nozziolo
16		Senator Jeffre	y Klein
17		Senator Martin	Golden
18		Senator Joseph	A. Griffo
19		Senator Ruben 1	Diaz
20		Senator Eric Ad	dams
21		Senator George	D. Maziarz
22	_		
23	Also Present:	Roger Adler Counsel	

1	INDEX OF SPEAKERS	
2	WILLIAM COMISKEY, ESQ	7
3	STEVE ROSENTHAL	65
4		00
5	J.C. SENECA	178
6	ROB PORTER, ESQ	178
7	102 1011211, 202.	_, 0
8	PETER J. KIERNAN, ESQ,	96
9	DAVID DRESSER	264
10	DIVID DIEGOEIX	201
11	S. JOHN COMPANIE, ESQ	264
12	HONODADIE DEMED CAME	265
13	HONORABLE PETER SAME	
14	ERIC PROSHANSKY, ESQ	292
15	JIM RANSOM	308
16	OIM RANSOM	300
17	RUSSELL SCIANDRA	314
18	DODIN VITALE	314
19	ROBIN VITALE	314
20	MICHAEL SEILBACK	314
21	TAMEC CALVIN	210
22	JAMES CALVIN	319
23		

2	KEVEN BEYER	319
3	SANDY POPE	319
4	RUSSELL LACOSTE	319
6	JACK RUGEN	319
7	JAMES WOOD	319
9	HONORABLE MICHAEL BENJAMIN	284
10 11	JAMES F. SIMERMEYER, ESQ	330
12	DAVID JACOBS	330
13 14	AMBASSADOR DOUGLAS S. ANDERSON	330
15	JED MOREY	330
16		
17 18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23 24		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	SENATOR JOHNSON: Good morning,
3	ladies and gentlemen and on behalf of the
4	New York State Senate Standing Committee or
5	Investigations in Government Operations, I
6	would like to thank every one of you for
7	taking time out of your busy lives to
8	actively participate in the legislative
9	process.
10	My name is State Senator Craig Johnson
11	and I am privileged to serve as the
12	Committee Chair.
13	Joining me this morning are ranking
14	member George Winner, along with committee
15	member Michael Nozziolo.
16	As our hearing notice advised, we are
17	here today for inquiry to the State's two
18	decade plus failure to collect taxes
19	generated by cigarettes sold in non-Native
20	Americans on Native American reservations.
21	These sales include a substantial internet
22	component.
23	While many other states have been able
24	to negotiate mutually beneficial Compacts

with Native American nations with respect to
these sales, New York State has not.

3 Over the year there has been talk and there have been threats. We pass laws to aid in this collection and there have been 5 lawsuits, and yet this State's policy of 6 forbearance remains in effect. 8 This hasn't stopped officials 9 throughout New York State from using the 10 collection of these taxes as legitimate 11 revenue streams to justify budget proposals, fund new projects and even bail out the MTA. 12 Frankly, this situation, especially in 13 light of our looming budget deficit, is 14 15 embarrassing. The goal of this hearing is to help the 16 State and these Nations chart a new path and 17 to find a real and lasting solution to this 18 19 issue.

But first this committee and this public needs to hear what has transpired to date and this committee has a fiduciary obligation to investigate this issue.

24 We have invited a full spectrum of

20

21

22

23

6

State and local government officials,

effective private sectors interest and

representatives of the Native American

4	Nations in this State to address this issue,
5	to address this committee to provide us with
6	the relevant information that we need and to
7	answer any questions that the members of
8	this panel may have.
9	We are very, very well aware that the
10	emotions on this particular issue run high,
11	and resentments likely run very deep.
12	It is our hope to undertake a
13	respectful dialogue through this public
14	hearing format.
15	We hope that the emphasis will be upon
16	shedding maximum light and minimum vocal
17	heat to the hearing process.
18	I urge all witnesses to be focused and
19	factual, avoiding rhetorical flourishes
20	which may impede a global solution to a
21	complex, longstanding fiscal issue.
22	At the conclusion of today's testimony,
23	we will review the hearing transcript and
24	the written submissions, those who wish to

1	further provide written submissions may do
2	so, we hope to release a committee report by
3	early next year.

Following each witness' initial

statement I will recognize each Senator from

6	the committee, from the committee, to
7	provide questions.
8	And now without further ado, Senator
9	Winner, do you want to add something?
10	Without further ado we will now call
11	our first witness, William J. Comiskey,
12	Deputy Commissioner for Enforcement of the
13	State Tax Commission.
14	WILLIAM COMISKEY,
15	called as a witness, having been first duly
16	sworn by the Counsel to the Committee, was
17	examined and testified as follows:
18	SENATOR JOHNSON: Let me also add to
19	my right is Roger Adler, counsel to the
20	Investigations Committee.
21	SENATOR WINNER: I would like to add
22	Michael Nozziolo is here also. You
23	introduced him?
24	SENATOR JOHNSON: I did introduce

1	him, you can introduce him as well.
2	Mr. Comiskey.
3	MR. ANDERSON: Can I have a
4	transcript of all the reports that are being
5	sent? I am Doug Anderson, Ambassador

6 Anderson.

7	MR. ADLER: If you will please
8	communicate with us, we will take it under
9	advisement.
10	Thank you very much, we will try to be
11	of service. Thank you, sir.
12	Mr. Comiskey.
13	MR. COMISKEY: Thank you, Mr. Adler.
14	Senators, it is truly a pleasure to be
15	here and it is a pleasure to see this
16	committee take on such a difficult, vexing
17	emotionally charged issue that has plagued
18	the administrations of three or four
19	Governors over the past several decades.
20	The questions are unquestionably
21	complex and they are unquestionably
22	important, and I am going to talk a little
23	bit about that.
24	I have submitted fairly extensive

1	written comments that were designed to
2	address some of the issues that Mr. Adler
3	indicated to me he might want to hear about.
4	I have also added some statistical
5	information that I thought might be of use
6	to you and relative to your inquiry.
7	I say the issue is important because
8	there are so many different aspects of it

9 and so many parties who are affected by what goes on.

If you look at the numbers, and I point you to the -- to some of the charts that are in my testimony, if you look at the numbers you get some sense of the proportions and the staggering size of this issue.

The statistic that jumps out is that today one in three cigarettes in the City of New York sold by licensed stamping agents who have one primary, one sole function under the law, and that is to affix stamps, are not stamped.

One in three cigarettes sold by our licensed agent are funneled into the cigarette market by sales made to Native

1 American Reservations and merchants.

It's a huge problem and it grew exponentially, I trace the history of forbearance in my papers.

When in 1997 Governor Pataki threw up his hands and said this is not an issue we are going to pursue, I am not going to enforce the cigarette tax law on Reservation lands, the conduct of a handful of licensed

10 agents in their sales activity with Native 11 Reservations skyrocketed. In the next -- between 1997 and 2007 12 sales jumped from around 15 million cartons 13 14 through Native Reservation merchants to a 15 height in 2005 of 47 million. 16 They are still high, they have declined 17 in the last several years, but they are 18 still going to be around 25 million. 19 At the center of this, if you look at 20 the situation from both ends of the perspective and outside of the Reservation 21 22 you see two things at work, one, ten 23 licensed agents, I name them in my reports,

I show you their sales numbers, ten licensed

24

11

11

1	agents spend the bulk of their time not
2	affixing stamps, which is their primary
3	mission, but selling cigarettes without a
4	stamp and without a tax imposed on it to
5	Native American merchants.
6	Those ten agents are responsible for
7	the volume of sales that you see. We
8	license them, we regulate them, and they are
9	sworn to uphold the law and follow the law.
10	In addition to those ten agents, if you

look at the other side, and they are

enriching themselves with this, if you look
at the other side of the transactions as
they emerge from the Reservations, there is
no doubt, our investigations show it, we see
it all the time, that these cigarettes that
come off the Reservations that were untaxed,
fuel organized criminal groups that
proliferate in the black market, sometimes
we have seen that those organized groups
have terrorist ties.

The sale of huge volumes of cigarettes
funneled by our licensed agents through the

Reservations compromises our societal

1	interest in reducing consumption and
2	increasing the public health and it
3	facilitates across the nation.
4	This situation is important because the
5	situation is unfair, completely unfair to
6	legitimate retailers, to legitimate
7	wholesalers and to legitimate stamping
8	agents.
9	They are their numbers have shrunk
10	over the years and they have no chance of
11	competing in this market.

The issue is important because the

pipeline of untaxed cheap cigarettes compromises the public health, and the issue is important because if you know anything about me, I landed here two and a half years ago, we have spent that time building what I think is the most credible, professional, effective tax enforcement agency in the country. We are committed to the fair

application of the laws, and when laws are not uniformly applied, when there are exemptions made to particular groups who

operate at a competitive advantage, then we undermine the respect entirely for the law.

This issue is important, of course, in these days because we cannot afford the lost tax revenue.

I am heartened and I go to my written comments, the bulk of what I am saying in the history of this, but I am harkened this committee is interested in this issue.

I am harkened this Governor in his short tenure has shown his interest, has signaled his desire to achieve an equitable, fair system.

He signed and allowed implementation of

15	the certification statute, he has allowed us
16	to use our investigative resources to
17	provide essential service to the federal
18	lawsuits brought by the New York City and by
19	other federal agencies, and he has allowed
20	us to pursue agents who engaged in
21	fraudulent activity at the same time they
22	are selling to Native American merchants.
23	I am hopeful this is the perfect time
24	that all of these events will come together

and that finally after decades we will have 1 a resolution to this problem in the coming 3 months. Thank you. SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. 6 Comiskey. I have a few questions. 7 So let me just understand, is it 8 correct that Native Americans in New York 9 State purchase tobacco products as well as petroleum products, lawfully, without having 10 to pay New York State Sales and Use Taxes as 11 well as Local Sales and Use Taxes? 12 MR. COMISKEY: Well, there is no 13 14 doubt that some portion of the cigarettes

purchased by Native Americans, and fuel,

used for their own consumption is lawfully purchased without tax, that is the law. SENATOR JOHNSON: With respect to, though, to non-Native Americans who purchase cigarettes on Native American Reservations, perhaps you can expand your likeness on the current law that addresses this particular issue? MR. COMISKEY: The current law is

slightly amiss. There is a flurry of judicial decisions coming out of two different directions.

On the State level, the highest

Appellate Court has held that until the

State implements the Indian exemption coupon
system contained in the statute you passed
in 2005, that provides a mechanism for

Native Americans to obtain tax free product,
until we implement that, the tax is not -the tax provided by 471.1, is not in effect.

SENATOR JOHNSON: My understanding is there was a decision recently issued by a Federal District Court, a decision that came down, I believe, two months ago, that the case, City of New York versus the Golden Feather Smoke Shop involves sale,

transaction of guts in the Poospatuck

Reservation lands and in that decision, I

believe it's District Court Judge Amon very

cogently reviewed both the decision from the

Fourth Department in upstate New York, and

using well recognized legal principles made

the determination that it was the District

Court's position that the Court of Appeals would conclude that the Fourth Department was wrong, and misconstrued Tax Law 471 and 471(e) and indicated that there is a very big difference between imposing a tax and collecting a tax.

My understanding was that this is the most recent decision interpreting the tax law.

What's your view on the Golden Feather Smoke Shop, and with that decision what is the Tax Department going to do with respect to that?

MR. COMISKEY: At this stage I think we are bound to adhere, until the Court of Appeals determines that they are wrong, the Fourth Department decision.

I think it's uniformly accepted in the

19	Department that we could get the better of
20	the argument, the better of the case decided
21	in the federal courts, and also expressed by
22	the dissenting opinion at the appellate
23	court in the Cayuga Seneca case.
24	It seems plain to us that the tax

imposed by 471.1 is the tax, that's where 1 2 it's imposed, in 471(e) was a statute enacted by the legislature to provide a 3 4 mechanism for us to collect the taxes on sales to non-Indians on the Reservations. 6 SENATOR JOHNSON: Correct me if I'm 7 wrong, 471(e) also addresses the issue of 8 coupons? 9 MR. COMISKEY: 471(e), that's the entire thrust of it, it creates a tax 10 exemption coupon system in which the 11 12 department will only distribute tax 13 exemption coupons to Native American 14 Reservations to permit them to buy and 15 consume cigarettes without a tax being 16 imposed on them. 17 SENATOR JOHNSON: Because under --18 the law indicates that the sale of 19 cigarettes to Native Americans is tax free,

20

correct?

21	MR. COMISKEY: When a sale is for
22	their consumption, correct.
23	SENATOR JOHNSON: Okay, thank you,
24	for their consumption.
	18
	10
1	These tax exempt vouchers, have they
2	been printed for distribution to Native
3	Americans?
4	MR. COMISKEY: They have been,
5	indeed.
6	SENATOR JOHNSON: And where are these
7	tax vouchers currently being stored?
8	MR. COMISKEY: I don't know the exact
9	location, but they are within the
10	Department. We have them, we have not only
11	the coupons but in addition the Fourth
12	Department determined that the statute
13	471(e) contemplated the issuance of
14	implementing regulations, a position we
15	don't necessarily agree with, but there are
16	draft regulations drafted as well and ready
17	to be promulgated.
18	SENATOR JOHNSON: What were the draft
19	regulations, when were the regulations in
20	draft?
21	MR. COMISKEY: I don't know the exact

22	date, but sometime following the enactment
23	in 2005 of 471(e).
24	SENATOR JOHNSON: But the tax
	19
1	vouchers, have they been distributed?
2	MR. COMISKEY: No, sir.
3	SENATOR JOHNSON: Who in New York
4	State government has made the determination
5	to withhold the distribution of these
6	vouchers?
7	MR. COMISKEY: Well, initially the
8	decision was made by, and I don't know the
9	individual, but it was made by the Pataki
10	administration and included in the
11	materials provided an advisory opinion that
12	the Department issued to a cigarette
13	stamping agent on March 16th of 2006, which
14	was 16 days after 471(e) was by its terms to
15	become effective, which stated that the
16	department was going to adhere to its
17	longstanding policy of forbearance and not
18	issue the coupons.
19	I can say this, it was my
20	understanding, it's my understanding the
21	directive in that decision was a policy
22	decision made out of the Governor's office.

SENATOR JOHNSON: And that policy

1	Governor's office since then?
2	MR. COMISKEY: Well, during yes, I
3	would say the short answer to that is yes,
4	but the landscape has changed a little bit,
5	but we have not received from the Governor's
6	office the green light to issue coupons,
7	correct.
8	SENATOR JOHNSON: Has anybody
9	requested from that department the so-called
10	green light to distribute the vouchers?
11	MR. COMISKEY: Yes, sir.
12	SENATOR JOHNSON: Who is that, who
13	has made that request?
14	MR. COMISKEY: I have.
15	SENATOR WINNER: To whom?
16	SENATOR JOHNSON: To whom have you
17	made that request?
18	MR. COMISKEY: To representatives of
19	the Governor's staff.
20	SENATOR JOHNSON: Who in particular?
21	MR. COMISKEY: Mr. Rose is one peter
22	Manners is another, I don't know where else
23	my requests have landed.
24	SENATOR JOHNSON: Bob Megna?

1	MR. COMISKEY: Bob Megna was the
2	Commissioner of Tax and Finance, I worked
3	for him, we certainly talked about
4	implementing 471(e) through the issuance of
5	coupons.
6	SENATOR JOHNSON: And by you making
7	request he was at the time you made the
8	request he was your supervisor, I don't want
9	to use the word supervisor, but he was
10	directly above
11	MR. COMISKEY: He would use that
12	word.
13	SENATOR JOHNSON: He was your
14	supervisor, and you wouldn't make that
15	request without his knowledge and authority?
16	MR. COMISKEY: Well, I am trying to
17	think whether I had direct communications to
18	make that request when Mr. Megna was the
19	Commissioner and I can't tell you that I
20	did, but I know that we spoke and that we
21	did have communications with the Governor's
22	office in which we talked about a variety of
23	options designed to secure compliance of the
24	cigarette tax law with the folks downtown.

1	SENATOR JOHNSON: Are you aware of
2	the Jenkins Act?
3	MR. COMISKEY: Yes, sir.
4	SENATOR JOHNSON: What is the Jenkins
5	Act?
6	MR. COMISKEY: The Jenkins Act
7	requires those who ship cigarettes in
8	interstate commerce to provide to
9	maintain and provide a list of customers to
10	whom they are shipping out-of-state
11	cigarettes and make them available to the
12	federal government and to states so that
13	they can collect the use tax that is on the
14	cigarettes shipped to the customers
15	out-of-state.
16	SENATOR JOHNSON: Does New York State
17	have an analogous Act to require a reporting
18	or recording for interstate sales?
19	MR. COMISKEY: I don't believe so,
20	but New York State has a law that forbids,
21	as this committee well knows, that forbids
22	the shipment of cigarettes through carriers
23	to consumers, so the law is stronger, it
24	doesn't require a list, it criminalizes that

- 1 shipment.
- 2 SENATOR JOHNSON: Are you aware, the
- 3 Native American website operators who sell
- 4 cigarettes report to you their sales of
- 5 cigarettes pursuant to the Jenkins Act?
- 6 MR. COMISKEY: No, sir. Well, I take
- 7 that back, I do know that there is at least
- 8 one Native American merchant that does.
- 9 SENATOR JOHNSON: Do you have the
- 10 name?
- 11 MR. COMISKEY: I don't want to be
- 12 wrong, I can get it.
- 13 SENATOR JOHNSON: Would you provide
- 14 it?
- MR. COMISKEY: Yes.
- 16 SENATOR JOHNSON: You send out tax
- due notices, correct?
- Do you send out tax due notices with
- 19 respect to the sales of these cigarettes.
- 20 MR. COMISKEY: With respect to the
- sales of these cigarettes, to consumers?
- 22 SENATOR JOHNSON: To consumers.
- MR. COMISKEY: No, sir, because we
- 24 don't know in the majority of instances who

- 1 the consumers are.
- We have historically done it

- periodically, there have been audit bills
 that we have issued.

 But in the main we don't get -- we
 don't get that information and therefore we
 have not issued those notices.
- 8 SENATOR JOHNSON: What is the process
 9 that your office, your department uses to
 10 obtain information concerning the numbers of
 11 cigarettes sold on Native American
 12 Reservations?

- MR. COMISKEY: We don't have a precise picture of the total amount, the information we get that is set out in my appendices comes from our licensed agents, they are required to report to us the volume of cigarettes that they sell to the Native American Nations and merchants every time they -- they are required to report on all untaxed cigarette distributions and the information is included there.
- We know that there are other sources of supply that some Native American merchants

- 1 turn to, and we did note that on that.
- 2 SENATOR JOHNSON: Do Native American
- 3 merchants sell amongst themselves, like one

4	tribe will go to another location and buy
5	cigarettes in bulk?
6	MR. COMISKEY: We have seen that.
7	SENATOR JOHNSON: I think one of the
8	big issues facing the committee and what's
9	out there is really the amount of tax
10	revenue that is not collected on an annual
11	basis, numbers get thrown out there.
12	To the best of your knowledge or
13	experience, how long by the way, how long
14	have you been doing this, so to speak?
15	MR. COMISKEY: I have been involved
16	in tax enforcement now for about two and a
17	half years.
18	SENATOR JOHNSON: So you are pretty
19	familiar with the sales of cigarettes on
20	Native American Reservations.
21	MR. COMISKEY: It's one of the areas
22	I focus my energy and attention, yes.
23	SENATOR JOHNSON: So in your own
24	expert knowledge, how much tax revenue is

1	not being collected annually by virtue of a
2	policy of forbearance?
3	MR. COMISKEY: Well, I don't know
4	that I can pinpoint it solely to
5	forbearance, but our economists have

estimated that the amount of untaxed -- of
tax that's not collected is in the vicinity
of a couple of hundred million, \$200
million.

Our analyses have also indicated what
we think we might collect is a smaller
number than the number that's reflected in
the budget.

I have seen other estimates, I am going to tell you from my perspective I don't know which one is right, but I think the number is unquestionably large, I think that it's unquestionably a number that involves tens or hundreds of millions of dollars.

I think that estimates that I have heard in the \$1 billion range based upon sales from prior years miss the mark because they fail to recognize that a substantial portion of the trade through the Native

1	American merchants is with individuals
2	through the internet that are out-of-state.
3	Back that out and it sounds the
4	estimates I have seen sound reasonable.
5	SENATOR JOHNSON: Do you know how
6	much it would cost to collect, what would be

7 the cost of the state to collect this tax, 8 assuming no -- no issues of collection, if 9 you understand what I'm saying? 10 MR. COMISKEY: I would like to -- I 11 would love to have that part of no issues of collection illuminated for us, but assuming 12 13 that how much would it cost? I think it 14 turns on how you approach enforcement. 15 If we don't learn from the mistakes of 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5

7

If we don't learn from the mistakes of the late '90s where enforcement represented blockades and surrounding Native American Reservations in a clearly in your face forum of enforcement, I will be shock, history should teach us something and that was an inappropriate, I think, way of going at it.

If we focus our efforts on those who are not Native Americans but on our licensed agents who have one function under the law,

28

I think we can in short order without a
major investment curtail the flow of
cigarettes from those agents.

That doesn't mean that's the end of the problem. We can curtail the easy pipeline, but there is a lot of money involved in cigarette smuggling and in the cigarette business.

And I have no doubt that if we close one pipeline, others will rise up, and we won't collect all of that, we just won't collect all of the tax that's due, because people will be -- there is a lot of money and people will be ingenious about how they are going to evade it, but we can cut off the source from our legal supply easily.

SENATOR JOHNSON: Mr. Comiskey, one thing you raised in your testimony that I

thing you raised in your testimony that I
think raised my eyebrows a little bit, this
issue that the cigarette sales, the bootleg
sales fuel -- these are you're are your
words -- organized criminal groups, maybe
you can expand upon that and shed a little
bit more light on that?

1	MR.	COMISKEY:	Ι	would	really	be
2	happy to					

You should know this, we have the largest, most professional, clearly most creative enforcement police force for the cigarette tax in the nation, by far, there is no one that compares to us.

The agents who work for me go deep undercover all the time, and in the first

two pages of my appendix I lay out some of the cases that they have been involved in, and they are simply staggering. When I say there are organized criminal groups that are trafficking in smuggled cigarettes, it's based upon their dealings with him and they are undercover for two years, they are rubbing elbows with them for two years.

So I hear firsthand what's involved.

There is money to be had, there are organized groups that focus on territorial allocations, that focus on customer allocations that are in competition with us -- with each other, rather.

1	And these organized groups, I spent 10
2	years working for the State Organized Crime
3	Task Force, these groups bear all the
4	indicia of what we call organized crime.
5	SENATOR JOHNSON: Does organized
6	crime also mean links to terrorists?
7	MR. COMISKEY: Well, I don't the
8	way I would answer that is to say that the
9	money is good here and there have been
10	instances documented where the money
11	generated by the sale of bootlegged

12 cigarettes was funneled back to terrorist 13 organizations operating in the Middle East, that's been documented. 14 SENATOR JOHNSON: Are the sellers of 1.5 16 these cigarettes, in your experience, have 17 knowledge that the sale of these cigarettes 18 are going to generate monies that are going 19 to fund organized crime and/or terrorist 20 activities? Well, I would say that 21 MR. COMISKEY: 22 they have to know based upon the volume that the Native American Reservations are not 23 24 consuming all of the cigarettes they sell to

31

1 them.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

Therefore, they have to be -- to have knowledge that some portion of this, that they are selling is ending up in transactions that are not legal in New York.

I don't know, although it's fairly well publicized, Congressman King wrote a report and it's been in the media, that the organized trafficking of cigarettes involves criminal enterprises and sometimes there is a terrorist link, it's been there.

So maybe they know, but I don't think

you would have specific knowledge. They are not doing this because they see that these cigarettes are going to a particular spot, I think they are doing it without giving a concern for where they go.

And a big chunk of them, I can't say what portion of them, end up on the

And a big chunk of them, I can't say
what portion of them, end up on the
internet, so equally, as equally bad as it
is to provide bootleggers with the source of
cigarettes, it's bad to start to ship them
where you could be shipping them to kids
around the country.

1	SENATOR JOHNSON: Senator.
2	SENATOR WINNER: Thank you.
3	Commissioner, thank you for being here.
4	Just a few questions relating to some
5	of the history here.
6	Suffice it to say that in your opinion
7	and your understanding that if 471(e) is
8	implemented and enforced, with the exception
9	of the smuggling that you referred to, that
10	that would basically be the sufficient State
11	response to collecting the taxes?
12	MR. COMISKEY: I don't know that I
13	would say that. I think that it would be a

starting point of any enforcement effort,

15 because it is the primary supply of cigarettes that end up either in the 16 internet market or in the bootleg market. 17 18 I think that the primary enforcement effort would focus on the conduct of our 19 20 licensed agents, but I don't know if at the 21 end of the day that would be sufficient to end all of the smuggling that goes on. 22 23 SENATOR WINNER: Well, with the 24 exception of the smuggling, but from the

1	State's standpoint as far as implementing
2	statutes that are necessary to assist you in
3	your efforts, do you feel that you need an
4	additional statutory assistance other than
5	471(e) if 471(e) was properly utilized?
6	MR. COMISKEY: Well, there are a
7	number of ideas, they haven't been fully
8	vetted, but I believe they have some
9	potential, those idea would be a legislative
10	clarification, for example, of the role of
11	471.1, the tax, and that the Fourth
12	Department's interpretation is in error,
13	that would be a useful thing.
14	Moving a tax up the chain to the agent
15	is something that people are considering,

and I can't say that it's a good idea because we haven't vetted it, but I know that internally we are talking about it. Other legislative fixes would be to limit the sources that licensed agents could potentially buy their cigarettes from, perhaps the manufacturer, and there are a whole bunch of options we circle around that might make it better, but I do think any

time you have a legislative fix here we are going to see that we miss something, and I think that's driven by the fact there is a lot of money, in a high tax state like this there are going to be people who work pretty much overtime to avoid the taxes.

SENATOR WINNER: Are those remedies you are referring to that you're, I guess, deliberating in-house as you refer to it, are they going to be in the form of a departmental submission to the legislature for action?

MR. COMISKEY: Well, they very well may be, but Bob Megna wasn't the only supervisor that I have had, Bob Megn when he was Commissioner was my supervisor and there are others in the Department as well, so

18	they might be I think that that's clearly
19	something we are considering and we are
20	talking with the Governor's office about it
21	as well, and I think that we are all open
22	for any legislative fix that can achieve a
23	fair and equitable distribution, fair and
24	equitable tax system without unduly

intruding upon Native American sovereignty 1 without raising the spectre of public unrest and respecting everybody's rights. 3 We are clear that everybody is looking 5 for that. SENATOR WINNER: Suffice it to say 7 471(e) is an important component of your efforts? 8 9 MR. COMISKEY: I am not fighting that at all, I am with you on that. 10 11 SENATOR WINNER: And the status of 471(e), as you indicated, was there is an 12 13 injunction in the what is the Day Wholesaler 14 case that has been relied upon by the 15 Department as their reason for not pursuing 16 their action and yet that is sort of a

self-induced injunction, is that not

18 accurate?

19	MR. COMISKEY: I can understand
20	exactly are you are coming from, the path
21	out of the injunction is to issue coupons
22	and promulgate regulations, there is no
23	question about that.
24	Self-induced, I don't know that I would
	36
1	agree, but it is certainly something we
2	could overcome, the injunction would pass.
3	We have deferred, I think
4	appropriately, given the complexity of the
5	issues involved, to the leadership of the
6	Governor on these issues.
7	So there is a path out, 471 can be
8	implemented under the judicial stays, and it
9	requires promulgation of coupons and
10	regulations.
11	SENATOR WINNER: Of which you have
12	said are all ready to go in the event the
13	injunction is lifted?
14	MR. COMISKEY: Absolutely.
15	SENATOR WINNER: Who is handling that
16	case for the Department, is that the
17	Attorney General?
18	MR. COMISKEY: Yes, sir.
19	SENATOR WINNER: Does the Attorney

General have any role here with regard to

21 moving this forward? 22 MR. COMISKEY: We have requested that the Attorney General appeal the decision of 23 Judge Schuyler's last injunction, we have 24 37 1 discussed with the Attorney General's the 2 role that they should play with respect to 3 all of the litigation that's pending, but we do know that these issues are going to be brought to the -- to a head in short order because they are going to land on the Court 6 of Appeals in coming spring. 8 SENATOR WINNER: Again, is the 9 Attorney General moving forward, or has he 10 taken a position with regard to the appropriateness of the enforcement of 11 12 471(e)? MR. COMISKEY: The last that I 13 14 understood, he was going to move forward on 15 appeal and that we requested that he perfect, but I haven't had those 16 communications I have had only one with him, 17 18 with his staff. 19 SENATOR WINNER: Now, you indicated 20 that the Governor's office is basically

solely responsible for not moving forward

22 with regard to getting the injunction 23 removed. 24 MR. COMISKEY: I am going to say 38 1 this, if I may, just interject, I think it's 2 an overstatement to say that the Governor's office is solely responsible. I believe that agencies under legislation and in a whole host of areas 5 have an obligation to exercise independent 7 discretion and to implement the laws that are passed and to make their own decisions, in a wide variety of cases. 9 In this instance I know that the 10 Department has deferred to the Governor, and 11 12 for good reason, because we are not at all versed in all of the issues that relate to 13 Native American sovereignty, to casinos, to 14 land use issues, and to a whole host of 15 16 them. So while it may be theoretically true 17 18 that agencies can act independently, 19 regardless of the consequences that might 20 fall on you by doing that, but nonetheless I think in this instance the Department has 21

deferred and will continue to defer, because

the issues are so complex.

22

1	has the Governor indicated not to go forward
2	with going marching back into court and
3	presenting coupons and saying they are ready
4	to be distributed as well as the regulations
5	are ready to be promulgated, in what form
6	has his office told you not to do that?
7	MR. COMISKEY: Verbal communications.
8	SENATOR WINNER: Verbal
9	communications?
10	MR. COMISKEY: Yes, sir. Well, we
11	did ask, the request to go forward has not
12	been granted.
13	SENATOR WINNER: But you had
14	indicated earlier that you made a written
15	request to the Governor's office.
16	MR. COMISKEY: I have had
17	communications by e-mail, correct.
18	SENATOR WINNER: E-mail.
19	MR. COMISKEY: Correct.
20	SENATOR WINNER: Has any response to
21	those e-mails been received?
22	MR. COMISKEY: We have not gotten a
23	green light from the Governor's office.
24	SENATOR WINNER: That wasn't my

```
question, have there been any responses to
1
2
           the e-mails?
 3
                MR. COMISKEY: I have to look. I
           don't know, I'm sorry.
 5
                SENATOR WINNER: Would you look?
                MR. COMISKEY: I have had
7
           conversations.
                SENATOR WINNER:
                                 Would you look and
           if you have those, would you be willing to
9
10
           provide those to the committee?
                MR. COMISKEY: As far as I'm
11
12
           concerned I would be willing to, yes.
                SENATOR WINNER: As far as --
13
                MR. COMISKEY: I am concerned -- I
14
           would be willing to, yes.
15
                SENATOR WINNER: So we can look
16
           forward to some communication as to whether
17
           or not you have those e-mails, or whether
18
19
           you do not have those e-mails?
20
                MR. COMISKEY: I would be happy to
           provide you with that, yes.
21
22
                SENATOR WINNER: Now, you have
23
           indicated that, in your testimony that I
24
           think there is a paragraph or a sentence in
```

1	nere I just would like you to elaborate on,
2	and it's I understand that the issues that
3	the Governor faces are broader and more
4	complex than tax enforcement.
5	I think you started to allude to those
6	as far as the casino issues and the other
7	land issues.
8	Are there other concerns that the
9	Governor has that you understand, that you
10	understand to be there?
11	MR. COMISKEY: I would say there are
12	a number, they include the land use, they
13	include with respect to for the sovereignty
14	of the Native American Nations, they include
15	sensitive relations with them, they include
16	the economic relationship that exists
17	between the State and those Nations, they
18	include concerns of public safety.
19	So I would think that they would
20	include the status in negotiations, because
21	even 471(e) 471(e) especially recognizes
22	that a negotiated outcome with the Native
23	American Nations is a preferable outcome
24	than mere enforcement of 471(e).

1	If it can be achieved and if recognized
2	and accepted by the Senate, that is the path
3	I think that the statute contemplates we
4	would prefer.
5	SENATOR WINNER: Thank you.
6	SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you, Senator.
7	Senator Nozziolo, just I would we are
8	joined by two Senators not on the committee,
9	but Senator Eric Adams and Senator George
10	Maziarz and we are joined by committee
11	member Senator Reverend Ruben Diaz.
12	Thank you gentlemen for joining us
13	today. Senator Nozziolo.
14	SENATOR NOZZIOLO: Thank you Mr.
15	Chairman, and thank you for conducting this
16	hearing.
17	Commissioner Comiskey, we appreciate
18	your testimony and your elaboration on some
19	very important issues. I would like to
20	elaborate a little further on some of the
21	comments you have made.
22	You estimate that the amount of
23	cigarette sales have increased from 15
2.4	million to 47 million over basically a 15

1 year period.

2 Does that 47 million carton figure

3	include internet sales?
4	MR. COMISKEY: That 47 million is the
5	number representing the amount that our
6	licensed agents sold to Native American
7	merchants. It's what goes into the
8	Reservation from licensed agents and we are
9	absolutely I think the answer, the short
10	answer is yes, a portion of those 47 million
11	in cigarette sales end up in Native American
12	internet or mail order businesses and they
13	are shipped.
14	SENATOR NOZZIOLO: But it's difficult
15	to say how much?
16	MR. COMISKEY: It's impossible to
17	say, I think.
18	SENATOR NOZZIOLO: It could be great?
19	MR. COMISKEY: I think the internet
20	business, there have been estimates just
21	looking at the volume of websites and the
22	like, that around the nation New York Native
23	American internet shops represent a

1 business.

24

2 SENATOR NOZZIOLO: You elaborated

significant portion of the overall internet

3 under the questioning of Chairman Johnson

4	and Senator Winner about the coupon system.
5	Basically it's my understanding based
6	on your comments that the system is
7	developed, coupons are printed, the law is
8	ready to be implemented; is that correct?
9	MR. COMISKEY: Yes, sir.
10	SENATOR NOZZIOLO: My understanding
11	further suggests, though, that you are
12	looking at deferring the Tax Department
13	has deferred the implementation of this law
14	waiting for a so-called green light from the
15	Governor.
16	Is that green light a standard
17	operating procedure the Tax Department,
18	rather than enforcing a law that the
19	legislature passes, that the Governor signs,
20	does the Tax Department do such due
21	diligence with the enforcement of other laws
22	that it's entrusted to enforce?
23	MR. COMISKEY: I would imagine that
24	we do, but I can say that in an area like

1	this, it is, at least to me, readily
2	apparent that there is good reason to look
3	to the Governor for leadership and to defer
4	to the Governor's judgment as the Governor
5	explores all of the possibilities for

peaceful resolution that's fair and 6 equitable to everyone. 8 So as a general matter there are some rules that we just implement and where the 9 10 rules carry consequences that are so broad, 11 I think we turn to the Governor's office and 12 say, as we should, we are part of the 13 executive, how should we proceed? 14 SENATOR NOZZIOLO: It just is very puzzling to me that you would, in fact, 15 defer implementation of a bonafide statute 16 17 signed by the current Governor for this type 18 of policy review. 19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

6

Is this the type of review you give for taxes placed on corporations, taxes placed on developers, taxes placed on individuals?

It seems as though the process that you're discussing is a process that, understanding certain sensitivities is one

46

thing, delaying the implementation of a bonafide enacted statute is quite another.

And that's why I would like to have you elaborate more about the processes that the Department is going through that are impeding its implementation.

7	MR. COMISKEY: Well, I think one
8	thing can jump off the pages in this area
9	and that's recognizing that as a matter of
10	public record, the Governor is looking for
11	closing this tax gap in the way that would
12	be the least confrontational, the safest and
13	the most effective.
14	And in that regard, he signed
15	legislation last December enacting the
16	certification system.

We thought, and we still think that the certification system is a mechanism that focuses on ages and manufacturer's conduct and that would lead to a significant reduction in the volume of cigarettes landing on Native American Reservations, because we would be looking to them to certify adherence to the law.

1	We thought and think that that
2	represents a completely alternative way of
3	approach that, if successful, would make the
4	potentially more confrontational
5	implementation of a coupon system not be
6	necessary.
7	We get to the same place in the same
8	way.

The courts have linked them together,	
we think that's wrong. We are hopeful that	
when they are reviewed again, separate them	
and would be for us, I think, and for the	
Governor a good day, a happy day if we are	
able to accomplish limitation of this	
evasion and control of our agents' behavior	
through a certification system through	
rather than through coupon system which has	
us facing sometimes emotional responses from	
those in the Native American Reservations.	
SENATOR NOZZIOLO: Can you tell the	
committee how long this deliberative process	
is anticipated to continue?	
MR. COMISKEY: I don't have an end	

certification was only stayed earlier this
year, that the litigation that may separate
that out and give it a chance to happen is
going to happen in the spring.
So I don't have a crystal ball, and no
one has told me this will be the turning
point, but I also think that I do know that
the Governor and his staff are actively

pursuing negotiations, I am not privy to

date, but I can tell you that the

10	what they are doing or how that's happening,
11	but as the reality that there may be some
12	form of implementation or enforcement
13	becomes clear, I am hopeful, anyway, this is
14	me personally speaking, I am hopeful that
15	that reality will lead the parties that have
16	been so far over the decades unable to
17	resolve this through negotiation to resolve
18	it.
19	SENATOR NOZZIOLO: Thank you.
20	SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you, Senator
21	Nozziolo.
22	I know we are joined by Senator Marty
23	Golden as a member of the Committee.
24	Senator Diaz any questions?

1	SENATOR DIAS: Yes, thank you, Mr.
2	Chairman.
3	I got here a little late, but if as far
4	as I understand we are dealing with the
5	taxes on the Indian Reservation, right?
6	MR. COMISKEY: We are dealing with
7	the taxation of cigarettes sold by Native
8	American merchants to non-Indians, correct.
9	SENATOR DIAS: The Indian children,
10	are they going to public school, or they
11	have their own schools?

12 MR. COMISKEY: I am afraid that I do 13 not know the answer to that. I believe that they -- I think, I think 14 it would be wrong for me to speculate. 15 16 I do not know the answer. I think, 17 actually I do know for some tribes, for 18 example and there are representatives I 19 believe here who can speak directly on that, 20 because they have knowledge, I do know that 21 for some of the Reservations I was told only 22 recently that they attend public school; for example the tribes on Long Island. 23 24 SENATOR DIAS: They attend public

50

1 school paid by --

2 MR. COMISKEY: Taxpayer dollars, yes,

3 sir.

4 SENATOR DIAS: Do you know if they go

5 to public hospital or they have their own

6 hospitals?

7 MR. COMISKEY: Sir I think they go to

8 public hospitals.

9 SENATOR DIAS: They go to public

10 hospitals.

11 Do you know if they use public

12 transportation or they have their own

13	transportation?
14	MR. COMISKEY: They use public
15	transportation, as far as I know.
16	SENATOR DIAS: Thank you.
17	SENATOR JOHNSON: Senator Golden?
18	SENATOR GOLDEN: No questions, thank
19	you.
20	SENATOR JOHNSON: Senator Adams and
21	Senator Maziarz, we are trying to limit
22	questions. I would like you to limit your
23	questioning, if you don't mind.
24	We appreciate you participating at the
	51
1	hearing, Senator Adams, again, just limit
2	the amount.
3	SENATOR ADAMS: Thank you very much,
4	Senator Johnson.
5	We are not on the committee, so I
6	appreciate you extending us an opportunity
7	to ask questions on this important issue.
8	I just wanted to ask you two questions,
9	if possible, one dealing with the terrorist
10	incident, that's plural.
11	You stated that there is a direct
12	correlation between the cigarette sales and
13	terrorist incidents.

15	the FBI or this committee, and are there
16	more than one incidence?
17	Is this something that is prevalent
18	among all the tribes, or do we have car
19	you just help me with this?
20	MR. COMISKEY: I can and I really
21	want to make this clear, it's not at all
22	prevalent with the tribes at all, the
23	intersection between terrorist activities
24	and cigarette smuggling isn't a tribal

1	issue, it is an issue in which some of the
2	organized groups that smuggle cigarettes,
3	from whatever sources, and we know that they
4	buy cigarettes by traveling to out-of-state
5	stores, we know that they get cigarettes
6	sometimes through counterfeit sources coming
7	in from other countries, and as well as
8	buying sometimes from Native American
9	merchants.
10	Some of those organizations and
11	individuals involved send the money that
12	they generate illegally through the
13	cigarette bootlegging activity to
14	organizations in the Middle East that

operate and fund terrorist activities.

16	SENATOR ADAMS: This is not the
17	separate tribes?
18	MR. COMISKEY: I am so pleased you
19	asked that question. That's not at all what
20	I'm trying to say, I'm trying to say if you
21	look at the entire cigarette transaction,
22	what comes in from the agents, what is sold
23	by the Native Americans then look at the
24	outside, what happens when that reaches

cigarette smugglers I am focusing entirely
on the conduct of the cigarette smugglers.

SENATOR ADAMS: I want to be clear, I
don't want to give the insinuation that the
Seven Tribes are participating in selling
cigarettes for terrorist activity; you are
not stating that.

MR. COMISKEY: I am not.

SENATOR ADAMS: Okay, my second question is in several parts of the state or the country, in some areas you have the 7 sins tax, in New York City you have the 4.25 tax.

Do we have enforcement in place to go after those New Yorkers that leave the State and bring cigarettes into New York State from those other states that they also have

a low tax?

MR. COMISKEY: Well, we certainly -
the 54 police officers who work for me and

do this pretty close to a full time

coccupation spend the bulk of their time

focusing on cigarette traffickers, many of

whom buy their cigarettes out-of-state.

So is that an answer? 1 SENATOR ADAMS: You are saying the bulk of your manpower which you use, 45 3 officers you say? 4 MR. COMISKEY: 54 officers assigned 5 to the enforcement, primarily enforcement of 6 7 the excise taxes, that includes fuel, that includes alcohol and that includes -- but 8 it's a big chunk -- cigarettes. 9 SENATOR ADAMS: I just want to drill 10 11 down, you are talking about cigarettes 12 today. MR. COMISKEY: Understood. 13 SENATOR ADAMS: Out of the 54, the 14 15 bulk of the enforcement activity looks at 16 those who are selling cigarettes 17 out-of-state or the Seven Tribes, within the Seven Tribes? 18

19	MR. COMISKEY: I think it involves
20	both. I don't know the percentage
21	breakdown.
22	SENATOR ADAMS: Okay, thank you.
23	SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you, Senator
24	Adams, and thank you for your questions. I
	55
	55
1	think you did provide some important
2	clarification.
3	Senator Maziarz.
4	SENATOR MAZIARZ: Thank you very
5	much, Mr. Chairman.
6	I appreciate not being a member of this
7	committee the courtesy you have shown both
8	to me and to my colleague and good friend,
9	Senator Adams.
10	SENATOR JOHNSON: You are lucky.
11	SENATOR MAZIARZ: Commissioner
12	Comiskey, the coupon system, I just want to
13	get back to that for a minute.
14	I assume this would be some type of a
15	system, at least, that I have heard of
16	different, a couple of different coupon
17	systems that were proposed, this is one
18	where Native Americans would be issued a
19	coupon and they would redeem this coupon

20 after they made a sale?

21	MR. COMISKEY: The coupons would be
22	issued to Native American Nations to be
23	distributed to Native American cigarette
24	retailers and merchants, they would use the
	56
	30
1	coupons, they are issued based upon probable
2	demand and population and the rest, and the
3	Nations would be asked to weigh in on what
4	the appropriate amount of the coupons would
5	be.
6	They would give them to the Native
7	American merchants, the merchants would use
8	those coupons in dealing with our licensed
9	agents to avoid having to pay the tax on the
10	cigarettes that the agents would be
11	providing the merchants.
12	SENATOR MAZIARZ: Has the State
13	Department of Taxation and Finance ever had
14	a system like that with any other
15	individuals or any other groups?
16	MR. COMISKEY: We have exemption
17	certificates that are used in the sales tax
18	area by not for profits.
19	Not for profits can avoid the sales tax
20	by using an exemption certificate.

21 SENATOR MAZIARZ: Are you aware, and

22 I realize this may not be a question for tax 23 and finance, but are you aware of the total 24 amount of revenue paid by the Seneca Nation 57 1 for the operations of gaming? 2 I realize we are here to talk about 3 cigarettes, but gaming in Buffalo, Niagara Falls and Seneca. MR. COMISKEY: I am not. 5 SENATOR NOZZIOLO: One follow-up 7 question, Commissioner Comiskey, could you explain the federal tax collection of the 9 same product that we are talking about here? 10 It's my understanding that there is a federal excise tax, that excise tax is 11 collected a certain way, can you explain how 12 that's collected? 13 MR. COMISKEY: It's a much different 14 process, the tax is imposed and collected 15 16 from the manufacturers and the federal 17 government gets it at its source, and monitors volume and collects it there. 18 SENATOR NOZZIOLO: So that the Native 19 20 Americans would, in fact, in acquiring those would be paying taxes to the federal 21 22 government?

MR. COMISKEY: Certainly for the

1	agents the answer is yes.
2	They may be buying some from illegal
3	sources where the tax wasn't paid and wasn't
4	collected, and there may be instances where
5	Native American manufactured product may not
6	have had taxes paid as well.
7	SENATOR NOZZIOLO: Thank you.
8	SENATOR JOHNSON: Senator Golden.
9	SENATOR GOLDEN: I have one question,
10	but probably three answers; it's three
11	questions.
12	What is the total loss to the City of
13	New York over the last 10 years because of
14	the non-collection of taxes on cigarettes
15	from Indian Reservations and from untaxed
16	cigarettes on the whole?
17	MR. COMISKEY: I don't have a number
18	breaking it down, I don't have that number
19	for the State, let alone breaking it down
20	for the City.
21	SENATOR GOLDEN: What would it be for
22	the City of New York that you would put in
23	for your budget that you would have realized
24	had you been able to collect the taxes from

1	the Indian Reservation?
2	MR. COMISKEY: I am afraid I don't
3	know the answer to that.
4	SENATOR GOLDEN: Could you please get
5	that? I understand it's \$300 million, in
6	that category, just for the City of New
7	York?
8	MR. COMISKEY: I could find out what
9	the taxes also are, but my guess is Mr.
10	Proshansky, who is here and who is
11	representing the City in its federal
12	lawsuits, is going to have his arms
13	completely around that issue.
14	SENATOR GOLDEN: Thank you very much.
15	SENATOR JOHNSON: Senator Diaz.
16	SENATOR DIAS: Commissioner I have to
17	go back to my previous question, and I do
18	this because I have in my hand a written
19	testimony from James Ransom, the tribal
20	chief of one of the tribes in upstate.
21	And I don't know if I am if I am
22	going to be here to question, but I have to
23	question you about something he's going to
24	say, I am going to read you something.

1	He said the Boston Tea Party in 1973 a
2	group of American colonists dressed up as
3	indians and dumped tea into the Boston
4	harbor. The Boston Tea Party was the
5	primary catalyst leading to the American
6	Revolution and the eventual founding of the
7	United States.
8	What is relevant is that the underlying
9	reason for this historic act of civil
10	disobedience it was the British trying to
11	impose taxes on the colonies in the process
12	getting them to, in essence, they were
13	trying to get them to help pay for a huge
14	British financial deficit that was none of
15	their making.
16	So the Chief is going to testify that
17	the colonies turned against the British
18	because the British were trying to impose
19	taxes on them when they had nothing to do
20	with the taxes.
21	So what I asked you before was if the
22	education that they get are paid by the
23	state or by their own?
2.4	MR COMISKEY. And I will go back to

- that, because I am confident that many 1 services are paid out of the State public 3 tax dollars that are provided to Native Americans, health services, I believe, 5 transportation, public roads, public safety, 6 in all of those areas state taxpayers are 7 picking up the tab. 8 SENATOR DIAS: So we agree if the 9 State paid for Medicaid, if the State paid for education, that the State is paying for 10 health services, the State is paying for a 11 12 lot of other services that they use, then to impose taxes on them on the cigarettes is 13 14 not imposing taxes on something that they have nothing to do with. 15 MR. COMISKEY: I am with you 16 entirely, Senator. I think that the 17 18 significant false -- we are not imposing 19 taxes on the Native Americans, this plan requires them to collect the tax from 20 non-Indians, not from Indian transactions. 21
- 23 help them pay for what they are using.
 24 MR. COMISKEY: That's true, too.

To impose taxes to

62

SENATOR DIAS:

22

1 SENATOR DIAS: Thank you.

2 SENATOR JOHNSON: We are joined by

3	Deputy Majority Leader Jeffrey Klein, a
4	member of the Committee who is going to ask
5	a couple of questions.
6	He's the last member of the panel to
7	ask questions.
8	SENATOR KLEIN: Thank you, Chairman
9	Johnson.
10	I have a question, I think it may have
11	been covered, but please bear with me.
12	Was there ever an analysis conducted
13	over the years by the State Department of
14	Taxation and Finance that got into how much
15	we actually lose in cigarette tax revenue
16	through internet sales or sales that emanate
17	directly from the Reservations?
18	MR. COMISKEY: Yes, sir.
19	SENATOR KLEIN: Do you have the
20	number or range?
21	MR. COMISKEY: The number that I
22	talked about earlier was that our
23	economists, and they don't break it

sales, but the number based upon 2008 sales
number, sales figures would be about \$220

necessarily into internet and smoke shop

3 million.

4	I lay it out in my testimony.
5	SENATOR KLEIN: Is that just from
6	internet, or is that everything?
7	MR. COMISKEY: That's from
8	everything.
9	SENATOR KLEIN: I guess my follow-up
10	question would be why isn't it more exact?
11	We have the tobacco wholesalers, who
12	are the tax agents, in essence in New York
13	State, and they really know exactly how many
14	untagged cigarettes are out in the market.
15	They prepay for all the stamps.
16	MR. COMISKEY: Well, the ten agents
17	that sell to Native American merchants, they
18	certainly know the volume they sell, I would
19	start by saying that's not the only source
20	of supply for Native American merchants,
21	they have additional sources there.
22	Secondly, when I say that we don't get
23	information from the vast majority of Native
24	American merchants about what they do with

1 the cigarettes.

3

We don't have a breakdown, there is no

Jenkins Act compliance for the majority of

these merchants to identify what portion is

sold out-of-state, what portion is sold to

6	New York residents by the mail, even though
7	it violates Public Health Law, as you know
8	well, and what portion is sold through the
9	walk-in traffic with both retail customers,
10	and there is a steady volume of retail
11	customers, and in some tribes especially
12	there is a steady volume of wholesale
13	cigarette smuggling.
14	Nobody is telling us that, we will
15	never we are not getting that data, so w
16	can estimate what it is, but it is really a
17	guess.
18	SENATOR KLEIN: I guess my follow-up
19	question would be to enforcement, I wrote
20	the law which bans the sale of cigarettes
21	over the internet and through mail order,
22	which was upheld by a Federal Circuit Court
23	How is it, I guess, the State

1	as enforcement is concerned, do you have the
2	ability to dismantle these websites?
3	MR. COMISKEY: I think that if
4	SENATOR KLEIN: Just to backup, I'm
5	sure you are aware that Federal Express and
6	other common carriers are not delivering the

Department of taxation and Finance, as far

7	cigarettes, they are also not accepting
8	credit card payment.
9	Do you have the authority to just sort
10	of dismantle these websites?
11	MR. COMISKEY: I think if the choice
12	were made to do direct enforcement activity
13	against the Native American merchants, we
14	could enforce the Public Health Law directly
15	against them.
16	SENATOR KLEIN: Thank you, sir.
17	SENATOR JOHNSON: Mr. Comiskey, thank
18	you very much for your testimony this
19	morning, we appreciate your time.
20	The next witness to testify will be
21	Steve Rosenthal.
22	STEVEN ROSENTHAL,
23	called as a witness, having been first duly
24	sworn by the Counsel to the Committee, was
	66

1	examined and testified as follows:
2	SENATOR JOHNSON: Please state your
3	name and affiliation for the chair and the
4	members of the Committee and we will receive
5	your testimony.
6	MR. ROSENTHAL: My name is Steven
7	Rosenthal, I am here today representing
8	SENATOR JOHNSON: Mr. Rosenthal, if

9	you could put the microphone close to you,				
10	it's a large room.				
11	MR. ROSENTHAL: Thank you, Senator				
12	Johnson, and the other distinguished members				
13	of the panel.				
14	I am an economics finance and Wall				
15	Street graduate, but I have spent the last				
16	40 years of my life in distribution in New				
17	York, I have been the largest independent				

Street graduate, but I have spent the last 40 years of my life in distribution in New York, I have been the largest independent beverage distributor in New York and also more to the point in today's discussion, I was the largest distributor of tobacco and cigarettes in the City of New York for many years.

I have held more than 20 wholesale and retail cigarette licenses, I have been

1	President of the state-wide Association of
2	Tax Stamping Agents, and I basically lived
3	in the streets of New York City with my
4	sales staff and probably understand the
5	distribution of cigarettes as well as
6	anybody.

I am very, very impressed with

Commissioner Comiskey, who in his two and a

half years seems to know most of what it

took me 40 years, but I am sure if he hangs
around for another 37 and a half years he
will know even more.

I have left the industry. I have no
economic interest in being here today, I am
not being paid as a consultant, but this is
the industry that supported myself and my

family for a very long time.

I have seen a lot of injustice and I am here today hopefully in my own small way to clarify and hopefully help this committee do something about it.

I think the major contribution I can make, to begin with, is to give the committee a full understanding of how

cigarettes are marketed in New York, both legally and illegally.

The manufacturer manufactures cigarettes and puts it in his bonded warehouse adjacent to his plant, when he removes those cigarettes from bond he pays the federal excise tax on those cigarettes.

All of the cigarettes that are here that aren't black mark or coming in from China or where have you have a federal tax on them.

Each state requires its tax stamping agents who are the entities that are permitted to import cigarettes from the manufacturer into the State, to tax stamp each and every cigarette within a 24 hour period.

Those tax stamps are purchased through Albany. The distributor pays cash for them

1.5

Albany. The distributor pays cash for them which come out of his bank account the morning that he receives the stamps and he applies them.

These stamps have a State tax element to them, if they are to be sold outside of

New York City. If they are to be sold in

New York City they have both the City tax

and the State tax included in the value of
the stamp.

Additionally, since 1995, the pre-collection of sales tax is also added to the value of the stamp and moves through the channel of distribution from the distributor to the retailer.

It's a very heavily regulated industry and each license has its responsibilities.

12 If a distributor sells to an Indian

Reservation, Native American store or sells
to the military or sells out-of-state, the
following month on his tax return he gives
copies of those invoices to the Tax
Department and the Tax Department removes
those from his requirements.

So that each month the manufacturers
give the Tax Department a listing of what

give the Tax Department a listing of what cigarettes they provided the tax stamping agent, and that has to be matched against his purchases of tax stamps.

If, in fact, there is a problem, he's

1 audited and he pays the difference.

In fact, if there is a fire in his warehouse and those stamps are burnt, he paid the tax on them.

There is no reimbursement. If a retailer purchases cartons of cigarettes on credit and does not pay, doesn't matter, the State gets paid.

There is a 100 percent incidence of collection on the part of the State on this particular tax like no other.

Now, this is not unique to New York, I believe today it's 49 states out of the 50 states require the tax stamping of

cigarettes. We talked about counterfeit cigarettes, Commissioner Comiskey talked about cigarettes coming in from other states and so on and so forth. Let me point out a counterfeit tax stamp has no value whatsoever in and of itself, intrinsically, it's only value is

unstamped package of cigarettes.

The only source of unstamped packages of cigarettes here in New York State is from the Native American stores.

when someone illegally applies it to an

There is no other means. If a distributor attempted to do that, within a month he would be taken away in handcuffs.

Next, I would like to point out since the committee is very, very interested in the collection of taxation and why it hasn't been corrected for two decades, your questions seem to center around how much tax are we talking about.

And let me tell you, no one understands that better than the distributors who have lost a certain amount of volume in this

State which is incompatible with the amount of fall off in national consumption. If we look at 1995, for instance, when -- in the aftermath of the Supreme Court ruling that the State of New York was perfectly within its right to tax Native American sales to non-natives, and having taken into consideration all of the arguments concerning treaties and concerning

other rights, they decided 9 to 0, 9 to 0 that New York's scheme of taxing was correct.

And what was that scheme? That scheme was coupons.

Tax every carton of cigarettes as

prescribed by New York Law and the law of

every other state in the union, and at the

same time, at the same time preserve

sovereign rights by issuing coupons to an

acceptable amount for every Native American

who wishes to smoke on his Reservation to

receive that coupon that he would use in

payment of cigarettes that would be

purchased, so that he would only pay the

cigarette portion and the tax portion, in

effect, would be paid by the coupon,

18 therefore no taxation for American Indian 19 consumption. The illegal sales of cigarettes come by 20 differently. 21 22 Within these past 15 years our industry 23 has been besieged by the ravages of 24 contraband, criminal and terrorism elements 73 and governmental interference. Most 1 distributors have gone out of business. From well over 100 tax stamping agents we 3 have a handful now.

The ones who have survived have taken a chance.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

The chance they have taken is that the Governor's, starting with Governor Pataki, would not enforce the law and would not claw back the taxes that they were not applying to cigarettes and selling them to the Indian Reservation stores.

And what happened as a result of this is ten distributors decided to begin to sell to them, and from 15 million cartons a year, as Deputy Comiskey said, it grew two years ago to a high of 47 million cartons.

18 Since Native Americans, although they

are responsible to report under the Jenkins

Act, which is a Federal Law, and they are

responsible to report under federal laws,

they do not do so, so we really have no

knowledge of how many of these cartons are

going interstate and how many are coming

1	- n + n	+ h	State.
	1 111.0		SLALE.

2 Of those 47 million or what
3 Commissioner Comiskey says now is down to 25
4 million.

But we have empirical data and that's the following; when New York in the late '80s was doing almost 200 million cartons of taxed cigarettes and today we tax only 44 million cartons of cigarettes, one must ask where the missing cartons are?

Now, they can be in two places; one is less demand, and the other is illegal sales.

So let's address the first. Cigarette consumption in the United States during this period has declined by approximately 1.5 percent.

If I go back to 1995 when we first tried to implement regulations concerning the coupon scheme, New York State was doing at that time 122 million cartons of

21 cigarettes. 22 Today they are doing 44 million. If we look at the reduction in national 23 consumption in that same period of time, 24 75 1 it's 28 percent. 2 If we look at what's happened in this State, it's fallen by 64 percent. 3 The difference, I maintain, is not that New York smokers are smarter than smokers in the rest of the country and have chosen to 6 give it up at 3 times the rate, but rather as Native American usage went up, legitimate 8 9 usage went down. 10 And each time that the legislature increased taxation they increased the 11 differential between what a person could pay 12 legitimately and what a person could pay 13 14 illegitimately. 15 So two things happened, number one, Native American sales increased greatly 16 through the internet, and secondly, 17 18 secondly, as has been documented hundreds of 19 times, we have organized crime and cottage

industry crime picking up large quantities

of cigarettes from the Native American

20

Reservations and selling them on the street

corners of New York with street merchants

and what I call schoolyard pushers.

The difference is this, go over to a licensed store and pay \$9 or \$10 for a pack of cigarettes, or buy it for \$5 or \$6 from a street merchant standing right outside the front of that store.

Now that's what started to happen in the late '90s and particularly after the City excise tax increased from 80 cents a carton to \$15 a carton in 2002, but that wasn't good enough.

Bodegas were being put out of business and they recognized at that time that there was no way that policemen were going to arrest kids with backpacks, many of them gang members, incidentally, and some of them with other organized crime selling these cigarettes in competition with them.

Because the few arrests, in 2003 the
Tax Department arrested 234 of these
individuals of the 234 individuals the
largest fine issued was \$250, and most of it
never went to court.

The courts really can't be bothered in

1	cartons of cigarettes, it's not going to
2	happen.
3	So as they were being put out of
4	business and as they couldn't pay their rent
5	anymore, they decided to do something
6	differently, induced by ads, these
7	particular ads from the Seneca stores, for
8	instance, we will not tell any government
9	about this transaction.
10	Buy ten cartons at a time and pay no
11	freight.
12	Well, let me ask you a question, ten
13	cartons of cigarettes are 100 packs, how
14	many of us, how many of us as individuals,
15	individual consumers are going to by 100
16	packs of cigarettes at a time?
17	It's ridiculous. It wasn't being
18	bought for that purpose, it was being bought
19	for the purposes of resale.
20	Why get in my car and drive all the way
21	up to Salamanca, New York or some place else
22	to by 49 cartons, not 50 mind you, because I
23	might be in violation of the Federal
24	Contraband Act, so I buy 49 cartons at a

1	1	time, maybe come back tomorrow for another
2	2	49, or go next door to another outlet and by
3	3	another 49 and try to get and maybe have
2	4	a State Trooper stop me, when I can just
	5	order 10 cartons or more every day on the
(6	internet.
-	7	So what did some of my storekeepers do
8	3	when I saw that there were no more Newports
9	9	and Marlboro's on the orders anymore?
10)	I said where are you getting your
11	1	cigarettes?
12	2	Well, I found out, what they were doing
13	3	is they were buying it on the internet 10
14	1	cartons, 15, 20 cartons at a time and
15	5	turning around and giving it to their kids
16	5	when they came out of school to stand in
1	7	front of their own stores and hawk them for
18	3	a buck less than the street merchants were
19	9	hawking them for to get rid of the
20)	competition and then raise the price a buck
21	1	or two, and hopefully they didn't have any
22	2	war with the crime elements that pretty much
23	3	thought that street corner was their
24	4	territorial place to sell cigarettes.

1 So what we did, what we did eventually is we took 10 wholesalers who couldn't make 2 a living otherwise and we converted them to 3 become Native American sellers, and we took 5 perfectly legitimate retailers who were 6 being threatened with their businesses, whose cartons went down 75 percent from 2001 8 to 2003 after the excise tax increase, and we made thieves out of them as well, and 9 their children, by the way. 10 11 Now most of these are first and second 12 generation immigrants. What have we taught these people who came from countries where 13 the rule of law wasn't quiet as meaningful 14 15 as it is here in this country? 16 We have taught them that we are the same, that the rule of law here does not 17 exist, that respect, respect for the law, 18 19 okay, is secondary to staying in business, 20 feeding your family and making a profit. 21 That's what we have done Now, let's talk about selling to minors. I have owned 22 23 retail smoke shops around, Smokers' 23 Paradise store, State Street in Albany, is

1	an	example	of	а	store	that	Ι	own.
---	----	---------	----	---	-------	------	---	------

The point of the matter, the point of
the matter is I have lost two of my stores
because my clerks foolishly sold to some 6
foot 4 kid with a beard who they thought was
27 years old who turned out to be 17 years
old with state representatives coming in
behind him.

I have lost two of my stores. More than \$200,000 in profit. I did so with my head held high, anybody can make a mistake.

That's the price you pay, okay, for not taking care of your business and not respecting the law.

Guilty as charged. However, the kids who are selling, kids, men, others, middle eastern types, particularly, that are selling cigarettes on street corners of New York, okay, they have no license to lose.

Yes, they are selling to our youth and they are selling cheaply to our youth, and many of them are hanging out around schoolyards at 3:00 and selling cigarettes to our children.

Where do they come from? Well, my
people have gone, they have looked, they

3	have seen, they have no tax stamp on it,
4	what does that tell me?
5	There is only one place that these
6	cigarettes come from. I am not accusing the
7	Seven Tribes of aiding and abetting, I am
8	not accusing them of doing anything other
9	than being smart merchants, using their
10	political pressures in an attempt to
11	influence government, spending millions of
12	dollars on ad campaigns and a couple of
13	million dollars on which I have the
14	figures here on lobbying.
15	However in the past 15 years they have
16	made not hundreds of millions of dollars,
17	but several billions of dollars of profit.
18	SENATOR JOHNSON: Would you mind, if
19	you could sum up, take a couple of more
20	minutes, but I've got a lengthy list of
21	people to testify.
22	MR. ROSENTHAL: Certainly. I will
23	sum up very quickly by saying
24	SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you.

1 MR. ROSENTHAL: The question I think
2 that addresses the committee is simply this,
3 can the special interests of the few who

4	have made fortunes in the past 15 years, be
5	more important than the 18 million New
6	Yorkers that each of you have pledged
7	responsibility for?
8	SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr.
9	Rosenthal.
10	My questions are limited, but given
11	your vast experience in the industry, would
12	you be able to do you know or do you have
13	a belief as to how much revenue, sales tax
14	revenue, cigarette tax revenue New York is
15	losing by the failure to collect sales tax
16	on sales to non-Native Americans?
17	MR. ROSENTHAL: Yes, I do, I have a
18	very, very good number and it goes like
19	this.
20	According to national consumption, New
21	Yorkers are now smoking approximately 90 to
22	92 million cartons of cigarettes and we are
23	taxing 44 million.
24	Of the 44 million that we are taxing,

1	we would be taxing approximately 52 million
2	if 8 million were not coming in out-of-state
3	from other sources, usually with tax stamps
4	from lower tax jurisdictions like North
5	Carolina.

6	The difference between 52 million and
7	92 million is 40 million cartons.
8	If we take the ratio of cigarettes that
9	are sold in the state to the ratio that's
10	sold in the City and we add the sales tax
11	and excise taxes, we average approximately
12	\$41 to \$42 a carton, let me round it out to
13	\$40.
14	40 million cartons times \$40 is \$1.6
15	billion, that's the number, with all due
16	respect to Commissioner Comiskey.
17	Any other questions?
18	SENATOR JOHNSON: Senator Klein.
19	SENATOR KLEIN: Thank you for
20	testifying today, Mr. Rosenthal.
21	I have two questions, the first you
22	talked about briefly I would like you, if
23	you can get into some detail, it's clear
24	when they crack down on a lot of the people

1	who dealt with counterfeit stamps that it
2	was actually tied to terrorist activities.
3	I know you mentioned a little bit, car
4	you elaborate on that?
5	MR. ROSENTHAL: Yes, I can. There
6	have been approximately a dozen incidents of

7 terrorist funding due to that.

Large amounts of cigarettes are

purchased, and there have been, as I said,

approximately a dozen different arrests that

have been made, usually these are massive

amounts of cigarettes that wind up in a

warehouse either in Queens or Brooklyn,

typically.

One was in the Bronx, one was in

Yonkers, and they buy the cigarettes from

Indian Reservations, they turn around and
buy the tax stamps, I have been told, that
some of the Russian mob artists are
extremely good at this and now the Chinese
are doing very well with it, and they buy
these stamps for 2.5 cents a stamp.

Now, the stamp is worth with the sales tax approximately \$5 in New York City, but

the stamp is purchased for 2.5 cents because really it's only a cockamamie on a sheet of paper.

They take an iron and they put it on each pack of cigarettes and then what they do is they sell them through intermediaries in small panel vans usually to middle eastern smoke shops around the City where

9	they are being sold as counterfeit.
10	SENATOR KLEIN: I guess the reason
11	why they are purchased from Native American
12	Reservations is because there isn't a tax as
13	a compared to some of the low tax
14	jurisdictions which I think Virginia is 25
15	cents.
16	MR. ROSENTHAL: Northern Virginia
17	would be, Virginia would be, that is a
18	secondary consideration, because when you
19	are talking about making \$50 on a carton,
20	whether you pay 25 cents or not is not the
21	issue.
22	The issue is if you have a tax stamp
23	already on a pack it's almost impossible to
24	get it off without mutilation.

1	And it's almost impossible to exactly
2	aim carefully and put the other tax stamp on
3	top of it without it being recognized, it's
4	far easier to take raw materials.
5	SENATOR KLEIN: Let me interrupt your
6	answer.
7	So in other words, if you are if you
8	are going to put a counterfeit stamp onto a
9	pack of cigarettes, you need a pack of

10	cigarettes that doesn't have a stamp at all?
11	MR. ROSENTHAL: Bingo, thank you.
12	SENATOR KLEIN: One more question.
13	The age verification that you talked about
14	when this purchase is made over the
15	internet, is there any kind of license
16	required, is there any kind of way that
17	somebody is required to show an ID when they
18	purchase cigarettes from a Native American
19	website?
20	MR. ROSENTHAL: The answer is no.
21	There is a statement that you have to admit
22	that you are more than 18 years of age, but
23	there is no verification of that fact.
24	Likewise, when the U.S. Post Office

1	delivers such cigarettes
2	SENATOR KLEIN: That was my next
3	question, when they deliver the cigarettes
4	and it's now only the United States Postal
5	Service, do they require that you have to
6	deliver it to someone who is of age?
7	MR. ROSENTHAL: The Postal Service,
8	unlike liquor that will not deliver it
9	without a signatory being over 18 years of
10	age, refuses to go through the trouble of
11	age verification and therefore does not do

12	so.
13	SENATOR KLEIN: Thank you.
14	SENATOR JOHNSON: Senator Klein.
15	Senator Nozziolo.
16	SENATOR NOZZIOLO: Thank you, Senator
17	Klein, thank you Mr, Rosenthal. Very
18	telling, compelling testimony. If your
19	understanding of the law as these problems
20	were listed, one after the other, businesses
21	being forced out, using illegal activity to
22	survive and compete, fostering tobacco
23	products on the youngsters of our
24	communities, do you believe that these

1	problems did not exist before, the uneven
2	taxation process and the explosion of sales
3	from the Indian Reservations?
4	MR. ROSENTHAL: I would say
5	approximately 6 to 7 percent of them did and
6	93 to 94 percent did not.
7	SENATOR NOZZIOLO: It's been my
8	opinion that the only thing worse than
9	taxation is taxation that's distributed
10	unevenly.
11	And that this type of process, these
12	types of processes that we are trying to get

13	to are to establish fairness across the
14	board.
15	You mentioned briefly the Jenkins Act.
16	MR. ROSENTHAL: Yes.
17	SENATOR NOZZIOLO: How does that work
18	in terms of its implementation in the
19	marketplace?
20	MR. ROSENTHAL: The Jenkins Act works
21	as follows; any entity that sells cigarettes
22	to a jurisdiction other than the one he's
23	domiciled in whereby he is not responsible
24	to collect that tax directly but that does

1 have a tax, he's under an obligation to 2 report to that taxing authority who he sold 3 to, when he sold to and how much he sold to so that taxing authority has the ability to send out a Sales and Use Tax form to collect 5 6 the tax on its own. SENATOR NOZZIOLO: How is the 8 enforcement of this law, the federal government? 10 MR. ROSENTHAL: The enforcement has 11 been fairly good by the federal government, 12 there has been some -- between the Justice 13 Department, the FBI and BATF.

14

There has been some juggling as to who

15 has ultimate responsibility, but I believe 16 that that has now been worked out under the 17 present administration. SENATOR NOZZIOLO: One last question, 18 19 we asked the Deputy Commissioner of Taxation 20 about the implementation of federal tax; who 21 pays that federal tax, is it being paid 22 universally. 23 What's your experience been with the 24 federal excise taxes relative to

90

particularly internet and Indian sales? 1 MR. ROSENTHAL: Well, as I said before, the domestic manufacturers have 3 their bonded warehouses adjacent to their plants. When they remove from bond they pay the 6 federal excise tax of \$10 a carton. 7 The two areas of concern would be 8 9 cigarettes coming in from outside of the United States illegally, and cigarettes that 10 are produced by -- well, I must say it, 11 12 there is an \$18 million taxes due by an 13 upstate Native American Reservation that was 14 producing their own brand of cigarettes, and

the federal excise taxes were found not to

16	be paid and there was an \$18 million due
17	bill.
18	The resolution of that I don't know, I
19	believe it wound up in court.
20	SENATOR NOZZIOLO: Thank you, Mr.
21	Rosenthal. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
22	SENATOR JOHNSON: Senator Golden.
23	SENATOR GOLDEN: A similar follow-up
24	question and it's where I was, where I was

going to take -- the Nations that are 1 sending in, where are these untaxed 3 cigarettes coming from, other Nations that are coming in and where are they coming in 5 from? MR. ROSENTHAL: There are several 6 websites which were recently closed down, I don't know if any new once came up from 8 9 Switzerland. Whereby you can buy not an American 10 11 Marlboro, but a Marlboro made with -- for the European market, slightly different 12 mixture, for as little as \$18 a carton. 13 14 I believe that site was closed down, I 15 don't know of any others right now, except

I'm told by representatives of both Philip

Morris and Lorillard Tobacco Companies that

16

18	occasionally black market cigarettes i.e.,
19	counterfeit Marlboro's and counterfeit
20	Newports, occasionally come in from China
21	and North Korea.
22	It's rare, but it happens.
23	SENATOR GOLDEN: Have there been
24	seizures by the ATF or other seizures along
	92
	92
1	the coastlines along New York?
2	MR. ROSENTHAL: There was one seizure
3	a while back in upstate New York, there were
4	two of them in New Mexico and one in
5	California in the last three years.
6	SENATOR GOLDEN: The one in New York,
7	where was that going?
8	MR. ROSENTHAL: I don't want to
9	mention names, I might be wrong.
10	However somebody, did go to jail over
11	it in the industry, and a particular Native
12	American wound up in jail as well.
13	SENATOR GOLDEN: That would not be
14	privileged information, that's not
15	privileged information, we should be able to
16	get that information for our committee, what

17 that seizure was, where that seizure came

18

from, where that seizure was earmarked for.

19	And I would like to have that
20	information.
21	Do you believe that there is presently,
22	and when I say believe, I am going to be
23	very careful on that word, do you have an
24	understanding, any understanding that is
	93
1	verifiable that Indian Reservations are
2	currently manufacturing cigarettes in the
3	City of New York?
4	MR. ROSENTHAL: I know there is a
5	Seneca brand and there is another brand of
6	smoking Joes, there are several other
7	brands, I'm not at this time aware of
8	precisely where they are being manufactured
9	or what the legality of that is, so I cannot
10	opine on that.
11	SENATOR GOLDEN: Because there has
12	been conversation that shipments from China
13	have arrived in the State of New York
14	untaxed and are being taxed with, some would
15	say, with a phony tax stamp, others are
16	saying coming right out of the Indian
17	Reservation.
18	Those are strong statements, unless we
19	can verify those statements.

That's the type of statements that I am

22	verifying those statements, and that the
23	cigarettes on some of these Indian
24	Reservations are not necessarily New York,
	94
1	but across the nation are manufacturing
2	cigarettes and have they have a complete
3	work force of 1,000 people working on some
4	of these Indian Reservations to manufacture
5	these cigarettes.
6	That is verifiable, correct?
7	MR. ROSENTHAL: It is.
8	SENATOR GOLDEN: Thank you. Last
9	question, the policy that we have used here
10	in the State of New York to collect taxes
11	under the Pataki administration, the last
12	administration and now the present
13	administration has had difficulty in coming
14	up with a Compact or a way, a vehicle in
15	which to get these Indian Reservations to
16	pay taxes on their cigarettes and oil.
17	Do you think it should have taken the
18	State of New York 10 years so far, I
19	believe, since we began those negotiations
20	to get to collect these?
21	MR. ROSENTHAL: Senator, they were

hearing, but we have to find a way of

begun in 1988 in contemplation of the taxing scheme which led to the Attea case which led to the 1994 Supreme Court ruling.

The State recognized at that time that sin taxes were going to be -- were going to greatly cause a differential between taxed and untaxed product and that they needed to close that loophole.

They ran into a problem with political will, and do I think it should have taken this long? For 90 percent of my industry not to be with us any longer, for me to be out of the business for the same reason and for the State of New York to have lost billions of dollars, no, I don't.

I think it's a crime.

SENATOR GOLDEN: I happen to agree with you, sir, and I think it is beyond a crime.

Especially when we have today the State of New York with a drop dead date of December 15th of \$4 billion and if we don't come up with that \$4 billion, we are going to have to start issuing IOUs like that of the State of California.

We have the same problem in the City of

1	coming up the deficits keep growing and
2	people just keeping on finding ways to hide
3	paying taxes.
4	This is supposed to be the greatest
5	State in the greatest nation in the world
6	and we seem to be held up here in
7	negotiations and in collecting money that is
8	owed the State of New York.
9	And we all believe, and so does the
10	courts, that it should be collected legally.
11	I thank you for your testimony, sir.
12	SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you very
13	much, Senator Golden. I just want to also
14	acknowledge Senator Griffo is here, and with
15	that said, thank you very much, Mr.
16	Rosenthal, for your testimony today.
17	I am now going to invite up Peter
18	Kiernan, Counsel to the Governor's office,
19	Governor's counsel as the next witness.
20	MR. ROSENTHAL: Thank you, sir.
21	PETER J. KIERNAN,
22	called as a witness, having been first duly
23	sworn by the Counsel to the Committee, was
24	examined and testified as follows:

1	SENATOR JOHNSON: Mr. Kiernan, if you
2	could just state your name and title for the
3	record.
4	MR. KIERNAN: Certainly. It's Peter
5	J. Kiernan, I am counsel to the Governor.
6	I am joined by David Rose, who is
7	assistant counsel to the Governor.
8	Much of Mr. Rose's portfolio has to do
9	with Native American affairs.
10	SENATOR JOHNSON: Mr. Kiernan, is Mr.
11	Rose going to provide any type of testimony?
12	If so, would you mind, we would like to
13	swear him in as well.
14	MR. KIERNAN: Sure I think he's going
15	to be here to answer questions that I can't.
16	DAVID ROSE
17	Called as a witness, having been first
18	duly sworn by the Counsel to the Committee,
19	was examined and testified as follows:
20	SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you.
21	MR. KIERNAN: Members of the
22	Committee, good morning, thank you for your
23	invitation.
24	The complex issues presented by the

Τ	sales of untaxed digarettes on Native
2	American territories are subject to very
3	frequent review, and this is an update.
4	It is very important to stress at the
5	outset that meaningful analysis requires a
6	broad and realistic view.
7	The arguments involved are legal and
8	historic, they are deeply rooted in culture,
9	human nature, antiquity, treaties and
10	principles of comity amongst sovereign
11	Nations.
12	The issues involve the rights of both
13	individuals and groups and of generations
14	not yet born.
15	The issues also involve government
16	policy objectives that may be in conflict.
17	For example, it is the State's policy
18	to discourage smoking as a matter of public
19	safety, excuse me, as a matter of public
20	health.
21	But it is also the State's policy and
22	duty to protect and promote public safety.
23	We seek to reconcile circumstances that
24	bring those objectives into conflict.

1	So, too, we are obligated to endeavor
2	to see all contours and dimensions of the
3	problems we fund.
4	The velocity of money circulating in
5	the State's economy is an important
6	consideration in that endeavor.
7	A U.S. dollar spent on an Indian
8	Reservation in New York is a dollar put into
9	motion in the New York State economy.
10	Every time that dollar is respent or
11	invested it is good for New York.
12	So there is a texture to these issues
13	that we try to recognize.
14	Within this analytical framework and at
15	a time when the State is experiencing a
16	severe and unprecedented revenue crisis, it
17	is wise to discuss the central questions
18	raised by this hearing.
19	Permit me to begin with the policy of
20	forbearance as established by the Pataki
21	administration.
22	That policy simply stated was not to
23	pursue collection of sales and excise taxes
2.4	on digaratton and fuel calon at Matiro

1 American Reservations, or sales of 2 cigarettes and fuel to Reservation

3	facilities.
4	That policy is well described by Mr.
5	Comiskey, was not continued by the Spitzer
6	administration and is not embraced by the
7	Patterson administration.
8	Rather, each administration subsequent
9	to Governor Pataki has pursued active
10	negotiation and litigation in an effort to
11	achieve a peaceful resolution of this
12	complicated matter.
13	The Paterson administration also is
14	vigorously enforcing against bootlegging and
15	smuggling and is assisting federal
16	enforcement actions.
17	There are many who argue that the only
18	correct policy choice is to enforce existing
19	law with respect to tax collection. While
20	that remains an option, it is a one
21	dimensional choice that could have

deleterious consequences that could include

resistance, violence, retrenchment, crime,

economic harm and poison relationships that

101

1	otherwise	should	be	nurt	ured	and
2	characteri	zed by	mut	ual	respe	ect.

22

23

24

3 A policy choice preferred by Governor

Paterson within the context of negotiation 4 is to arrive at a variant of tax parity. Under such a regime, cigarette and fuel 6 products sold by Native Americans on Native 8 American lands would be at a price that 9 would be roughly equivalent to prices 10 charged by non-Indian merchants on 11 non-Indian land. 12 This would create a roughly level competitive landscape and also would 13 discourage cigarette consumption. 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A principal of comity, however, namely that each purveyor of product be it a native or non-native American has a right to pursue profit maximization.

That also should be respected. Thus an objective sought is that the Indian Nations would establish minimum price floors for their tobacco and fuel products, and if that minimum price were to be lower than the State's prescribed minimum price, then the

102

differential would be contributing to a to
be created -- to be created regional
entities and served as a revenue stream that
would back the issuance of tax free debt by
those entities.

6 The differential contributions would be matched by the State and the proceeds of the ensuing borrowings would be supplied to 8 regional economic development and 9 10 infrastructure projects designed 11 specifically to benefit equally Native 12 Americans and New York residents living 13 adjacent to or near tribal Reservations. 14 The to be created infrastructure projects would result in roads and bridges, 15 water projects, environmental measures and 16 healthcare facilities. 17 18 Now, the State broached this approach in intense negotiations it held with the 19 United Nations this past spring, the United 20 21 Nations projected a tax parity stream in 22 exchange for a variety of State and county

24 concerned with land claims and real property

actions primarily, but not exclusive,

103

1	tax	disputes
1	tax	aisputes

23

2

3

4

	The United	d's versio	on of ta	ax pari	ty was
that	they would	d add to t	their pr	ricing	schemes
the a	amount of a	applicable	e State	taxati	on.

With respect to cigarettes where the State requires the minimum price and the

7 United Nations does not, that meant that a
8 carton of cigarettes sold at a tribal
9 facility would cost about \$7 less than the
10 same carton sold at a non-tribal facility in
11 the same area.
12 Similarly, the differential for each

Similarly, the differential for each gallon of fuel sold at a Save On gas station owned by the United would be about 5 cents a gallon.

The State proposed that there be tax parity with price equality. Pursuant to that proposal, the differentials of \$7 a carton and 5 cents a gallon would be contributed to an entity qualified to issue tax free debt.

The State estimated that just the United contribution alone would support a borrowing of \$38 million, an equal State

1	match, about \$2.5 million a year would allow
2	a borrowing of about \$76 million, all of
3	which would be invested in Oneida and
4	Madison counties where the Oneida Indian
5	lands and lands in dispute among the Oneidas
6	and the counties are located.

If some of the proceeds of the land issue were to be employed as a local match

for federal highway funds or other federal programs requiring matching funds, the leverage effect of the borrowing in the infrastructure improvements the borrowings would support would be substantially greater. Now, the United negotiations did not come to flower because Madison County opposed land concessions the Oneidas sought

from it and Oneida County ultimately rejected the land claim settlement its County Executive had negotiated.

These land claim dimensions were not items of discussions between the Oneidas and the State, although they are evidence of the complexity that can affect State and Indian

1	NT - +	
1	Nation	negotiations.

As the Paterson administration has learned, there are a variety of issues between the State and each Indian Nation. Each issue that arises deserves careful attention and openmindedness.

Solving them and other issues that may evolve requires healthy courses of dealing, cooperation, comity and trust.

Any precipitous action that would adversely affect these essential elements of positive dialogue would set back progress on all important matters of concern among the Indian Nations and the State.

Although negotiations with the Oneidas

Although negotiations with the Oneidas stalled, a principle was established and the State intends to pursue a tax parity approach with respect to cigarette and fuel sales by other Indian Nations.

There can be many useful variations on this theme, and the Paterson administration is open to creative approaches and it endeavors to develop variations designed to reduce cigarette consumption and share

economic development.

All negotiations require strategy and the tactics necessary to implement the strategy.

A strategic element that has been achieved is that Governor Paterson has met personally with representatives of the Indian Nations.

The Nations have no reason to doubt the Governor's good faith or his commitment to meaningful negotiations.

12 They also have no reason to doubt his 13 commitment to law enforcement action against blatant disregard of the tax laws as 14 witnessed by the state's robust actions 15 16 against smuggling, bootlegging and sham financial transactions involving cigarette 17 18 sales. The State's very vigorous pursuit of 19 20 litigation with respect to cigarette taxes underscores its commitment to peaceful 21 22 resolution. 23 But litigation also should be viewed as

107

1 negotiations.

24

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

As Mr. Comiskey described, the question whether the injunction imposed -- excuse me, imposed by the Fourth Department against tax enforcement focused on licensed stamping may be before the New York Court of Appeals this winter.

a tactic, one designed to promote productive

If the State prevails, as it hopes to do, it immediately will proceed to enforcement against licensed agents who will be required to pay the applicable taxes.

The State will be able to do so by

13	issuing coupons to Indian Nations. A move
14	that likely would be resisted, perhaps with
15	violence, but certainly with widespread
16	noncompliance.
17	Until there is a litigation result, the
18	State will endeavor to reach a negotiated
19	solution.
20	At all times the option to promulgate
21	resolutions and issue options will be a
22	possibility.
23	Selective enforcement, taking the paths
24	of least resistance also remains a possible

1 tactic. 2 Members of the Committee, some final 3 words about possible violence and its cost. In 1992 and 1997 there were violent reactions to attempts to collect cigarette 5 6 taxes. Members of the Seneca Nation engaged in 8 activities that caused serious injury, major disruptions and threats to public safety that required deployment of substantial 10 11 state police resources at a significant 12 cost. 13 On both occasions the State suspended

its collection efforts.

The latter episode gave rise to the
policy of forbearance and subsequent
legislative efforts to proscribe less
intrusive methods of enforcement, as, for
example, the proscription to issue coupons
allowing native members to purchase
cigarettes tax free at Reservation
facilities, but requiring full taxation of
purchases of cigarettes by non-Native
members at such facilities.

Governor Paterson has been several times advised that were he to order tax enforcement, technically at Seneca Nation facilities, there again would be violence.

Interpolating to today's values, the actual costs incurred in 1992 and 1997 which costs are projected to be quite similar in scope, the Governor has been advised that the cost of law enforcement would offset whatever gains might be achieved by tax collections.

And that is without trying to assess the costs of physical injury, or the loss of life, or possible property damage or the psychical harm of foregone opportunity to

live in peace with those who are entitled to sovereignty and their interpretation of what that means. It is the product of state police intelligence that violence and resistance at other Indian Nations also may occur. I also should relate that the Governor is advised that should he not relent, and

that a police problem could quickly escalate

a to a military one.

the cost of relenting may be incalculable,

The assumptions underlying such advice are formed by intelligence gathering, but the assumptions are untested and the intelligence is being reviewed.

As part of that review, on September 23rd Governor Paterson wrote to the U.S. Attorneys for the Western, Northern and Eastern Districts of New York, each of whom have Sovereign Nations who engage in cigarette sales within their jurisdictions.

The Governor asked them for their assessments of the likelihood of violence were he to commence enforcement.

He also asked them what they would intend to do to mitigate any violent

18	resistance they foresaw.
19	Although I have called these U.S.
20	attorneys several times, there has been no
21	formal response.
22	On an informal basis I am advised that
23	the Department of Justice will defer all
24	threat assessments and law enforcement
	113
1	decisions to the State Police.
2	That is one more reason why the
3	decisions the Governor considers are fraught
4	with difficulty and uncertainty.
5	In due course information may be
6	received, negotiation advanced and
7	litigation determined.
8	And in due course the Governor will
9	make the decisions he wants.
10	In mid course, though, this is the
11	update I can present to you.
12	Thank you for your attention.
13	SENATOR JOHNSON: Mr. Kiernan, thank
14	you very much for being here today.
15	It may not surprise you that a number
16	of members of the Committee do have
17	questions.

18 Let me start by maybe you can clear up,

19	I am a little confused, I am trying to
20	understand what is the Paterson
21	administration's position with respect to
22	the collection of cigarette taxes from the
23	American sales to non-Native Americans?
24	You indicate in your statement that,
	112
1	and correct me if I'm wrong, you don't
2	embrace the policy of forbearance.
3	MR. KIERNAN: That's correct.
4	SENATOR JOHNSON: You testified that
5	your policy, or you are pursuing what's
6	called tax parity as a resolution, yet while
7	you don't embrace forbearance, has the
8	Paterson administration undertaken any
9	efforts to collect these taxes?
10	MR. KIERNAN: Well, thank you,
11	Senator, I appreciate that.
12	I think Mr. Comiskey outlined some of
13	the steps that the administration has taken,
14	and I certainly alluded to them, I will
15	elaborate some more.
16	I think there was an appendix to Mr.
17	Comiskey's submission that outlines in
18	detail, more detail than I can offer, many
19	of the operations of law enforcement.

They are mostly focused on unlicensed

21 stamping agents, on bootlegging, on 22 counterfeiting and other means of evasion, including smuggling. 23 The Department of Taxation and Finance, 2.4 113 1 Enforcement Division also has been working 2 with the federal government and with the City of New York in its enforcement efforts. 3 Now, if you are asking me specifically, Senator, the State's policy other than that, 5 I think I will try to state that the 6 Governor's policy is one of negotiation and litigation. 8 9 With respect to litigation, as I am 10 sure you are aware, the Fourth Department has affirmed a lower court's holding 11 enjoining implementation of the statute that 12 the Governor signed in December of 2008 13 14 which would authorize the State to proceed 15 against licensed stamping agents. I believe as Mr. Comiskey probably 16 related to you, forgive me, I wasn't here 17 18 when he spoke, but that there are 5 of 10 19 licensed agents that 100 percent of their

sales are of unstamped cigarettes to Indian

Nations, and four others have a large

20

22 majority of their sales to Indian Nations.
23 It this statute was designed to allow
24 the State to proceed directly against the

114

1 licensed stamping agents and in conjunction 2 with actions against the licensed stamping agents would allow the State to interdict the supply of illegal cigarettes, illegal in the sense under State law because they are 5 not stamped. 7 And it would not be intrusive against the Indian Nations as the '92 and '97 --9 1992 and 1997 actions were. Just to wrap that up, we are hopeful 10 that the litigation circumstance will be 11 12 resolved no later than this spring. In the midterm or during that period of 13 14 time we hope to, as you indicated, negotiate an alternative, which is tax parity with 15 16 price equality. 17 SENATOR JOHNSON: But based on your 18 testimony, the only negotiation -- the 19 Oneida negotiation where you are using tax 20 parity method, that negotiation broke down,

MR. KIERNAN: It did, although it -that's not to say it cannot be revived, it

is that correct?

21

22

1	involve the Oneidas were seeking specific
2	relief that only the counties could give
3	them, and they were not matters of the
4	State.
5	SENATOR JOHNSON: Are you currently
6	in active negotiation with any Native
7	American tribes that sell cigarettes to
8	collect on either collect on cigarette
9	taxes or impose this tax parity plan?
10	MR. KIERNAN: We have brought to the
11	attention of several of the Nations our
12	desire to pursue this course from several of
13	the Nations in meetings with the Governor
14	have brought to him and to us the issues
15	that they have.
16	Many of these issues are completely
17	unrelated to tax parity or cigarette
18	taxation.
19	Some of them link them, others refuse
20	to link them.
21	It's not our policy to link, but rather
22	to address issues separately and try to
23	achieve resolutions of all the issues.
24	SENATOR JOHNSON: So while you have

1	had conversations and you may categorize
2	them as negotiations or not, you have a
3	feeling of where particular tribes have
4	particular positions, you are, though, not
5	making any effort to collect on the
6	cigarette taxes on the Reservations?
7	MR. KIERNAN: If you mean by that,
8	which I take it that you mean, that we are
9	not issuing coupons which would cause the
10	injunction of the Fourth Department to be
11	lifted, the answer is correct, we are hoping
12	that the Court of Appeals will do that for
13	us, but as I indicated, that is something
14	that is under frequent review, the most
15	frequent review, formal reviews occurred in
16	mid-September, but I can assure you that one
17	occurred last night when the Governor and I
18	discussed this rather thoroughly.
19	SENATOR JOHNSON: So in a nutshell,
20	while you may not embrace the term
21	forbearance, you are currently forbearing on
22	collecting the sales tax, correct?
23	MR. KIERNAN: We are forbearing
24	currently for issuing the coupons.

1	SENATOR JOHNSON: Has the office of
2	budget, or anybody in the executive
3	department, budgeted how much could be
4	collected with respect to the sales tax?
5	MR. KIERNAN: Well, I believe in Mr.
6	Comiskey's testimony which I read, I think
7	he estimates that if there were to be full
8	compliance the revenues to the state would
9	be \$225 million on an annual basis.
10	But he then goes on to describe how
11	there would not be full compliance or that
12	it would be imprudent to expect full
13	compliance.
14	In the division of budget has
15	promulgated an estimate for each of the last
16	several years and its most recent estimate
17	for the current fiscal year, I believe, is
18	in the neighborhood of \$95 million, and I
19	would add to that what I said in my
20	testimony, the Governor is advised that the
21	costs, the likely costs of getting that
22	revenue just in terms of outlays to the
23	police department, State Police Department,
24	would exceed that which the State would

receive. 1 SENATOR JOHNSON: Well, let's focus 3 on that, since you brought that up, who advised the Governor as to the costs of 5 enforcing the collection of the sales tax? 6 MR. KIERNAN: The State Police. 7 SENATOR JOHNSON: Who in the state 8 police? MR. KIERNAN: Well, it's been -- it's not one individual, over time the last 10 meeting that I referred to was Deputy 11 12 Superintendent Perez. 13 SENATOR JOHNSON: Deputy 14 Superintendent Perez? 15 MR. KIERNAN: Yes. But the Deputy 16 Superintendent was speaking for the 17 Department, not for himself. SENATOR JOHNSON: And did Deputy 18 Superintendent come up with a number, a 19 figure, an amount as to what it would cost 20 to enforce the collection efforts? 21

119

interpolation of prior costs. It's just

MR. KIERNAN: As I indicated in my

1 taking prior costs on a daily basis and

testimony, Senator, he gave us an

2 interpolating them to the value of the

22

23

3 dollar today. It is not -- it's a mathematical 5 calculation that's not necessarily the apt calculation. 6 SENATOR JOHNSON: My understanding is 8 there was a public pronouncement by the Governor, and I believe the figure that he 10 stated was \$2 million a day. 11 MR. KIERNAN: Well, I think I didn't hear the Governor say that, I will take your 12 word for it. 13 But the -- that actually would be 14 somewhat less than he was advised, but 15 that's close. 16 17 SENATOR JOHNSON: So why don't you put a figure on it? 18 19 How much, according to the 20 conversations you have had with the State Police, who is not here to testify, how much 21

120

1 MR. KIERNAN: Well, as he indicated,
2 the number \$2 million was laden with
3 assumptions and the assumptions all have to

to collect these sales taxes?

would it cost or what is the number that

you're being advised it would cost per day

22

23

4	be analyzed, that number is in the
5	neighborhood of \$2 million a day, but it's
6	not, to us, anyway, I would let you make
7	draw your own conclusions.
8	Obviously, that number is not it's
9	not one that should be taken as gospel, it's
10	as I said it's an interpolation of what
11	actual costs were in 1992 and 1997.
12	SENATOR JOHNSON: But my problem is
13	that when a public pronouncement is made
14	with respect to a cost, it essentially
15	becomes gospel out into media, that's the
16	number that's thrown around.
17	I think the other week there was when
18	the Obama administration for instance
19	indicated they were going to cut back on
20	bonuses, the Governor announced that we were
21	going to lose \$1 billion, which the number
22	was immediately pushed back by experts

My concern is that numbers are thrown

out that we have to undertake as whether or
not it's true or not, and then now it's
being told it's an interpolation of what the
possible costs are, so we don't actually
have an actual fixed cost, we have a guess.

saying that's not really the number.

23

6	We have a guess of what
7	MR. KIERNAN: Yeah, you have an
8	estimate, and because there is no actual
9	fixed cost, there is no way of knowing what
10	the actual fixed cost would be.
11	One can calculate how many State Police
12	personnel would have to be deployed at
13	various places, how much cost that is to the
14	State Police Department, they have to be
15	housed, they have to be there are
16	logistic costs, all those elements go into
17	it, but the underlying assumption is that
18	you need X number of police, X number of
19	places for X period of days; that's hard to
20	know. Circumstances change.
21	The circumstances in 2009 may be very
22	different than those in 1997, we would hope
23	they would be.
24	SENATOR JOHNSON: Did Mr. Perez

1	provide you with any documentation?
2	MR. KIERNAN: No.
3	SENATOR JOHNSON: This is all oral at
4	a meeting?
5	MR. KIERNAN: This is confidential
6	advice that he gave to the Governor in a

7	confidential meeting and a conversation that
8	goes with it and all of that would be
9	privileged.
10	SENATOR JOHNSON: But he provided no
11	documentation to indicate how he came up
12	with the number of \$2 million?
13	MR. KIERNAN: Well, I think I was
14	sent a table which showed the interpolation,
15	but it doesn't show all the assumptions.
16	SENATOR JOHNSON: So, you are in
17	possession of a document that indicates how
18	\$2 million, how this \$2 million figure came
19	up?
20	MR. KIERNAN: Well, I guess that's
21	one way of interpreting it. He sent me a
22	table that shows an interpolation, it
23	doesn't show the assumptions and it doesn't
24	test the assumptions, it doesn't go into a

1	discussion of the assumptions, it's a
2	mathematical calculation.
3	SENATOR JOHNSON: So my question is
4	why then is there a public pronouncement
5	that it would cost \$2 million if you're not
6	sure what the figure is going to be?
7	Why go out there and claim it's going
8	to cost \$2 million?

9	MR. KIERNAN: Well, you have to ask
10	the governor that, I never heard the
11	Governor say that, state that.
12	The administration did not make that
13	pronouncement, if the Governor was asked the
14	question and he said in my view it could
15	cost, I don't know what his actual words
16	were, but that's what it would have been
17	based on, the confidential advice he
18	received from the police department.
19	SENATOR JOHNSON: In your testimony,
20	you also indicated that, "The Governor
21	Paterson has been several times advised that
22	were he to order tax enforcement,
23	particularly at Seneca Nation facilities,
24	there again would be violence."

1	MR. KIERNAN: There likely.
2	SENATOR JOHNSON: Sorry, there likely
3	would be violence.
4	Who told the Governor that?
5	MR. KIERNAN: That's also the State
6	Police, it's the same advice that we
7	understand that was provided to Governor
8	Spitzer and Governor Pataki.
9	SENATOR JOHNSON: In that

10	conversation with the State Police, was that
11	Mr. Perez again who gave that information to
12	the Governor?
13	MR. KIERNAN: At the mid-September
14	meeting it was Pedro Perez, but at other
15	meetings it has been other personnel.
16	SENATOR JOHNSON: What do they base
17	this information on?
18	MR. KIERNAN: On intelligence.
19	SENATOR JOHNSON: I'm sure that you
20	are aware that there is a section of Penal
21	Law Section 40.20 which involves making
22	terroristic threats; are you aware of that?
23	MR. KIERNAN: Sorry?
24	SENATOR JOHNSON: Making a

1	terroristic threat, are you aware of that
2	this particular section of the penal law?
3	MR. KIERNAN: Not specifically, but
4	generally I am, yes.
5	SENATOR JOHNSON: My understanding it
6	established a Class D felony for anyone who
7	tries to influence the policy of a unit of
8	government by intimidation or coercion by
9	threatening to commit certain violent
10	crimes.
11	Has the State Police investigated as to

12	whether or not persons providing them with
13	the information there likely would be
14	violence have committed this particular
15	crime?
16	MR. KIERNAN: Senator, I don't think
17	it's I would understand the advice that
18	they have received and passed on to be in
19	that form, I don't think they were
20	responding to threats.
21	There is a daily interaction among the
22	personnel of the State Police and members of
23	Indian Nations.

12

126

There is a lot of reasons for that,

1 there are Compacts involving State Police 2 involvement in the Indian Nations, some of it welcomed and others not so welcomed, and 3 the State Police have intelligence gathering which is -- I am not at liberty to discuss 6 and I know very little about it. 7 And that is constantly being refreshed and upon that, on the basis of that 8 9 intelligence and the basis of pass 10 circumstances, they arrive at conclusions. 11 And they give, as I indicated, as we

are advised, the same advice to Governor's

13 Pataki, Spitzer and now Paterson. 14 And we believe that all their 15 assumptions are subject to tests and all of their intelligence is subject to review, and 16 17 that is ongoing. 18 SENATOR JOHNSON: So the State Police 19 have been given you assessments, correct, as 20 to --21 MR. KIERNAN: That's correct. 22 SENATOR JOHNSON: Why, then, I'm just curious, did you also then ask for the 23 assessments from the U.S. Attorney's office 24

127

for the Western, North and Eastern 1 2 Districts, because you have asked them for their assessments of the likelihood of 3 violence. 5 MR. KIERNAN: Yes, because they have separate sources of information, it's part 6 of their responsibility to be monitoring the 8 enforcement of State laws, and you asked questions earlier today about State Laws that are in effect that are intertwined with 10 11 this and we thought it prudent, the Governor thought it prudent to ask them, and as I 12 indicated so we don't have a question. 13 14 SENATOR JOHNSON: That request was

```
15
           made a week ago?
16
                MR. KIERNAN: September 23rd.
                SENATOR JOHNSON:
                                    Thank you. Going
17
           back to the amount of tax collection or tax
18
19
           revenue that Mr. Comiskey indicated was $225
20
           million on an annual basis involving -- not
21
           taking into any noncompliance, the number
22
           you mentioned today was $95?
23
                MR. KIERNAN: With divisional budget.
24
                MR. ROSE: Fair enough, is it in the
                                                     128
           budget, meaning if I go to -- if we review
1
           the budget or I leave here and look through
```

the budget books, will there be a line item? 3 MR. KIERNAN: In the forthcoming 5 executive budget it will not be in the budget. 6 7 SENATOR JOHNSON: Was it in past budgets was it in 2009, '10? 8 9 MR. KIERNAN: It's in past financial 10 plans. SENATOR JOHNSON: But in the actual 11 12 budget, is it in the budget? 13 MR. KIERNAN: Yeah, no, I understand 14 your question and I appreciate it.

I'm not sure. I suspect it was, but

16 remember there is the executive budget then 17 there is the enacted budget, I'm not sure 18 the enacted budget contained an estimate of revenue from taxation of cigarettes and fuel 19 20 on the Indian Reservations, I don't know the 21 answer. 22 That's easy for me to find out, and I 23 will provide it. 24 SENATOR JOHNSON: Us, too, but for

129

the proposed 2010, 2011 budget that's going 1 to be --3 MR. KIERNAN: The executive budget will not contain an estimate. 5 SENATOR JOHNSON: With respect to the 6 negotiations, so the Oneida negotiations have broken down, they are hopeful maybe 8 they get resurrected and you have had conversations with other tribes, can you 9 10 elaborate which tribes have you had those conversations? 11 12 MR. KIERNAN: Yes, there have been 13

MR. KIERNAN: Yes, there have been conversations with the Senecas, with the Mohawks, with the Cayugas, the Onondaguas, the Assistant Counsel has reminded me the Tuscaroras, I don't remember that one, and it's part of the Onondaguas meeting, the

14

15

16

18 Shinnecocks, forgive me. SENATOR JOHNSON: The Shinnecocks 19 haven't received federal recognition yet? 20 21 MR. KIERNAN: No, but that was part 22 of the conversation. 23 SENATOR JOHNSON: And the Governor 24 did put in a letter supporting the 130 recognition. 1 MR. KIERNAN: That's correct. SENATOR JOHNSON: Can you tell me, 3 Mr. Kiernan, in economic terms what benefits 4 does New York State presently provide to the 5 Native American tribes located in New York 6 State? Well, I can't quantify 8 MR. KIERNAN: it, but I think other people may have tried 9 that, but I can certainly make an effort to 10 11 describe it. 12 Some of the Nations actually are large employers and they employ New York State 13 residents. 14 15 They have engendered economic 16 development, some of the casinos are large

employers and generate ancillary tax revenue

to the State, they certainly bring people to

17

19 the State that spend money in the state.
20 So the facilities that they construct,
21 involve New York State contractors and
22 laborers, and I think when one were to do an
23 analysis that will be well beyond my
24 capacities, but if one were to do a proper

131

1	economic analysis of that, I suspect that we
2	would find a substantial net benefit.
3	SENATOR JOHNSON: What does New York
4	State provide to the Native American tribes?
5	I don't disagree that Native American
6	tribes are terrific partners in certain
7	regions of New York State, but I wanted it
8	the converse, so what do we provide?
9	What does New York State provide to the
10	Native American tribes?
11	MR. KIERNAN: Well, I'm hesitating
12	because I'm not sure exactly if I understand
13	you, but I'm going to give my answer, if I
14	haven't addressed your question I would be
15	delighted to try again.
16	I think what first of all New York

State provides, compliance with the various

treaties that have been entered into with

the sovereign Indian Nations and addresses

its obligations under those treaties, but

17

18

19

very specifically as residents, not

citizens, but as residents, obviously the

tribal members use the State's roads, and

State facilities, that's true of the

1	counties in which they are located as well.
2	They may avail themselves of healthcare
3	facilities of the State, they certainly
4	benefit from environmental protection
5	measures that the State undertakes, so they
6	are benefited by the State's efforts to
7	combat the pandemic of H1N1 flu virus, I'm
8	sure there are legions of examples that
9	clearly benefit, from law enforcement
10	actions and a host of others, and if that's
11	what you were driving at.
12	SENATOR JOHNSON: With respect to
13	gaming, for instance my understanding is the
14	Race and Wagering Board provides a 24/7
15	presence in all Native American run casinos,
16	is that correct?
17	MR. KIERNAN: That's my
18	understanding.
19	SENATOR JOHNSON: My understanding
20	also is pursuant to Compacts, the Native
21	Americans are required to pay for those

services, is that correct?

MR. KIERNAN: That's correct, there
is a negotiated fee.

SENATOR JOHNSON: Have they paid?

1	SENATOR JOHNSON: Have they paid?
2	MR. KIERNAN: Sorry?
3	SENATOR JOHNSON: Have they paid?
4	Have the respective Native American tribes
5	paid this fee?
6	MR. KIERNAN: Well, there have been
7	many disputes with respect to how much they
8	are obligated to pay, a Compact, like any
9	contract, often leads to differing
10	interpretations.
11	So I know with respect to the St. Regis
12	Mohawks, there is clearly a dispute ongoing
13	that has been brought to the attention of
14	the Governor, and that also is true of the
15	Seneca Nation.
16	My understanding with respect to each

is that there are discussions ongoing, the Compacts require for arbitration to resolve disputes, I believe that arbitration is going to, if not underway, it is going to occur and that -- at least I know with respect to the Senecas that the amount of money in dispute has been put into escrow,

1	it's into an escrow fund, arbitration will
2	decide whether it's an adequate amount or
3	whether there has to be an allocation
4	different than the State believes.
5	And I think with respect to, although I
6	stand to be corrected because I may be
7	wrong, this is from memory and a meeting I
8	attended, that although there is a dispute
9	with the St. Regis Mohawks, they have paid.
10	SENATOR JOHNSON: How much is in
11	escrows with respect to the Senecas?
12	MR. KIERNAN: I believe it's \$40
13	million.
14	SENATOR JOHNSON: When were these
15	Compacts entered?
16	MR. KIERNAN: Maybe Mr. Rosen has the
17	answer to that, they were entered at the
18	time the casinos were allowed to open and
19	they were certainly not during the Paterson
20	administration, I don't think during the
21	Spitzer administration.
22	SENATOR JOHNSON: Mr. Rose, could you
23	maybe help us?
24	MR. ROSE: I probably should know the

1	exact dates, I don't, they were prior to our
2	administration.
3	The Senecas, as you know, we have a
4	Compact that involves three casinos with
5	them currently, and the Mohawks we have a
6	Compact with one with a single casino on
7	the Reservation, I don't know the exact
8	dates, there are representatives from here
9	the tribes who may be able to tell you.
10	SENATOR JOHNSON: You communicate
11	there is a dispute with respect to the New
12	York State and the Mohawks with respect to
13	these payments has there always been a
14	dispute, or is this something new?
15	MR. KIERNAN: There are current
16	disputes and I can only surmise that there
17	have been
18	SENATOR JOHNSON: Have they paid for
19	the security back in the past?
20	MR. KIERNAN: I believe they have.
21	SENATOR JOHNSON: Let me just go over
22	to Senator Winner, but my understanding,
23	it's my understanding that during the Cuomo
24	administration there was a position Deputy

1	Senator for Native American Affairs, do you
2	believe that the creation or filling of this
3	position would actually provide a benefit to
4	Governor the Governor's office when it
5	comes to negotiating with the Native
6	Americans in New York State?
7	MR. KIERNAN: I think the answer to
8	that is probably yes.
9	When the short lived Spitzer
10	administration, there was a Special Counsel
11	to the Governor who worked within the
12	Counsel's Office named Richard Rivkind, some
13	of you may know him.
14	And he took over and he devoted
15	probably about 75 percent of his time to
16	Indian Nation affairs.
17	When the Spitzer administration left,
18	so did Mr. Rivkind, Sean Maloney, who was
19	First Deputy Secretary to the Governor then
20	assumed his responsibilities with a large
21	delegation of those responsibilities to Mr.
22	Rose.
23	Mr. Rose, now similar to Mr. Rivkind,
24	devotes about 75 percent of his time to

2	As the Paterson administration
3	gradually put into place its own panel, as
4	you know, all know he suddenly became
5	Governor and it wasn't with a lot of

these items.

planning, certain individuals have been
replaced.

8 Mr. Maloney left for a while, Dr. Cohen 9 assumed his responsibilities, now I largely 10 have them and I rely heavily on Mr. Rose.

Tax and Finance obviously is under enforcement, he is very, very involved and there are other individuals involved.

So were there to be as hoped results in the negotiations or, excuse me, in the litigations, so guidance, litigation as a way of keep things happening and the landscape changes as court decisions come down, it probably would be useful to have someone spending all of their time on negotiations.

SENATOR JOHNSON: Mr. Kiernan, thank you very much. I will turn it over to Senator Winner and then Senator Klein.

SENATOR WINNER: Mr. Kiernan, a few questions, please. Thank you for being

3 here.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

You testified earlier that the Court of Appeals this winter was likely to hear a 5 resolution of, in particular, the tax 6 stamping issue of the legislation that was 8 signed by Governor Paterson in December of 2008.

MR. KIERNAN: 10 Correct.

> SENATOR WINNER: Does that litigation include an appeal of the ruling of the injunction that is also under 471(e) of the Tax Law with regard to the collection of or the issuance of the coupons, and then the requirement that the taxes be collected against individuals who are non-Indian purchasers of cigarettes on Reservations? MR. KIERNAN: Yes, thank you for clarifying my statement, and the answer to that is yes.

SENATOR WINNER: So that you have specifically appealed that decision? MR. KIERNAN: We have asked the

139

Attorney General to appeal it and we have done two things, we have asked the Attorney 3 General to appear as an amicus participant

4	in the Cayuga case, which is the case I
5	think you are referring to where the
6	enforcement actions were taken by Cayuga
7	Seneca Counties, and we have asked the
8	Attorney General to appeal the they have
9	also, they have also the Tuagel case,
10	whether that appeal has been perfected yet,
11	I don't believe it's been perfected yet, and
12	then the
13	SENATOR WINNER: So the answer really
14	is you have not appealed the Day Wholesaler
15	case as of yet?
16	MR. KIERNAN: That's up to the
17	Attorney General as to when he files the
18	actual appeal, but I think they are awaiting
19	because there is an ancillary case, the
20	Cayuga case that may affect that, I believe.
21	As I started to say, there is a case in
22	the Second Circuit, a federal case in which
23	involves the Poospatuck smoke shops and the
24	Second Circuit has asked for briefing to

1	certify that case to the Court of Appeals
2	and the Attorney General wanted to see the
3	result of that.
4	

But we have asked and have insisted that the Attorney General appeal the Day

6	Wholesale case, there is legal tactics
7	involved, but we expect the resolution
8	certainly the case to be heard in the winter
9	and resolution in the spring, at the latest.
10	SENATOR WINNER: So while it has not
11	been specifically appealed, it is your
12	understanding and your testimony here that
13	the issue of individual collection of sales
14	tax or cigarette taxes on sales will be also
15	addressed as well as the tax stamping issues
16	of the statute in 2008?
17	MR. KIERNAN: Correct.
18	SENATOR WINNER: Mr. Kiernan, I was
19	particularly troubled by your one statement
20	or one sentence on Page 2, and I would like
21	to have you clarify for me, you stated and I
22	quote, that "There are many who argue that
23	the only correct policy choice is to enforce

1	and that while that remains an option."
2	MR. KIERNAN: Yes.
3	SENATOR WINNER: Doesn't that send a
4	message that there are rewards for
5	misbehavior with respect to complying with
6	existing law?

existing law with respect to tax collection,

7	MR. KIERNAN: No, I don't believe it
8	does, Senator.
9	SENATOR WINNER: Well, are there
10	other options out there that people can take
11	with respect to existing law as far as
12	noncompliance?
13	Does the Paterson administration have
14	some other laws out there that they don't
15	enforce, or another option?
16	MR. KIERNAN: Not that I'm aware of,
17	but what I was trying to convey in the
18	sentence that you are disheartened by, is
19	that, and as I said in my opening remark
20	that this is an update, that this is under
21	constant review and asking the Tax
22	Department to promulgate the regulations
23	which are ready and issue the coupons is an
24	option the Governor has at all times.

1	He certainly has it now. But the
2	Governor is undertaking, as I have tried to
3	indicate, a review of some of the
4	assumptions underlying that underlie the
5	opinions of the State Police and not only
6	the assumptions but a review of some of the
7	intelligence that informs those assumptions
Ω	Also the Covernor is waiting for some

9	litigation developments and he is pursuing
10	some negotiation tactics, but the other
11	point that I hope to make in that sentence
12	is, and in my entire testimony, is to convey
13	from at least the perspective of the
14	Governor and not only this Governor, but
15	previous Governor's, that these issues are
16	extraordinarily complex.
17	Movement right now with respect to the
18	tax collections may produce more deleterious
19	consequences than benefit. And I was trying
20	to give a sense of that.
21	SENATOR WINNER: So you have
22	basically done a cost/benefit analysis as to

whether or not compliance with this dispute

is going to cost the State more money than

23

24

1	the money that it's going to be brought in?
2	MR. KIERNAN: Well, I would say we
3	are doing that, but certainly I believe the
4	police have done that and their conclusion
5	is that there is no cost/benefit, there is
6	no benefit.
7	That's not necessarily our view, but
8	that is something that we need to entertain.
9	SENATOR WINNER: You need to

10	entertain that.
11	MR. KIERNAN: By entertain I mean to
12	engage in a further analysis.
13	SENATOR WINNER: And you believe that
14	that's a proper function of the Governor's
15	office, to make a determination whether or
16	not they should comply with the Law of the
17	State of New York as it relates to whether
18	or not it's going to cost too much to comply
19	with the law that he signed?
20	MR. KIERNAN: Well
21	SENATOR WINNER: And voted for?
22	MR. KIERNAN: Yes, I understand,
23	Senator.
24	I mean you are sort of stating it in a

highly charged manner and I don't think we
see it in that fashion.

I think the Governor's requirement is
to act in the best interest of the state,
and I think the question before him is would
it be in the best interests of the state to
have these coupons issued.

Now he's being advised in some quarters
that it would not be and being advised in

other corners that it would be and that

final decision hasn't been made.

10

SENATOR WINNER: Mr. Kiernan, to get
back, what if there were another
organization such as, for instance, for an
example the Convenience Stores Association
of the State of New York that made a
determination that they didn't want to pay
the tax or they don't want to collect the
taxes and that they have issued some threats
that they are going to be disruptive as a
result of their requirement to pay the tax.
Is that something that then it would be
an option of the Governor as to whether or

not that tax should be colected?

1	MR. KIERNAN: Well, I think the
2	Governor would be dutybound to review all
3	the factors, but I don't think the
4	convenience stores, which are not a
5	sovereign nation, and which are not and
6	not parties to treaties, would pose any
7	kind, anywhere near the kind of threat or
8	whose resistance wouldn't be manageable or
9	whose fact of noncompliance would have other
10	deleterious consequences other than the fact
11	that's a much more linear question.
12	That they are obliged to pay, they

13	don't pay, it seems to me the State Police
14	would intervene and force them to pay; I
15	don't know.
16	SENATOR WINNER: I want to follow-up
17	on what you just indicated, you raise the
18	issue of sovereign nation status and I think
19	that's an extraordinarily important issue to
20	discuss.
21	Is the Paterson is it the Paterson's
22	administration's opinion that the sovereign
23	nation status of the Senecas, in particular,
24	and the other tribes, gives them the right

1	not to collect the tax on sales on the
2	Reservation?
3	MR. KIERNAN: No, but it is
4	SENATOR WINNER: Then why did you
5	mention that?
6	MR. KIERNAN: I was about to explain
7	But it is a fact that Sovereign Nations
8	in this State believe that they are not
9	subject to this taxation.
10	Now they believe that that's their
11	right.
12	The Supreme Court of the United States
13	has said otherwise, that doesn't change the

14 fact that they accept the decision.

15	So it puts a little more texture into
16	the decisions, it makes some of the
17	decisions a little bit more exquisite.
18	They also, some of them, not all of
19	them, have a record of violent resistance
20	and so the likelihood of violent resistance
21	it's impact has to be weighed in the
22	decision.
23	The decision hasn't been made, Senator
24	SENATOR WINNER: Thank you.

2	much.
3	Senator Nozziolo, then Senator Maziarz.
4	SENATOR NOZZIOLO: Thank you Mr.
5	Chairman. Thank you, counselors, for
6	elaborating in detail on these issues.
7	They are very important issues and we
8	appreciate your candor.
9	I also want I also appreciate the
10	support of the administration to the
11	property owners of those counties whose
12	lands are in question by a trust
13	application, there are a number of Indian
14	Nations, Native American Nations that are
15	pushing trust applications and we appreciate

1 SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you very

the balance that you have provided in supporting the questioning of those applications.

But I need to continue Senator Winner's inquiry here, because it is very troublesome and appears to be almost upside down when you have a United States Supreme Court decision, which is the highest court in our Nation, giving the sovereign States rights

to implement taxation policies, not to

Native American Sovereign Nations, but to

those who purchase products from Native

American Sovereign Nations.

The purchasers of cigarettes, the purchasers of petroleum products can be taxed according to the Supreme Court decision in Attea, which I think you have referred to.

MR. KIERNAN: Yes.

SENATOR NOZZIOLO: I believe the record in making this decision has to be weighed very heavily on what the United States Supreme Court has indicated and what the State legislature in compliance with a direct opinion of the United States Supreme Court in four square put before the Tax

Department for implementation.

And I hope in your matrix these other issues are given much less weight than the fact that the law is the law. The Supreme Court of the United States has spoken, the State legislature, the State of New York has spoken, and that you need to give much

greater weight to those determinations than a survey by Officer Perez whether or not this implementation of the law would, in fact, be welcome.

We just saw a 30 percent increase in the state income tax, that was not a welcome policy change.

We just saw the decertification of hundreds of jobs in the empire zone program, that was not a welcome decision, yet there was never, ever, the hint of violence made by those people who were directly impacted economically by those decisions.

I just, I'm not -- I'm very hopeful
that fairness and peacefulness be the watch
word here.

And I do not proscribe to the fact that this issue should be on the table because it

may be a revenue raiser for the State of New
York.

I believe strongly that this issue,
which I was the proponent of this tax
equality, be on the table because fairness
should be the governing doctrine of our

1	- 4 - 4 -
1	state.

I hope you put that in your matrix.

And getting to the tax parity question,

4 and thank you for listening to my comments,

I hope you take them to the Governor.

On the tax parity issue, we have given you tax parity to negotiate from in establishing equal taxation among all the Native American businesses in this State who do business not with Native Americans, but who do business with non-Native Americans.

Each of the Native American Nations, you mentioned each of the groups, Oneidas, Quaropas, Senecas and Shinnecocks, would be receiving a parity if this law was implemented.

If you get the negotiations, for instance for the Senecas to engage in tax parity, how would that be enforced among the Senecas?

21 MR. KIERNAN: If they were to agree 22 to it, which they certainly have not to this 23 point, but if they were to agree to it, 24 obviously the -- there would be negotiation

1	which would result in some sort of a
2	document, I don't know what the nature of
3	that document would be, it might be a
4	memorandum of understanding, or a Compact,
5	probably, preferably.
6	SENATOR NOZZIOLO: So what happens
7	then if, and let's not mention any
8	particular nation, let's just say you have
9	an agreement with nation X or Y, that
10	whatever that agreement is it would be
11	subject only to a parity for only those
12	nation run businesses, is that not correct,
13	a Compact in other words couldn't be
14	enforced if it was to an individual Native
15	American who set up business within a
16	MR. KIERNAN: I think the endeavor
17	would be to have it cover not only nation
18	run businesses or sanctioned businesses, but
19	individual businesses that are advantaging
20	themselves by virtue of the tax exempt
21	status that they claim on Reservation lands.

22 And any Compact or writing would 23 obviously have to have enforcement 24 provisions and verification provisions if it 152

1

2

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

were to be workable, so that would be part of the negotiation.

If I could just add one further thing to your comment which I will take to the Governor, I assure you, but one of the things that this Governor has done, occurred when he signed the legislation promulgated by the legislature in December of 2008 and he said he was going to enforce that.

He chose that course because it was less intrusive, it was full enforcement of the law, but one that would be designed to reduce the specter of violence.

Now, that was enjoined by the court and we are hoping that that injunction will be lifted because the Governor intends to enforce that law.

SENATOR NOZZIOLO: When Mr. Comiskey testified earlier, my understanding that there was a green light or a stoplight in the intersection of policy in Governor Paterson's administration and the Tax Department was awaiting the light to go from

1	implementation of the law.
2	Would you comment on that description?
3	MR. KIERNAN: Well, green light red
4	light, we haven't used that parlance, but
5	certainly the Tax Department is ready to
6	promulgate the regulations and issue the
7	coupons.
8	And the Tax Department also believes
9	that the injunction that's pending in the
10	Fourth Department would be self-vacating if
11	we were to issue the regulations and the
12	coupons.
13	The Governor doesn't believe the court
14	is right and wants to see and hopes to see
15	that the law will be settled by a higher
16	Court which will say the injunction should
17	be lifted, rather than us do it on our own,
18	which would probably spawn more litigation.
19	SENATOR NOZZIOLO: Thank you. One
20	last question. Back to the parity issue,
21	wouldn't we not have the same problem if
22	somehow you did get the Nations across the
23	State to agree to the parity, and you should

24 add assuming the parity issue could be

1	enforced, what happens if one Nation holds
2	out, aren't we back to the same situation
3	that we are in now, where one nation would
4	have a their own distribution and
5	marketing issues not restricted by any
6	parity agreement, putting everything, every
7	other Nation who agreed to a parity
8	agreement, if the same both as those
9	businesses who are now being put out of
10	business because of the unequal taxation
11	system?
12	Unless you have agreement with
13	everyone, how can you have an agreement with
14	anyone?
15	MR. KIERNAN: Well, I mean what if
16	questions are always hard to answer.
17	However, I think that positing the
18	premise that you articulated, if there were
19	to be agreement with all except one tribal
20	Nation, seems to me you have a much more
21	manageable problem.
22	And arguably, if one were to resist
23	then the others were to comply that
24	enforcement against the one that refuses to

1	comply would not be burdened by the other
2	Nations joining in as an act of solidarity,
3	it would be a different problem.
4	But you certainly would have an
5	entirely different dynamic, there might be
6	trouble, but I think that would run to the
7	category of good trouble.
8	SENATOR NOZZIOLO: Thank you very
9	much. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
10	MR. KIERNAN: You are welcome,
11	Senator.
12	SENATOR JOHNSON: Senator Golden,
13	then Senator Maziarz.
14	SENATOR GOLDEN: Thank you, Mr.
15	Chairman.
16	Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The I,
17	along with some of my colleagues, definitely
18	have some issues with some of the testimony,
19	and if you think they were charged, let me
20	tell you, Mr. Kiernan, this is not a good
21	day for us here in this great State.
22	One on the first page you say a U.S.
23	dollar spent on Indian Reservation in New
24	York is a dollar put into motion in the New

- 1 York State economy. Every time that dollar 2 is respent or invested, it's good for New
- 3 York.
- I don't think there is a person in this

 room that would disagree with that
- 6 statement.
- But I think for the people across this
 great State that do everything by the books
 and do it legally, you're saying for those
 people today it's all right to go to the
 Indian Reservation and get your untaxed
 cigarettes because that's going to be good
 for New York.
- Because those dollars are going to be recirculated, is that what you're saying, sir?
- 17 MR. KIERNAN: No, of course not,
 18 Senator.
- io Senacor.
- 19 SENATOR GOLDEN: Then explain to me.
- 20 Can you break that down any other way than I
- just broke it down?
- MR. KIERNAN: First of all, I think
- 23 the statement stands on its own, but what
- 24 you're saying is that the State thinks it's

- 1 a good thing people break the law, and
- 2 that's obviously not true.

3	SENATOR GOLDEN: Your statement would
4	give people across this great State that
5	one statement would indicate exactly that,
6	that it's okay to break the law when it
7	comes to purchasing untaxed cigarettes in
8	Indian Reservations.
9	MR. KIERNAN: Well, one of the
10	problems with being a lawyer is whenever you
11	say something by the nature of the whole
12	profession is that some people agree and
13	some people disagree, and I guess that's
14	true of politics also.
15	I think what I was trying to convey is
16	that these issues are very complex and the
17	economic benefit that comes from good
18	relations with the sovereign Indian Nations
19	is substantial.
20	And it would not be in our interest to
21	disrupt what otherwise are very good
22	relations.
23	And so we are seeking a way, and this

way that matters proceed by agreement.

24

Now, that is not to say that this

3 government does not intend to enforce the

is what I was trying to convey it in such a

4	law. As I indicated to Senator Nozziolo, if
5	the injunction were to be lifted on the
6	statute that the Governor signed on December
7	2008, we would enforce.
8	SENATOR GOLDEN: We want to work with
9	our Sovereign Nations in the great State of
10	New York.
11	We just want what we believe is fair
12	and right for every taxpayer here in the
13	State of New York.
14	There is a question here the Paterson
15	administration is also vigorously enforcing
16	against bootleggers and smuggling and
17	assisting the federal enforcement actions.
18	Could you please tell me what great
19	seizures we have made and what great hires
20	we have made, what task force has been
21	increased in dollars to do this?
22	As a matter of fact, I believe if
23	anything they have cut dollars from the task
24	forces that were going in and going into

1	these different bodegas that were selling
2	the untaxed cigarettes.
3	Can you help me with that?
4	MR. KIERNAN: I believe I can give
5	you only limited help.

6	But Commissioner Comiskey's testimony
7	has an appendix attached to it, which he
8	outlines several of the enforcement measures
9	to which I refer.
10	I also would point out to you that
11	although I personally cannot verify this,
12	the statement is often made, and believed
13	that New York State has the most vigorous

SENATOR GOLDEN: They will come up right behind you and they will agree with you, we used to have Sheriffs that used to go into all of these different locations and seize these cigarettes, they are not doing that anymore, so that's one less authoritative branch that's going in and making these seizures.

anti-tax enforcement of any state in the

United States.

They will be able to testify, they will

1	also be able to testify that there is more
2	money coming out of these Reservations than
3	the \$95 million that you believe are coming
4	out that was put into your budget.
5	Then we had, I believe, Mr. Rosenthal
6	put up a figure of about \$1.6 billion.

7	Now, let's say that Mr. Rosenthal is
8	way off and let's say you're way off. Just
9	let's say it's in the middle.
10	Say it's \$750 million a year, just
11	because I can say that because nobody seems
12	to have any empirical value here that says
13	anything different, so I can say these
14	numbers because you can say a number that
15	you just said.
16	So, the empirical data is what I need,
17	not so much to know as to why we do not go
18	in there and find out where and how to
19	collect these tax dollars if, in fact, our
20	Sovereign Nations do not want to do that.
21	So the question is how do we do that?
22	MR. KIERNAN: Well, with respect to
23	the numbers, the number of \$220 or \$225

1	number is, that is the estimate of the Tax
2	and Finance Department which assumes full
3	compliance.
4	That number is supported by relatively
5	detailed analysis, and I would defer you to
6	them because they don't quote numbers like
7	that lightly.

million, I don't remember exactly what the

24

And that's the number that the Governor

9	has been given and it is that number with
10	the assumption of full compliance, and full
11	compliance does not appear to be a
12	reasonable assumption that the Governor has
13	to act on.
14	Now, you can throw out the number \$750
15	million, anyone can throw out a number, but
16	it seems to me it's incumbent on other
17	people who put up numbers to have some
18	support for it.
19	We have some support for the number
20	\$225 million.
21	SENATOR GOLDEN: The industry seems
22	to have some empirical data as to the number
23	of cartons that are coming into the State of

New York.

1	MR. KIERNAN: One can ascertain the
2	number of cartons that comes into the State
3	legally.
4	But what is done is there is also by
5	interpolation, they take numbers of, I think
6	it goes back to some point in the '90s, I
7	have seen an analysis, I can't quote it, but
8	they simply say if the tax that currently
9	exists was imposed on the number the

10	revenues generated X number of years ago,
11	then the revenue would be this today.
12	That also is assuming full compliance,
13	and it doesn't have any netting effect and
14	it's not a very good analysis, in our view.
15	SENATOR GOLDEN: That's what the
16	problem is, nobody seems to like your
17	analysis, nobody seems to like anybody's
18	analysis that is going forward here.
19	But I do know that we do have a \$4
20	billion drop dead date of December 15th here
21	for the State of New York, or we become much
22	like California in starting to issue IOUs.
23	That grows to \$18 billion by 2012.
24	The City of New York has a \$2 billion

deficit which also continues to grow.

But this is okay, that others don't have to pay their taxes and it's okay for the debt in the State of New York to be passed on to the taxpayers.

They are already indebted with their credit cards, they are already indebted trying to pay for their mortgages, they are already indebted trying to pay for their car loans, but now we are telling them we are going to get more debt here in the City and

12	State of New York, but there is some money
13	out there with the Sovereign Nations and we
14	are not going to worry about it, because
15	there could be some problems, it might cost
16	us \$2 million a day to collect that.
17	And we won't be able to collect that
18	because it's going to cost us more money to
19	collect it than we are honestly going to
20	make.
21	But we can't really put a dollar figure
22	on how much we are going to make because the
23	numbers are all over the place from \$250

24 million to \$95 million which is realistic,

1	to a \$1.6 billion which is said to be
2	unrealistic, so I still say \$750 million
3	number is realistic, and I believe the
4	People of the State of New York deserve it
5	from the State of New York to go out and to
6	collect those taxes and to stop passing that
7	debt down to the taxpayer here in the City
8	and State of New York.
9	Do we have a problem with that?
10	MR. KIERNAN: I think
11	SENATOR JOHNSON: Excuse me, could we
12	have some order, here?

13 SENATOR GOLDEN: Thank you, Mr. 14 Chairman. 15 MR. KIERNAN: Senator, there is no question about that the State faces an 16 17 unprecedented severe revenue crisis. 18 However, I think there is a very, very 19 serious risk as to whether the immediate 20 enforcement of the cigarette and fuel 21 taxation laws against Native American Reservations will produce any sort of net 22 benefit that will address the serious 23 revenue crisis that we have. 24

165

Now that's a fair question.

SENATOR GOLDEN: And you gave my a

fair answer, and guess what, we have a drop

dead date of December 15th here to pay our

bills.

So let's have a drop dead date of

spring where you are going to collect the money, and if I look at this, correct me if I'm wrong with the parity, not only do we set parity, the tribe sets the parity, the money goes back into the communities around the Seneca Nation and around the other Sovereign Nations.

13 Sovereign Nations.

7

8

10

11

12

14

I mean there seems to be a win/win here

15	for our Tribal Nations across the State of
16	New York, again, at the cost of the
17	taxpayer.
18	MR. KIERNAN: I disagree with that.
19	I think the win would be equally shared,
20	there would be a win for the State, a rather
21	large win for the State, but that's
22	SENATOR GOLDEN: Then why can't we
23	seem to get to that negotiated dead end?
24	Like my colleague best pointed out, why

1	can't we have an agreement between our
2	Nations to do that?
3	Let's have a drop dead date here, and
4	courts may make that decision very shortly,
5	but let's have a drop dead date of this
6	becoming law, and if they don't come into
7	agreement, we collect it?
8	MR. KIERNAN: I'm not sure that would
9	be the best negotiating technique, but it
10	may be one that's worth considering,
11	Senator.
12	SENATOR GOLDEN: I have to tell you,
13	I believe our Sovereign Nations get
14	education, they get healthcare, they get
15	Social Security.

16	How about public assistance?
17	SENATOR JOHNSON: Excuse me, excuse
18	me, hold on, Senator.
19	I'm trying to I would appreciate the
20	members of the audience not to call out, not
21	to speak out.
22	We have a hearing process, Senators and
23	the witnesses are speaking, we understand
24	and I understand the tensions that this
	167
	10,
1	hearing arises, we have an understanding,
2	the Senator has permission to ask the
3	questions and we want to solicit the
4	answers.
5	Obviously representatives will be
6	testifying shortly, the Senecas,
7	representatives from the Nation are going to
8	come testify next, but, please, no calling
9	out; it serves no purpose.
10	Senator Golden.
11	SENATOR GOLDEN: Thank you, Mr.
12	Chairman.
13	Again, we want to work with our
14	Sovereign Nations, we have been trying to
15	work with our Sovereign Nations for a long
16	time.

Too long, and the taxpayers across this

```
18
          State deserve more.
19
                There is education, there is
           healthcare, there is Social Security, there
20
            is public assistance and even stimulus going
21
22
           in to our Sovereign Nations.
23
                Is it too much to ask our Sovereign
24
           Nations to collect tax on non-tribal
                                                     168
1
           members?
                Is that too much to ask? I ask you,
 3
           sir?
                MR. KIERNAN: No. It's not too much
           to ask.
 5
                SENATOR GOLDEN: Is it too much to
           ask the Governor of the State of New York to
 7
           enforce the law?
 8
 9
                MR. KIERNAN: Of course not, Senator,
           but the Governor needs to enforce the law in
10
            a way that makes the enforcement meaningful.
11
```

And he needs to do it in a way that's

in the best interests of the State, and that

is a decision he's weighing.

SENATOR GOLDEN: Well, in closing I

guess I was a little bit more charged than

my colleague, I apologize.

But, you know, the people of this great

19 State, they really deserve more.

20 19.5 million people and the debt of

21 this State and the debt of this City it's

22 just unbelievable that it could be as high

23 as it is.

24 And that we cannot actually get agreed

upon contracts with our Sovereign Nations

over 20 years here in this great State tells

me that we are going to have a very

difficult time doing it when the courts come

in and tell us to do it, or whether you have

a drop dead date of the spring.

But I would strongly say and urge to you, sir, that if there is no drop dead date, or if the court comes back and does say enforce those laws, that we do, in fact, do exactly that.

We go out there without fear and we go in to this great state and we tell them that we are a law abiding State, and that's why we broke away from England, England demanded taxes from us, we fought them and we became our own nation because England gave us and took the wrong taxes and too much out of this nation.

We became a great nation based on a

great Constitution and that Constitution
gives us the right to be able to be fair to
all of its taxpayers across the State and
across the nation.

170

1	We owe fairness to those 19.5 million
2	people, and I would ask the Governor of the
3	State of New York to step up and do the
4	right thing, enforce the law if, in fact,
5	you cannot get an agreement by this spring,
6	if, in fact, the courts do not do that.
7	SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you, Senator
8	Golden.
9	I know Senator Maziarz, short quick
10	questions, then we are going to take a five
11	minute break and then the Seneca Nation we
12	will permit the testimony.
13	We will allow a quick break so people
14	can stretch their legs, the stenographer is
15	doing a terrific job, so non-committee
16	members, short questions, please.
17	SENATOR MAZIARZ: Thank you very
18	much, Mr. Chairman, for your courtesies.
19	I am not going to ask a question, but I
20	do think that the record has to be at least

a little fairly balanced here.

I just want to say for the record that

I totally, totally disagree and disassociate

myself with the comments of my colleague,

171

1	Senator Golden.
2	I do not know the background of
3	everyone sitting up at this table, I
4	probably would say that I am the only one
5	who has grown up and lived and currently
6	lives next to a Native American Reservation.
7	And I can tell you and the Chairman
8	asked you a question, Mr. Kiernan, about
9	State services on the Reservation.
10	When I was a kid we all went to public
11	school, they went to the Indian school; and
12	they were not equal, I can tell you that.
13	The roads on the Res were always the
14	last to be paved, always the last to be
15	taken care of, healthcare was almost
16	nonexistent.
17	Now, in your statement, Mr. Kiernan,
18	you talked about the Oneida Nation and the
19	Oneida you sort of introduced the gasoline
20	into this discussion, too, so I feel I can
21	introduce something else.

I think it's just a little bit disingenuous for the Oneidas to say we are

22

1	and gasoline sales and not mention gaming
2	revenue.
3	Because if you talk to the Oneidas
4	about gaming revenue, they would say no,
5	hands off, no way, no how.
6	The Senecas on the other hand have
7	contributed hundreds of millions of dollars
8	to the State of New York, to local
9	governments in western New York from gaming
10	revenue.
11	For the record, that should be noted.
12	And you talked about a you talked
13	about money being held in reserve as if
14	there was some dispute of that.
15	I think, and I watch this pretty
16	closely in western New York, hundreds of
17	millions have been paid out by the Seneca
18	Nation, I think if there is anything being
19	held in reserve it may be because there is a
20	dispute between the City of Buffalo and the
21	county of Erie as to how to split that money
22	up.
23	I think the Senecas have actually put
24	it in escrow to allow those two entities to

1	resolve their dispute.
2	So, I just think for the record that
3	should be made clear.
4	Again, thank you very much for your
5	courtesies, and I could go on for a long
6	time, but I won't, and thank you.
7	I think the Governor, the Governor, the
8	Governor should try to negotiate this
9	dispute at all costs because in the end
10	violence, particularly in western New York,
11	will be horrible, will be horrible.
12	People will be seriously hurt, people
13	may be killed, and it's just not worth it.
14	Thank you.
15	SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you very
16	much, Senator Maziarz.
17	SENATOR GOLDEN: Obviously my name
18	was mentioned here so I am going to come
19	back with a nice little retort here.
20	Just plainly stating that the facts are
21	the facts, taxes should be paid, the People
22	of the State of New York deserve more, and
23	Senator Maziarz is a good colleague, good
24	friend, can make any comments that he wishes

1	to defend the great nation, we want to work
2	with the great Sovereign Nations but we want
3	the Sovereign Nations to work with the
4	taxpayers across this great state.
5	Thank you.
6	SENATOR JOHNSON: Senator Griffo.
7	SENATOR GRIFFO: Thank you, Senator.
8	I appreciate that because it's been so
9	long I want to make sure my memory is right.
10	Counsel, despite court action, can you
11	enforce the legislation of 2008 that was
12	signed by the Governor, yes or no?
13	Can you enforce it despite the court
14	enjoining you?
15	MR. KIERNAN: We could issue the
16	regulations and the coupons and therefore,
17	perhaps, the injunction will be lifted.
18	SENATOR GRIFFO: Are you currently,
19	are you or any member of the executive
20	branch negotiating with any of the Nations?
21	MR. KIERNAN: Yes.
22	SENATOR GRIFFO: You said that the
23	Tax and Finance Department has a detailed
2.4	financial analysis and that's how you

1	determined that number of potential economic
2	<pre>impact, correct?</pre>
3	MR. KIERNAN: That's how it
4	determined it, yes.
5	SENATOR GRIFFO: You did say on the
6	other hand, though, that you can't site as
7	gospel the statistics that you are receiving
8	from law enforcement, correct?
9	MR. KIERNAN: Well, those are
10	estimates.
11	SENATOR GRIFFO: So don't you feel,
12	and I know we are all concerned about the
13	health and safety of the residents of the
14	state, don't you feel that if the one agency
15	of the executive branch could come up with a
16	detailed statement, that any other agencies
17	associated with it, whether it be public
18	safety, DCIS, the State Police should also
19	be providing that type of information so
20	that you can make a true and accurate
21	analysis and evaluation?

MR. KIERNAN: Well, Senator, I'm
going to -- I'm not trying to be coy, but
I'm not an economist, I don't know if what

176

1 you suggest can be done, I certainly think a

2 consensus could be arrived at.

3	There is a lot of data, but there also
4	have to be a lot of assumptions made.
5	SENATOR GRIFFO: But we have those
6	type of planning documents in place from
7	CMO, for economic for natural disasters,
8	for potential threats with the Division of
9	Public Safety and Homeland Security.
10	So I think I guess my point is I'm
11	hearing two different things here.
12	In one sense you are saying yes, we
13	have a specific number, and the other we
14	can't give you gospel.
15	I think it's important when you are
16	describing the complex nature of everything
17	that's taking place.
18	MR. KIERNAN: Fair enough.
19	SENATOR GRIFFO: Thank you, Chairman
20	SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you, Senator
21	We are going to take a, no joke, five
22	minutes, real five minute break, stretch
23	legs, take a break, then I am going to
24	invite J.V. Seneca, Co-Chair of the Seneca

- 1 Nation of Indians to give testimony.
- 2 (At this point in the proceedings
- 3 there was a recess, after which the

4	deposition continued as follows:)
5	SENATOR JOHNSON: I don't have a
6	gavel to bang, I will ask members of the
7	audience to have a seat, to take a seat.
8	At this time I invite Jason Seneca and
9	Councilor, Tribal Councilor and Rob
10	Quarter, counsel to the Seneca Nation before
11	us.
12	I ask you gentlemen, are your other
13	three members going to be testifying, those
14	at the table, are they going to testify as
15	well, or you?
16	MR. SENECA: No, these are fellow
17	counselors from the Seneca Nation counsel, I
18	am one of 16.
19	We have Tim Simmerson here, Brenda John
20	and Linda Doxnayer from our Tribal Council,
21	I asked them to come up and sit with us.
22	SENATOR JOHNSON: Will they be
23	testifying?
24	MR. SENECA: I'll be giving the

1	testimony. We can all answer questions if
2	you have anything that needs to be asked.
3	JASON SENECA
4	R O B P O R T E R
5	TIM SIMMERSON

6	B R E N D A J O H N
7	And
8	L I N D A D O X N A Y E R
9	Called as a witness, having been first
10	duly sworn by the Counsel to the Committee,
11	was examined and testified as follows:
12	SENATOR JOHNSON: Mr. Seneca, is that
13	the appropriate, honorable or Councilor,
14	what is the best way to address you?
15	MR. SENECA: Call me J.C.
16	SENATOR JOHNSON: I want to thank you
17	very much for coming today.
18	MR. SENECA: Thank you for the
19	opportunity.
20	SENATOR JOHNSON: I truly appreciate
21	your patience through the three previously
22	witnesses.
23	I am going to open the floor for you,
24	Mr. Porter, or anybody else to provide
	4.70
	179

1	testimony and then we will proceed from
2	there.
3	So thank you again, and the floor is
4	yours.
5	MR. SENECA: Thank you. I appreciate
6	once again the opportunity and, it's an

honor for me to come here to be able to

testify on behalf of the Seneca Nation here

before your committee today.

I also would like to thank my fellow

counselors here that have traveled here to

counselors here that have traveled here to

New York to join me up here at the table to

sit with us to answer any questions that the

Senators may have at that level.

I also would like to thank the Seneca people for being here, for traveling across the State, I know it was a long trip and certainly your commitment and travel is appreciated by us here testifying on behalf of the Nation.

Certainly if there is only a handful of us here today we represent nearly 7,800

Senecas here today in this room and also future Senecas and future generations that

will come after us.

Certainly there has been some, should I say, spirited dialogue this morning, certainly some things I could understand, and mostly a lot of things I didn't agree with.

So I stepped out of the room a couple of times, certainly, listening to what was

going on, and I commend our people here traveling from not only Seneca Nation but other Indian Nations here and in Rome for not getting too spirited.

Certainly I compliment them on their control because certainly I've been in many heated meetings on territory where they say their peace, so I think they should be commended for that, and I think if they do want to speak out, they are used to that and should be awarded the opportunity for their voice to be heard, certainly here today, and I will not condemn them from speaking out.

So if they have something to say, I welcome it.

There is a lot of talk about the issue

1	in regards to collecting taxes on Indian
2	Nations here in New York, and I just want to
3	give you a brief before I do my
4	statement, just a brief note here in regards
5	to really what is the law that is out there.
6	Back in 1999 the New York Association
7	of Convenience Stores filed suit against
8	Commissioner Urbach at the time and in that
9	case Judge Lang in July of 1999 in a

10	decision then held, "Notably Indian tribes
11	have immunity from and cannot be sued to
12	accomplish tax collection. This immunity
13	extends to tribal retailers."
14	Judge Lang's decision was affirmed by
15	the New York Appellate Division in year
16	2000.
17	In July of 2001 a leave to appeal was
18	denied by the New York Court of Appeals, and
19	then further in December of 2001 the United
20	States Supreme Court denied a Writ of
21	Certiorari, therefore Judge Lang's 1999
22	decision regarding the immunity from State
23	tax collection is the law.
24	So I have if you have any questions on

1	why you can't collect the tax, certainly
2	within your own court system has defined
3	those reasons why.
1	I want to thank everyhody who had a

5

7

8

I want to thank everybody who had a part for putting this testimony together and once again I am honored on behalf of the Seneca Nation to be able to provide you with this information here today.

9 Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman and
10 members of the Committee.

I am here today to share with you the

perspective of the Seneca Nation of Indians on the question before this committee. Which is why doesn't New York State collect taxes on commerce taking place on 1.5 Indian land in the State. The answer to that question put simply is that your government has no authority to assess taxes in our territory.

That the United States has promised the Seneca Nation that your taxes would not apply in our territory and, perhaps most importantly, that you cannot force the Seneca Nation and the Seneca People to be

the State's tax collectors.

I believe that members of this committee and the State legislature as a whole have not been presented with all of the facts surrounding the Nation's treaty rights, legal history and economic impact on the State.

And so I would like to share with you additional information pertaining to the question before you.

The Seneca people have lived in what is now western New York for nearly 1,000 years.

13 We are a historic member of the 6 14 Nations Confederacy of the Shoshone and 15 since 1848 we have governed ourselves as an independent Constitutional Republic. 16 17 In 1794 the Seneca Nation and the other 18 Six Nations entered into a lasting treaty of 19 peace and protection with the United States 20 at Canandaigua, the Canandaigua Treaty was a 21 treaty of peace and it contained promises to 22 the Seneca Nation and the other Six Nations unlike any other Indian treaty. 23 It not only provides that the United 24

184

States will recognize title to our lands, 1 2 but also provides that we will be recognized in the free use and enjoyment of those 3 lands. This means that our lands belong to the 5 Seneca Nation and the Seneca people and that 6 no other government has the right to 8 interfere in how we use those lands. It is for this reason that New York 10 State has no authority over us, our lands or 11 the commerce taking place on our lands. 12 This promise was once again made to us

The Buffalo Creek Treaty of 1842 was

13

14

in 1842.

entered into after the State and its land speculators failed to steal our remaining lands from us. To tax those lands and to have us physically removed to lands west of the Mississippi River. The Buffalo Creek Treaty provides that the United States will protect such of the

remain in their possession from all taxes and assessments for roads, highways or any other purpose until such lands shall have been sold and conveyed by said Indians.

lands of the Seneca Indians within the State

of New York as may, from time to time,

What this means in the clearest of terms is that New York State has no authority to tax us, our lands or anything else, or anyone in our territories today.

We have always interpreted our treaty rights this way, and we will fight to uphold these rights now and forever.

You may wish to refer to state and federal court decisions that suggest the State has a right to impose as taxes within our lands.

But it is a fundamental principle of international law that every sovereign nation has the right to interpret its treaties with other Nations as it sees fit. Your court cases are meaningless to us and do not bind our conduct. We interpret our treaties with the United States as the words clearly state, as we understand them and within the context of

1 history.

That history is clear. For over 200 years New York State has illegally tried to steal our lands, assert jurisdiction over what lands we have left and to impose taxes on us in our activities.

For about 25 years now the State has been trying to collect excise taxes on tobacco and motor fuel sold in our territories.

For the reasons I have just described, these actions violate our treaties with the United States and are unlawful under Nation and Federal Law.

And yet, perhaps, due to ignorance of these rights, or simple greed, State officials have continued this crusade

18 against us. 19 In many ways the State has created its own problem. It has imposed higher and 20 higher taxes on various products, especially 21 22 cigarettes. 23 Apparently to your surprise and dismay 24 the higher taxes have created new markets 187 for surrounding states, Canadian provinces 1 and Indian territories. Your policies have driven consumers to 3 the new markets tremendously and indirectly, including the internet. Our nation and our people have already 6 7 been tax immunity, but we are not foolish, and if circumstances arise that give us an 8 opportunity to improve our long suffering 9 economy, we are going to take it. 10 Our tobacco and motor fuel trade has 11 12 grown considerably over the years. It has provided much needed revenue for 13 our nation, our people and our non-Native 14 15 employees. 16 We estimate that over 1,000 jobs are 17 tied to our tobacco economy. With our

gaming enterprises the Seneca Nation

employees over 6,300 people every day and
injects \$1.1 billion into the western New
York economy.

For this reason I am sure you can
understand why the Nation, our people have
so vigorously resisted the efforts to tax

1 commerce in our territory.

Not only do we have the treaty rights to State tax immunity, but your economic well-being is tied to it.

Recent state Governors have understood this and have wisely adopted what is called the forbearance policy to recognize our treaty immunity from State taxation.

They have not all accepted this policy easily.

But we appreciate that Governors Cuomo,
Pataki, Spitzer and Paterson have chosen to
base our relationship on diplomacy and
respect rather than unilateralism and
conflict.

Four different New York State

Governor's have pursued a wise course in

dealing with the Seneca Nation and all of

the Indian Nations in the State on taxation

issues.

21	Despite this bold effort, the State
22	legislature has never followed the
23	Governor's lead and has actually acted in
24	contrary fashion, choosing instead to
	189
1	embrace a policy of confrontation and
2	disrespect.
3	An important matter for you to consider
4	is whether the State legislature should once
5	and for all recognize our federally
6	protected treaty rights and work to
7	establish a lasting peace.
8	In 2006 the nation's counsel enacted
9	our import/export law. This law established
10	strict controls on the flow of tobacco
11	products into and out of the nation's
12	territory.
13	Authorized stamping agents must affix
14	the nation's own encrypted and coded stamp
15	to every pack of cigarettes sold in our
16	territory.
17	The Seneca Nation has acquired the
18	latest stamp and tracking technology that
19	exceeds the systems in place in New York
20	State.

The nation thus has the ability to

track all tobacco packages with a fool-proof
stamp and electronic infrastructure that can
tell us the complete origin of each product

190

1	sold on our territories.
2	The nation receives an administrative
3	fee of \$.75 per pack which is used
4	specifically for health and education
5	programs.
6	Once the cigarettes are stamped they
7	can then be sold to Nation licensed
8	retailers who are also subject to important
9	restrictions on sales.
10	Sales must be consistent with a minimum
11	pricing structure which governs the sale of
12	all a brands within the Nation's
13	territories.
14	Sales to minors are prohibited and
15	sales cannot exceed 49 cartons per
16	transaction, comporting to the federal
17	Cigarette Contraband Trafficking Act which
18	sets 50 cartons as the allowable limit on
19	the amount of unstamped cigarettes that an
20	individual may posses.
21	In addition, export shipments to
22	non-federally recognized tribes are

prohibited.

1	the Nation's Import/Export Commission.
2	Since its creation, the Commission has
3	been involved in several different
4	enforcement actions taken that resulted in
5	imposition of significant fines and
6	consequences for those involved.
7	These enforcement efforts are in accord
8	in our Nation with such agencies as U.S.
9	Bureau of Tobacco, Alcohol and Firearms and
10	U.S. Postal Service, local law enforcement
11	and even the State ATF.
12	Recently the Commission was involved in
13	a sting operation with federal and state
14	agencies to help expose the cigarette
15	diversion efforts of State stamping agents.
16	The Nation's role was favorably cited
17	by State officials handling the case to
18	cancel the company's State license.
19	The nation has worked most closely with
20	a federal BATFE because the United States,
21	as our treaty partner, and we believe that
22	this is the most natural alliance in our
23	joint effort to regulate tobacco products.
24	We are proud of our efforts to date

Ţ	that we have been favorably recognized by
2	the United States Government as a result.
3	Seneca Nation believes that tobacco
4	products must be comprehensively regulated
5	by all responsible governments, we support
6	any and all coordination efforts with all
7	governments that is directed towards that
8	important goal.
9	Our critics have told you that there
10	are hundreds of millions of dollars, maybe
11	even \$1 billion that the State loses because
12	of our treaty protected tax free Indian
13	commerce.
14	This assessment is untrue and
15	misleading. And the continued efforts to
16	promote this lie by our critics is
17	scapegoating and worse.
18	You should not be misled by their
19	deception.
20	To our knowledge the State Tax
21	Department has never commissioned and
22	publicly reported any economic analysis of
23	the State's cigarette taxation efforts that
24	supports a \$1 billion tax loss to the State

1	from Indian territory sales.
2	This number was created out of thin air
3	by the State Convenience Store Association.
4	Because we believe that data and
5	reasoned analysis and not lies and
6	half-truths should form the basis of sound
7	public policy, we have commissioned our own
8	economic studies of the State cigarette
9	taxation policies and are glad to share them
10	with you.
11	In a study conducted by Harvard
12	economist Jonathan Taylor, he concluded that
13	the nation's tobacco based economy actually
14	generates net economic benefits to the state
15	rather than net losses.
16	Even though the nation's tobacco trade
17	is not subject to state taxation, the ripple
18	effects of the nation's trade spill into the
19	State and western New York regional economy
20	as a nation government and nation citizens
21	spend that tobacco profits in off territory
22	economy.
23	Moreover, given that the sizable

percentage of the nation's tobacco trade

derives from the internet based national 1 market, significant revenues are infused 3 into the State economy from out-of-state customers. Jonathan Taylor concludes that the 6 nation's tobacco economy generated \$195 7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

million in State gross domestic product in 2005.

In other words, for every \$1 of gross profits that are accrued through the Seneca Nation tobacco economy, New York State gained \$1.67.

In addition to this direct benefit to the State economy, the State also benefits from the payments it receives from the major tobacco companies pursuant to the Master Settlement Agreement.

Despite the fact the State's share of the MSA funds include cigarettes sold on Seneca Nation lands, the Nation receives none of these funds.

For some years now Philip Morris, the largest U.S. cigarette manufacturer, has been seeking a downward adjustment of the

195

monies paid to New York under the MSA on the 1 theory that the State has not been

3	diligently enforcing its laws relating to
4	Indian cigarette tax collection.
5	The State Office of the Attorney
6	General has consistently defended the
7	forbearance policy as consistent with
8	diligent State enforcement.
9	However, were the State to alter its
10	enforcement position and commence new
11	efforts at the Indian tax collection, the
12	Attorney General estimates that the state
13	could loose between \$800 million and \$1
14	billion in annual MSA payments.
15	Changing the State's enforcement
16	position away from forbearance jeopardizes
17	these important state revenues.
18	From these studies we have been able to
19	answer the important question of where do
20	the Nation's tobacco revenues go?
21	They go right to help our people, but
22	eventually through our hands and into the
23	hands of our employees, vendors and

1 regional economy.

24

2 Lastly, we believe that the answer --

merchants who sustain western New York

3 another important question before you is

4	where is the State's tax money going?
5	Jonathan Taylor looked at the effect of
6	state cigarette taxes on cross-border demand
7	for cigarettes and reached these
8	assessments.
9	One, New York State and New York City
10	cigarette taxes are so high that they have
11	produced powerful incentives for citizens to
12	cross state borders to purchase cigarettes.
13	The high cigarette taxes have
14	intensified cross-border interstate trade
15	and advertising campaigns.
16	Cross-border effects are most
17	pronounced for New Jersey, Pennsylvania,
18	Connecticut and Vermont, but also for South
19	Carolina where the cigarette tax is only 7
20	cents per pack.
21	The State likely loses \$500 million in
22	tax revenue as a result of its citizens
23	purchasing cigarettes in Pennsylvania alone.
24	On the basis of these assessments,

1	Taylor draws three important conclusions.
2	First, the Seneca Nation's
3	comprehensive regulation and minimum pricing
4	scheme has produced net economic gains to

5 the State and western New York regional

6 economy.

Second, the declines in cigarette
consumption by New York's citizens are most
likely illusory in light of cross-border
interstate cigarette sales that induce
millions of dollars of cigarette tax loss.

And third, any effort by the State to promote tax or price parity between the Seneca Nation cigarette sales and State cigarette sales would not cure the State's cross-border cigarette tax revenue problem and would only push positive economic benefits to other states.

It is true that you have significant problems with your own citizens not paying your taxes, but it is not the result of our economic activity.

We should not be used as your scapegoat for a problem that has been created by the

State's	own	open	borders	with	other	states.

In conclusion, it has been our goal
today to share with you important
information about the nation, our struggle
to restore economic vitality to our homeland
and western New York region, as well as how

7 to regulate the sale of tobacco products to 8 achieve commonly shared policy goals as we 9 look forward to tomorrow, I believe that the fundamental truth of history, law and 10 11 economics are undeniable and must be 12 accepted by you if we are to have a positive 13 working relationship in the future. 14 These truths are that the Nation's 15

treaties preclude the application of State taxes in our territories, that the nation and the Seneca people will never allow the State to tax our commerce, that the Nation's cigarette trade generates millions in net economic benefit to the State and western New York region, and that while the State may have a problem with lost cigarette tax revenue, it has little to do with the Nation and more to do with your own citizens buying

199

1 cigarettes in other states.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5

7

2 Mr. Chairman, thank you for the 3 opportunity to present this information to 4 your Committee.

If you and other members of the Committee have any questions, we will be glad to answer them.

8 SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you very

9	much, Mr. Seneca.
10	I am going to allow Senator Golden to
11	make a statement.
12	Senator Golden.
13	SENATOR GOLDEN: I want to thank the
14	Chairman for allowing me to make the
15	statement.
16	Obviously the co-chair of the Seneca
17	Nation will be allowed to respond to it if
18	need be, but there is going to be everybody
19	here questioning and answers as we move on.
20	We are not by any measure looking to
21	create an atmosphere that would cause any
22	type of destruction or upsetment, we are
23	just trying to create a fairness here in the
24	City of New York.

1	And I know that you as a Sovereign
2	Nation have lived under your rules and laws
3	that were created hundreds of years ago.
4	And that's why we have had many
5	treaties over the years, to work and to be
6	able to work within those Nations.
7	Those sin taxes that you spoke of were
8	created to stop people from doing certain
9	things.

10 And those sin taxes have driven people over the border, to the internet and, yes, 11 to the Sovereign Nations as well. 12 And if you take a look just the City of 13 New York, where we had in 2002 raised the 14 15 price of cigarettes by 1,700 percent, we had 16 40 million cartons sold, they will be able 17 to testify that they are selling 11 million 18 cartons; what happened to 29 million cartons 19 of cigarettes? 20 Does everybody believe that those 29 million cartons of cigarettes disappeared 21 because people stopped smoking? 22 I don't think so, and when you take a 23 24 look across the country where the graduation

1	you increase taxes drops by 1.5 percent
2	across other states, that precipitous drop,
3	29 million cartons and if you take the \$46
4	tax stamp, we are over \$1 billion, that's
5	just in the City of New York.
6	That's not including the 25 million
7	cartons that are missing across the State.
8	So there are definite problems here in
9	this State, and the problems within our
10	households and our homes across this great
11	State, the debt that's being brought upon

I'm not talking about gaming, gaming 13 everybody seems to have an agreement with. 14 15 It's the cigarette sales that we have 16 to come up with and have some type of 17 agreement amongst the Nations, and if you 18 agree that most of this is going across 19 border, you should have no problem in 20 allowing State regulators to be part of the 21 Seneca Nation and other Nations to monitor 22 as we agree to some type of agreement over the next several months if the courts don't 23 24 come to one sooner.

them, all we are asking is for fairness.

12

1	So what I'm trying to get at here is
2	there is a rule of law in this nation, and
3	that's what this land is based on.
4	Either there is the rule of law or
5	there isn't a rule of law.
6	We are not looking to go to war with
7	anybody, we want fairness, you want
8	fairness.
9	That is an awful lot of money that's
10	out there that cannot be accounted for and
11	you know that some of that and a large
12	majority of that is going to the Indian

13 Nations. 14 Let's get together, let's find a way 15 that we can work together so that those dollars that are on those Indian 16 17 Reservations are equally shared with the 18 taxpayers, especially when it comes to those 19 that are non-tribal members that are 20 purchasing 49 cartons of cigarettes. 21 That's all we are asking, is fairness. 22 We can get there, I'm asking you, Mr. Chairman of the Seneca Nation, to work with 23 this State, to work with this Governor and 24

203

to come up with a date certain that we can 1 2 have this agreement in place with all of our Nations here in the City of New York. 3 Very, very simple request and hopefully, God willing, the smart people in 5 this room will make that happen. 6 7 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 8 SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you Senator Golden. 10 I don't know if you wanted to respond. MR. SENECA: 11 Well, you know certainly I respect your comments, but however I think 12 agreements have already been made. 13

I related to it in my testimony, the

15	Treaty of 1842 specifically states that the
16	State has no authority to tax us no matter
17	what it is for any purpose whatsoever.
18	And so I think if you are looking for
19	an agreement on taxation, it's already
20	there.
21	SENATOR GOLDEN: I thought the State
22	said that they were talking to you only last
23	night and over the past several months in
24	coming up with an agreement

1	So I guess the State has not been
2	talking to you about coming up with an
3	agreement on paying taxes on cigarettes?
4	MR. SENECA: They are not talking
5	with us.
6	SENATOR GOLDEN: They are not talking
7	to you, well that's good to know that at
8	least nobody is talking.
9	That means that those taxes probably
10	will never get collected and that's
11	unfortunate, because that's the we need
12	to get those taxes collected, the people of
13	this great State have too much debt you and
14	us should be able to pick up and be
15	responsible enough to be able to share

16 across this great State, it's 19.5 million 17 people when it comes to taxes, that's all 18 I'm asking, Mr. Chairman. 19 Thank you for this time. 20 SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you very much, Senator Golden. 21 22 MR. SENECA: Mr. Chairman thank you 23 for the opportunity, certainly and we met 24 last week in Albany we certainly had a frank

205

discussion in regards to this issue.

I just want to make it clear to the rest of your Committee and Senators present that the Seneca Nation will never be tax collectors for the State, and as far as we are concerned, we look to the legislature and in this Committee to recommend to the legislature as a whole to honor your commitments and your agreements of the past and stand by those of the Treaty of 1842 in regards to taxation within our territory.

That's what we ask for, honor our

treaties and those agreements.

14 SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr.

15 Seneca.

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

I'm going to ask you a series of
questions because I think it's important

just for the record to indicate the Senate
reviewing it, just seeing a broad spectrum
of relationships between the Nations and the
State of New York, and certainly I
appreciate our frank discussion that we did
have last week, and one of the things that I
suggested and I have already spoken to the

Democratic Conference I believe it's very important for the State Senate to have at the very least at the subcommittee level a standing committee involving Native American fairness, because obviously it goes beyond a cigarette tax issue, there are a lot of issues, as the Governor indicated, and I have a problem with the Governor not having selected a Deputy Secretary for Native American affairs.

I think it's important in the

relationship to have a point person, not to say in fact Mr. Rose isn't doing an excellent job, at a Cabinet level position.

That being said, I hope these questions don't seem inane, but I think it's important for the record just to set it forth.

My understanding from your testimony is

that there are probably about 7,800 members
of the Seneca Nation that reside in the
State of New York, is that correct?

MR. SENECA: Yes, our tribal roles
are about around 7,800. Not all the
members, as I indicated, are within the

1	Nation.
2	SENATOR JOHNSON: 7,800 within the
3	State, what boundary of the State of New
4	York?
5	MR. SENECA: More or less.
6	SENATOR JOHNSON: Are they counted?
7	Are members of your nation counted when the
8	U.S. census is taken every 10 years?
9	MR. SENECA: They are going through
10	that process now and actually a lady from
11	the Mohawk Nation is working on it and so an
12	attempt is being made to identify members.
13	Whether everybody is counted or not, I
14	would doubt that.
15	SENATOR JOHNSON: But there is an
16	attempt made to try?
17	MR. SENECA: I think so. When census
18	does it, what is it, every 10 years they do
19	that, attempts are made to get a number of
20	Indian people, I guess across the country.

```
21
                SENATOR JOHNSON: Are members of your
22
           nation registered to vote in federal, state
23
           and county elections?
24
                MR. SENECA: Not really. Some are,
                                                     208
 1
           some aren't, it depends on their personal
 2
           preference if they want to be registered to
 3
           do that.
                SENATOR JOHNSON: Talking about
 5
           taxation, do members of the nation pay
 6
           federal income taxes?
 7
                MR. SENECA: Yes.
 8
                SENATOR JOHNSON: State income tax?
                MR. SENECA: No.
 9
10
                SENATOR JOHNSON: With respect to
           real estate taxes, do Nation members pay
11
           real State taxes on lands, on Reservation
12
           lands?
13
14
                MR. SENECA: No.
15
                SENATOR JOHNSON: What about a
           situation if a member of the Seneca Nation
16
           owns land outside of the Reservation, to
17
18
           they pay real estate tax?
19
                MR. SENECA:
                             Yes.
20
                SENATOR JOHNSON: Does the Nation
21
           itself own smoke shops, or are they owned by
```

22	individuals who are members of the Nation?
23	MR. SENECA: Yeah, the nation owns
24	smoke shops its own self and sells gasoline,
	209
	209
1	but certainly we have a private sector that
2	is probably unlike any other Nation in this
3	country, so we have a wide-ranging very
4	large private sector business entity.
5	SENATOR JOHNSON: It's the private
6	sector members of the Nation, they would
7	own, an individual who is a member of the
8	nation could own or does own a smoke shop,
9	correct?
10	MR. SENECA: Yes.
11	SENATOR JOHNSON: Casino gambling,
12	the casino I believe it's in the Niagara
13	area.
14	MR. SENECA: We have three casinos,
15	one in Niagara Falls, Salamanca, New York
16	and Allegheny territory.
17	SENATOR JOHNSON: Owned by the Nation
18	or private members of the nation who are
19	members of the Nation?
20	MR. SENECA: Owned by the Nation,
21	only the Nation can own a Class 3 casino, or
22	any game.
23	SENATOR JOHNSON: Are all three Class

Τ	MR. SENECA: We have two Class 2
2	gaming facilities, Bingo halls and like that
3	and three casinos.
4	SENATOR JOHNSON: Unrelated to
5	cigarette taxes, to retailers with members
6	of the Nation or the Nation itself, do they
7	collect Sales and Use Taxes on sales of
8	goods to non-Native Americans?
9	MR. SENECA: No.
10	SENATOR JOHNSON: On Reservation
11	land, or if I own if I am a member of the
12	Nation and I own a piece of property, does
13	the nation impose a real estate tax or a
14	fee?
15	MR. SENECA: No. There is no taxes
16	at all in our territories.
17	SENATOR JOHNSON: No taxes.
18	MR. SENECA: For anything.
19	SENATOR JOHNSON: Any types of fees
20	for licenses?
21	MR. SENECA: Yeah, we have license
22	fees. If someone goes into business, there
23	is a certain dollar amount is required
24	for them to apply for a license.

1	SENATOR JOHNSON: How much did the
2	nation generate in licensing fees in 2008?
3	MR. SENECA: Senator, you are asking
4	a lot of very specific kind of fact
5	questions, I could direct you to one of the
6	exhibits in our testimony is a very
7	comprehensive economic assessment, it
8	doesn't get down to how much a fishing
9	license might cost a non-Native, but does
10	provide some of this aggregate information
11	about how the nation government functions,
12	how it sustains itself and you might find
13	that helpful as you go through your
14	questions.
15	SENATOR JOHNSON: Mr. Seneca, would
16	that be Exhibit F, entitled Seneca Nation,
17	side impact on your state in western New
18	York?
19	MR. SENECA: Yes.
20	SENATOR JOHNSON: I will go back to
21	that.
22	I know there was some testimony and a
23	little bit of passion from down that way
2.4	when we were talking about casine gaming. T

	just want to get an understanding.
2	There is currently a Compact between
3	the State of New York and the Senecas with
4	respect to payments related to background
5	checks and Racing and Wagering Board
6	employees working in the Casinos, is that
7	correct?
8	MR. SENECA: Yes.
9	SENATOR JOHNSON: There was testimony
10	indicating there is a dispute right now,
11	there is an ongoing dispute involving those
12	payments.
13	Can you maybe expand upon or maybe
14	clarify what the dispute is involving?
15	MR. PORTER: I think you are relating
16	to the issue associated with State Police
17	reimbursements.
18	You have to keep in mind there is a lot
19	of things, it depends on how you define
20	dispute.
21	The Nation and the State are subject to
22	this Compact and on a daily basis there are
23	interpretations between the State and the
24	Nation regulators for which you might call

1	it a dispute.
2	So the issue of State Police
3	reimbursements is in a process in which the
4	nation has asserted an interest of
5	questioning the basis for those
6	reimbursement requests.
7	But it is simply one of many really
8	within the framework of this very complex
9	Compact with which we have periodic
10	disagreements with the state.
11	SENATOR JOHNSON: I use a shorthand
12	word dispute, so I appreciate the
13	clarification.
14	But my understanding is if there are
15	differences of opinions with respect to
16	those payments, it goes there is an
17	arbitration clause?
18	MR. PORTER: There is a procedure
19	under the Compact by which the Nation and
20	the State in the last resort can take the
21	dispute to arbitration within which it can
22	be resolved.
23	SENATOR JOHNSON: Have you ever done

1 MR. PORTER: It's never happened.

24

that?

2 SENATOR JOHNSON: My understanding

3	there is a number, a figure thrown out of
4	\$40 million.
5	Mr. Kiernan testified that there is \$40
6	million currently held in escrow or set
7	aside because there are these issues that
8	are being resolved, is that accurate?
9	MR. PORTER: I don't know the exact
10	amount, that's the ballpark.
11	SENATOR JOHNSON: What is that \$40
12	million, what does that ballpark figure
13	represent? How many years' worth of
14	payments?
15	MR. PORTER: Again, I don't know the
16	exact number, I think it's been an ongoing
17	dispute between the Nation and the State, so
18	as long as we have had a Compact.
19	SENATOR JOHNSON: Have any payments
20	ever been made by the Nation to the State?
21	MR. PORTER: No.
22	SENATOR JOHNSON: I missed the part,
23	when was the Compact entered into between

<u>l</u>	MR.	PORTER:	2002

the State and the Nation?

2 SENATOR JOHNSON: So no payments have

3 been made since the entry of this Compact in

4 2002. MR. PORTER: Correct. Just let me 6 rephrase the question or refine it. As it relates to State Police 7 8 reimbursement the Nation has been making 9 regular quarterly payments with respect to 10 the State Racing and Wagering, as we do with 11 the county law enforcement and the other 12 governments, so we have a very positive working relationship with the State Racing 13 and Wagering, and I think the general view 14 is that this is a service that is required 15 under the Compact and the Nation has been 16 17 making. 18 Again, we audit these requests no 19

Again, we audit these requests no differently than any other government would audit a request from a vendor, and that's what our regulators do, and at the end of the day we have substantially worked very closely with Racing and Wagering in addressing those issues.

20

21

22

23

24

1	SENATOR JOHNSON: What's a yearly
2	payment made to the Racing and Wagering, if
3	you can give me an estimate?
4	MR. PORTER: I don't know that
5	offhand.

6	SENATOR JOHNSON: I will look in
7	here. If it's not in there, it would be
8	great.
9	MR. PORTER: Racing and Wagering
10	would have that.
11	SENATOR JOHNSON: Let me turn the
12	attention to maybe the treaty and I guess,
13	Mr. Seneca, I don't want to I was jotting
14	down notes during your testimony, if I say
15	this misquoting you, I apologize.
16	I think I you said during the testimony
17	that the court cases are meaningless.
18	Am I accurate to say that that's the
19	word you used to describe the decisions, the
20	Supreme Court case, Attea case and other
21	cases?
22	MR. SENECA: Yeah, many of the cases
23	that have been heard before courts, whether
24	it be state or federal, have never addressed

1	the treaty issues.
2	So therefore that equation was never
3	incorporated into any decision, really, in
4	regards to that.
5	SENATOR JOHNSON: My understanding

6 through your very thorough and thank you for

this, this is what we talked about last week, when you said information, the treaty established, I am referring to the 1842 Treaty of Buffalo Creek, because that's, I think, if you want to you can put that up on the board, that's what you are referring to the taxation issue. My understanding is that with respect to the Supreme Court case you refer to the Attea case.

MR. SENECA: Yes.

SENATOR JOHNSON: My understanding is that there was an effort by the Seneca

Nation to submit or you did submit an amicus brief in that decision or in that case making the point that your nation is not subject to the decision or subject to the State's position based on the treaty, is

1	that	correct?

MR. PORTER: My recollection of the case is that the Nation did file a friend of the court brief, it was not a party, did not assert before the court those rights as a party, that in a footnote in that decision the court reserved decision and did not opine or in any way take a position with

respect to the Nation's treaty claims.

I think reference to the notion of the decision being meaningless or not helpful would be rooted in the notion that how can one government of the State or the United States take a treaty interpretation and expect it to bind the other party to a treaty, especially a state government which is a subdivision of the treaty partner to the United States.

The only way to, perhaps, make any logic of this for your benefit would be your willingness as a state government, state official to participate in a lawsuit in our courts.

And perhaps we would be able to amend

our rules and open it up so that your government could come into our court system to seek an interpretation of that treaty.

And so to the extent that that's something uncomfortable or something you don't think appropriate, that's where we stand in terms of we each have different interpretations, perhaps, of that treaty, and that's in the specter of international

10	law what you have to talk through.
11	SENATOR JOHNSON: Mr. Porter, let me
12	ask you, if you don't mind, I can focus for
13	a second, let's assume that a Native
14	American, a member of the Seneca Nation
15	marries a non-Nation member and children,
16	they have children, then there is a break up
17	or dissolution of that marriage.
18	Can the Seneca Nation member go to a
19	Seneca court and seek relief, either divorce
20	or child custody or alimony, and does the
21	Seneca court have jurisdiction over the
22	non-Seneca Nation member?
23	MR. PORTER: Yes.
24	SENATOR JOHNSON: So there are

1	situations where non-Native Americans become
2	subject to the jurisdiction jurisdiction
3	of the tribal courts, correct?
4	MR. PORTER: Yes, and these are not
5	controversial issues, frankly, even as it
6	relates to the laws that would govern you in
7	terms of federal laws or State laws.
8	That there is a long line of
9	acknowledgment of the inherent authority of
10	Indian Nations and tribal courts and
11	individuals, non-natives who marry into

tribes who live in our territories by virtue of their own personal conduct, subject themselves to the jurisdiction of our courts and our government.

We are not forcing anyone to do this, although Seneca men are somewhat irresistable, perhaps, that's what you're getting at, but for the most part these are personal choices that are acknowledged under our laws and are the subject of our jurisdiction.

SENATOR JOHNSON: With respect to the Supreme Court decision of 1994, just so -- I

1	want the record to reflect it accurately and
2	I'm not saying you are right or wrong, just
3	let the record reflect the footnote 11 which
4	was referred to says, and I quote, amicus
5	the Seneca Nation argues that New York
6	cigarette tax regulations violate treaties
7	between it and the United States insofar as
8	the regulations allow New York to tax any
9	transactions occurring on Seneca tribal
10	lands, see brief for Seneca Nations of
11	Indians and amicus 18-26, but see brief for
12	United States amicus curiae 21-24, we do not

13	address this contention which differs
14	markedly from the Respondent's position and
15	which was not addressed by the Court of
16	Appeals, and they cite see United States
17	Parcel Service, Inc., v. Mitchell and it
18	gives the case citation.
19	I don't know, Mr. Porter, were you
20	involved in this? You did not represent the
21	Seneca Nation, that's a no, right? You are
22	shaking your head?
23	MR. PORTER: No.
24	SENATOR JOHNSON: Do you know what

1	the United States' position was in their
2	brief with respect to disagreeing with the
3	Seneca Nation's position that they are not
4	subject to the New York's jurisdiction?
5	MR. PORTER: I don't.
6	SENATOR JOHNSON: Maybe it seems like
7	an easy question to ask, and you have
8	indicated testimony to us that you have
9	never, according to on Page 8 of your
10	testimony to read a quote, "The Seneca
11	Nation has never sought to litigate our
12	treaty rights in the courts and so the court
13	cases you rely on fail to address the
14	uniqueness of our situation."

Maybe my question is why not? In the sense that why not attempt a legal decision or determination, as other Nations either have sought or were sought against them, why not put before a court and have a court of law determine whether or not the Treaty of 1842 stands for the proposition that you put forth?

MR. SENECA: Perhaps because we

really don't want to trust the court to rule

1 in our favor.

Certainly courts have not been friendly to many of the issues that face Indian people across this country, and so I think that's probably a reason.

Also is that certainly we determine what our treaties say and what they say, and that's our determination, not a court's benefit to rule on what they believe the treaty would say.

SENATOR JOHNSON: I appreciate your point of view, but it seems like the Cayuga Indian Nation sued the Seneca -- sorry, the Cayuga county sheriff and the Seneca county sheriff with respect to this particular

issue, and, in fact, correct me if I'm wrong, but the Seneca Nation did submit in that case an amicus curiae brief as well, is that correct? MR. PORTER: Yes, there is a significant difference. I'm not sure if you're a lawyer or not, but there is a pretty tremendous difference between participating in litigation as a party and

offering helpful information and legal analysis to the court as a friend of the court.

So the Nation has found in its interests, the Council has authorized participation as a friend of the court to provide information for its benefit as it resolves the disputes.

We are not a party, nor subject to the jurisdiction of the court in that regard.

SENATOR JOHNSON: With respect to and similarly with respect to that decision as well as the Erbot decision, certainly those, in those two decisions the courts have ruled in favor of positions taken by respective Nations.

And so while I certainly respect your

viewpoint that there is concern about

whether or not you would be treated fairly,

clearly these decisions show that courts

have been fair when it comes to this

particular issue.

So it would seem to me that it would

So it would seem to me that it would put probably to bed once and for all the

question of whether or not New York State can impose and collect sales tax on cigarette sales to non-Native Americans.

MR. PORTER: Senator, just to bring back your questioning to what you had raised at the beginning, which is the Compact with the Nation and the State, you will note in that Compact that there is no concession of jurisdiction to the courts of either government, and there is a reason for that, I think the notion that the Nation would trust the State and the State's courts to resolve a dispute is perhaps just as unlikely as the State trusts the Nation's courts to resolve this dispute.

So there was an example of parties coming together to work together to create jobs and opportunity in western New York on

which we agreed to have arbitration in the
event the worst case scenario of which we
need a decision making party to decide that
dispute.

Because we are dealing with treaty law

you are not even party, you are simply obligated under law, your law, to adhere to those treaties.

here, we are dealing with treaties for which

We need to go to the United Nations to achieve the proper degree of involvement in our internal disputes, if that is the direction that you are going in.

We would gladly invoke that as well, as we have with the President of the United States.

SENATOR JOHNSON: Mr. Porter, I'm just curious about something, has the Seneca treaty, not necessarily Article 9, but has this treaty ever been interpreted by any court, whether it's a Seneca Nation court, whether it's a court, a federal court or a State of New York court, has it ever been on any particular provision?

MR. PORTER: Not so much litigation.

I believe there is one case out there

involving an individual, but that there have
been a series of state interpretations over
the years from actually your predecessors,

150 years ago, we have attached that in our

testimony as well, in terms of the historic position of the state government from time to time of interpreting the treaty.

And there is also most recently the interpretation from U.S. Representative Eric Massa, who has also provided interpretation of that treaty that is consistent with the way in which we are acknowledging it.

His position in looking at, I guess, the word protect is being interpreted as will not assess or not impose, to protect the lands of the Seneca Indians within the State of New York from time to time remain in possession, protect it from all taxes and assessments for roads, highways and any other purpose.

I'm just curious, how is it interpreted that the State of New York can impose cigarette taxes on the sale to non-Native Americans?

22 Because that would fall into the 23 categorical prohibition that you are trying 24 to tax us for any other purpose, that 228 1 purpose being the taxation of non-natives 2 who do business with us on our lands. The language refers to taxes on lands, all taxes on roads, highways, or any other 5 purpose. And what your government has sought to 7 do over the years is to tax especially the commerce between our nation and our 9

non-Native customers on our lands.

So we interpret that to be what was intended at the time, the categorical and broad sweeping assertion that the State of New York would never have any authority to assess its taxes in our territory.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

And not only that, the United States will actually help protect us from you in terms of doing that.

SENATOR JOHNSON: Is there legislative, any type of legislative history in the United States Senate that supports this position? Not Representative Massa? MR. PORTER: Keep in mind there were no State taxes in 1842 when this occurred,

time in which they were seeking to lands, and so the language is spur specific dispute that occurred. But the cure, if you will, wa in terms of then and forever there taxation. SENATOR JOHNSON: Well, it' under the sense that from all, from all	
specific dispute that occurred. But the cure, if you will, was in terms of then and forever there taxation. SENATOR JOHNSON: Well, it' would take the position that's was	o tax our
But the cure, if you will, was in terms of then and forever there taxation. SENATOR JOHNSON: Well, it' would take the position that's was	n out of a
in terms of then and forever there taxation. SENATOR JOHNSON: Well, it' would take the position that's v	
<pre>7 taxation. 8 SENATOR JOHNSON: Well, it' 9 I would take the position that's very selection.</pre>	as sweeping
8 SENATOR JOHNSON: Well, it' 9 I would take the position that's v	e be no
9 I would take the position that's v	
-	's vague.
10 the sense that from all, from all	ague in
	tax
11 assessments for roads, highways or	any other
12 purpose, certainly the any other p	ourpose can
13 really apply to any other purpose	related to
14 roads or highways and not commerce	e.
I mean one could take that po	osition.
16 What I'm asking is in terms of	of
17 legislative histories, trying to i	interpret a
dispute, I'm trying to see if a pa	articular
19 Senator on the floor of the United	d States
20 Senate in a debate on this particu	ılar treaty
21 take the position that this relate	es to taxes
for commerce, you know, not to a c	cigarette
23 tax, but any other type of tax for	

on Indian lands.

1	MR. PORTER: I don't know offhand. I
2	mean if this is something that you want more
3	work done on it, I'm sure Roger will be able
4	to pull it up for you.
5	SENATOR JOHNSON: I certainly want
6	to, based on Page 7 of your testimony, the
7	Buffalo Creek Treaty was entered after a
8	failed effort by the State and its land
9	speculators, this is your testimony, to
10	steal our remaining lands, to tax those
11	lands and have us physically removed to the
12	lands west of the Mississippi River.
13	Based on that description it would seem
14	to me the purpose, the intent of this treaty
15	is simply related to taxation on the land,
16	and anything related directly to the real
17	estate, the mud, the dirt, and not
18	necessarily the commerce.
19	And that's why I understand that you're
20	making the catch-all or any other purpose,
21	I'm wondering is there anything other than
22	your belief that says any other purpose.
23	MR. PORTER: There are two things, if
2.4	your interpretation is correct, there would

1	be no reason to put the words any other
2	purpose in the treaty.
3	And the second thing is everything
4	occurs in the context of history and in
5	realizing, as we have talked with you in the
6	past, that your understanding of these
7	issues is in its initial phase.
8	The Treaty of 1842 came about after a
9	concerted deliberate effort by the State
10	working with the United States at the time
11	to wipe us out and to eliminate us, take our
12	lands and move us to Kansas.
13	So the treaty of 1838, the treaty of
14	1826, the treaty of 1794 with which we
15	established that peace was a 50 year period
16	of perpetual conflict and hostility from the
17	State towards the Nation.
18	And so it's not surprising that the
19	treaty commissioners on behalf of the United
20	States wanted a sweeping and broad
21	admonishment to your predecessors to leave
22	the Senecas alone.
23	And that's why I think the language is
24	worded the way it is.

1	SENATOR JOHNSON: Look, I am trying
2	to learn and I think that's the purpose of
3	this committee and this hearing, from that
4	statement I'm trying to see if there is
5	anything to back that up, meaning that there
6	was testimony in the Senate in a hearing
7	like this where the Commissioner said look,
8	we are trying to do a sweeping statement and
9	we believe all taxes should be all taxes.
10	Because we are in 2009 and there have
11	been certain positions taken, trying to
12	figure out where we go.
13	So I'm trying to get as much
14	information as I can in trying to do in a
15	nonconfrontational manner.
16	With that said I'm going to turn it
17	over to Senator Winner who will ask some
18	questions, then Senator Nozziolo, and
19	Senator Maziarz.
20	Thank you very much for your testimony.
21	SENATOR WINNER: Thank you, Mr.
22	Chairman.
23	Mr. Seneca, I can really appreciate
24	from hearing from you the zeal and the

obviously the commitment that you have with regard to enforcing your interpretation as

3 relates to the treaty.

No one is doubting the fervent belief that you have in your interpretation and your insistence on the principles that we uphold that.

However, there are some questions that we have as it relates to the State action that has been taken over the last number of years, and I think that as you listen to some other testimony here today, you have seen that there are different interpretations as to what the authority the State of New York has as it relates to this whole issue of collecting taxes on the sales, particularly of tobacco products to non-Indian residents of Reservation -- or not Reservation residents.

Under those circumstances from the

Governor's counsel to the Department of

Taxation of finance those are the people

that obviously we interact with on a regular

basis, and they have entirely different

- 1 interpretation as to the validity of your
- 2 interpretation of the treaty as it relates
- 3 to the actions that we take.

4	So we are not I'm not here to
5	discount in any way, shape or form the zeal
6	under which you are protecting your
7	interpretation here, but I do want to have
8	some further dialogue as it relates to what
9	actions we are taking and what actions we
10	can take and what actions are being
11	contemplated going into the future.
12	So as such, I do want to specifically
13	talk about right now the chapter of the laws
14	of 2008 as it relates to the tax stamping

So as such, I do want to specifically talk about right now the chapter of the laws of 2008 as it relates to the tax stamping requirements on the tax stamping requirements that the Nozziolo legislation 2008 imposes upon those businesses that would then sell the tobacco products to you and to others.

Now, are you taking the position that you believe that that effort under that statute violates this treaty, and if so, how, due to the fact that's not occurring on your lands, this is a tax that is being

1	imposed or collected on the operation of the
2	tax stampers outside of the territorial
3	jurisdiction of your smoke shops and other
4	enterprises?

5 MR. PORTER: The legislation that you

speak of, which has since been enjoined, is an indirect effort to impose the same taxes that the State is unable to do so on the purchasers of those tobacco products.

And so as a result of its impact on the

Nation, it's retailers, the people that we do business with, it has the same consequence in terms of its ability to -- there is no question as the State has done in the past, that you have the capacity for a pure embargo as the result of the tobacco products being cut off.

And so efforts to refine that process through moving the -- a pinching, if you will, upstream still has the same consequence on our economy.

That's your choice in terms of how you address that.

24 But our view is that it still

interf	eres	with	the	right	of	our	pec	pl	.e	to
receive	e tok	oacco	prod	ducts,	as	wou	ld b	е	an	У
other p	produ	ıct wi	ithou	ıt tax.						

Simply because you put it on someone else doesn't mean it isn't going to result in tax on to the purchaser which is the

7	Indian.
8	SENATOR WINNER: I don't think that
9	was my specific question, my specific
10	question is you believe that it violates the
11	treaty?
12	MR. PORTER: Yes.
13	SENATOR WINNER: Now, what about the
14	fact the federal government imposes a tax at
15	the time that that product leaves the
16	manufacturer's bonded warehouse and there is
17	a tax imposed upon it at that stage, do you
18	believe that the federal tax that is being
19	imposed violates the treaty?
20	MR. PORTER: We have no provision in
21	our treaties that prohibits or protects the
22	Nation from any charges or fees or taxes
23	that the United States may impose on its

1	And so it is a different legal
2	question.
3	SENATOR WINNER: Okay Now, you have
4	indicated, and I think correctly, so that
5	one of the reasons for a lot of the dropoff
6	in our sales in the State of New York is due
7	to cross-border commerce and the fact I
8	mean I am a resident of the City of Elmira

citizens or its companies.

and I live on the Pennsylvania border and I
know very, very well of all the people that
go across into Pennsylvania to purchase
their cigarettes.

That being said, that is an argument

That being said, that is an argument that we certainly have created or a problem that we have created on our own, as you have also pointed out through the heavy increase in the amount of taxes that we impose upon cigarettes and the noncompetitive nature of the sales across the border.

That being said, have you provided to us, and I apologize if you have and I haven't picked up on it, because you did testify that you have extraordinary technology with regard to tracking sales and

1	t.he	like.

Have you provided to us data as it relates to the amount of cigarettes that you do sell in New York?

MR. PORTER: Well, yes, in the economic study there is a couple of years of data, but it's not a secret, really, just ask your Tax Department, because all of the tobacco products come through State licensed

10 dealers and they know who the purchasers 11 are. So, it's not a secret really at all 12 about what the volume is, it's actually 13 14 helpful data because I think what we have 15 tried to provide you is enough information 16 to realize you have a tax problem, it's just 17 not us. 18 That the volume that everyone on the 19 other side is speaking to is not accounted for in the same way that it is for us, 20 21 because you know who's buying the cigarettes 22 because they are going through state dealers, you have that data. 23

24

SENATOR WINNER: Have you reviewed

1	chart 5 that was provided to us from Deputy
2	Commissioner Comiskey?
3	MR. PORTER: No, we just heard about
4	it for the first time today.
5	SENATOR WINNER: Would you be kind
6	enough to have you review the data that was
7	provided by the Department of Taxation and
8	Finance as it relates to the specific sales
9	by Seneca Nation and others as far as what
10	they are what they contend are your sales
11	of tobacco products in New York?

12	MR. PORTER: Senator, what are you
13	going to do with the answer?
14	Is this something rooted in
15	SENATOR WINNER: Obviously if you're
16	indicating to us as policy makers in New
17	York that we are missing the boat here as
18	far as making a determination as to where
19	these cartons of cigarettes that are
20	disappearing, it is clear to us that if, in
21	fact, there is a sinking hole here where
22	these are disappearing, that it would be
23	helpful to me to know where they are.
24	MR. PORTER: Sure, we will look at

1	that.
2	SENATOR WINNER: If your receipt in
3	sales are overstated or understated by a
4	significant amount, I think then that might
5	be reflected in the other remaining sales
6	that are being attributed, and there may be
7	problems with our Tax and Finance people as
8	far as the data that they are utilizing, is
9	that fair enough?
10	MR. PORTER: Yes.
11	SENATOR JOHNSON: Senator Nozziolo.
12	SENATOR NOZZIOLO: Thank you very

much for the panel, your testimony, J.C., I appreciate your directness and your approach 14 15 to this, and I do have a number of 16 questions. 17 Could you explain the structure of the 18 Nation and it's sales of tobacco products? 19 You mentioned a two tiered system or a 20 two component system, one where the Nation 21 owns the retail, retail and wholesale establishments, another you called a private 22 sector sales force or business force. 23 24 Could you elaborate on that for us?

13

14

241

MR. SENECA: Certainly the Nation has 1 three they call them one stops, they are 2 3 convenience store gas station retail sales for cigarettes, groceries, things like that. 5 And then also we have a private sector where individuals -- actually only 6 businesses on territory and sell tobacco 8 products, grocery items, gasoline at some, some are just tobacco, grocery item stores. 10 So it's -- there is other types of 11 businesses we have, we have some other types of businesses, mechanics, oil change, tires, 12 stuff like that. 13

SENATOR NOZZIOLO: Are all of the

```
15
           businesses licensed by the Nation in some
16
           form or another?
                MR. SENECA: Yes.
17
                SENATOR NOZZIOLO: Do revenues or a
18
19
           portion of the revenues go in any type of
20
           proportionate distribution to the rest of
21
           the Nation?
                MR. SENECA: Well, certainly the fees
22
23
           that are charged go back to the Nations for
24
           operations and to provide services for the
                                                     242
1
          people.
                SENATOR NOZZIOLO: But those fees do.
```

3 Are those fees based on a percentage of sales? MR. SENECA: 5 No. SENATOR NOZZIOLO: Fixed fee for 6 7 everyone? 8 MR. SENECA: Right. 9 SENATOR NOZZIOLO: But the revenue itself is not going to the Nation, it's 10 going to the individual business owner? 11 12 MR. SENECA: Yes. 13 SENATOR NOZZIOLO: You see, that's 14 where I have some trouble in trying to understand the fairness of this issue. 15

And you mentioned confrontation and disrespect, I think -- please know that we are not, at least from my standpoint, we are not trying to be confrontational and certainly not trying to be disrespectful, we have a difference of opinion and that difference is an opinion I have versus an opinion that you may have, and that opinion is -- both opinions are protected by the

United States Government and the country we live in.

So I don't want this to be a confrontational discussion. I don't believe it has been, I don't believe that we have tried to be confrontational in our efforts to establish policies from our perspective, for our constituents' perspective appear to be fair.

You have a right to disagree with those perspectives, but I think what we are trying to give and get is an understanding and as both Senators Johnson and Winner discuss this right that you believe you have under the 1842 Buffalo Creek Treaty, the issue becomes where does that end?

You're saying it does not end at the

18 Reservation where the -- you believe that

19 the Seneca Nation is protected from any
20 commercial enterprise on the Reservation.

21 You believe further, as I understand
22 it, that treaty protects or insulates any
23 transaction that takes place on the
24 Reservation between the Seneca Nation or one

of its licensees and on non-Indian which
gets a little further from what Senator
Johnson and Winner were trying to isolate in
terms of the intention of that treaty.

So we are moving away from just simply commerce among the Nation to commerce between the Nation and other not members of the Nation.

My question is you've taken another
step in Senator Winner's question to you, we
are not seeing taxation on the Nation or
even to the nation in terms of direct
taxation, but rather taxation to a
distributor of a product that the Nation is
selling to nonmembers of the Nation.

That seems further and further apart from the original intention of the 1842

Buffalo Creek Treaty.

19	Again, my question to you then is how
20	far does this go?
21	Does it go to all the internet no
22	matter where that internet purchaser is
23	from?
24	Does it go to so the State is

1	prohibited from taxing that sale, and what
2	other commodities, what's next?
3	Automobiles? Other luxury items?
4	Is that the position of the Nation now?
5	MR. SENECA: I think so. I think we
6	have an economy, that we believe that we
7	have the right and the opportunity to take
8	advantage of certain things, and if we can
9	sell automobiles, if we can several
10	different items on our territory and make
11	money on it and create an economy for our
12	people and something that they can build and
13	support their families on, then that's what
14	we will do.
15	I think if there is opportunity we are
16	going to do that.
17	SENATOR NOZZIOLO: J.C., if I may
18	call you that, I respect that a great deal,
19	that's the free enterprise system, and I
20	certainly don't have any argument with that.

However understand that with each
different variation of this transaction you
are on thinner and thinner legal ice, and
that ice, which may be very deep and thick

1	for issues certainly among the Seneca Nation
2	members or other Indian Nation members
3	protected by certain treaties within the
4	Reservation that's one thing, but as you're
5	branching out and are engaging in commerce
6	farther and farther away with other
7	nonmembers of the Nation, we think that
8	there is a reasonable interpretation that
9	ice gets thinner and thinner, and that, in
10	effect, would be a taxable transaction as
11	you get further away from the original
12	intention of that legislation.
13	I'm not arguing with your point, I'm
14	just saying that we have a point, too.
15	My next question gets to the economic
16	study.
17	You talk about it, you discuss it with
18	some pride.
19	It's not accurate unless it takes into
20	account the numbers of non-Native American
21	jobs that were lost, the non-Native

22 Americans businesses that were closed, the 23 tax revenue that was lost from those 24 businesses, the true economic model would

247

1 have to take also into consideration the 2 fact that the unlevel playing field of Indian business transactions as it moves across the spectrum has given an advantage, some would say an unfair advantage, many 5 would say an unfair advantage over those who 7 are paying taxes. So I think your analysis, unless it has 9 those other businesses that were closed, the taxation revenue that wasn't achieved and 10 the jobs that were lost, is faulty. 11 12 MR. SENECA: I disagree with you, Senator. I think being involved in 13 government for the last 20, 25 years and 14 being in business myself and living and 15 16 growing up with our neighbors off the Reservation in that region and probably for 17 18 many of our communities where businesses are 19 throughout this State, we, I think, 20 intensified the ability for businesses around our territories to prosper, to do 21 business, to create new economies because of 22

the traffic flow, the people that come in to

1	SENATOR NOZZIOLO: And you have done
2	that in areas, like the casinos and the
3	MR. SENECA: Without the casinos just
4	this territory in the little town where I
5	grew up in Irving, just south of Buffalo
6	about 30 miles or so, I remember there was
7	nothing there, when business started back in
8	the early '80s on our territory things
9	started happening off the Reservation.
10	And next thing you know, there was a
11	Burger King there, there is a lot of stores
12	and things were popping up and, you know,
13	the convenience stores that were in the gas
14	business back then, and the convenience
15	store business, they are still there today
16	and there are a couple of more.
17	And so these things we have given
18	incentives and things to businesses around
19	our area to prosper, we do business and
20	spend our money in these businesses off the
21	territory and the people that come to do
22	business with us, they save money, really,
23	they don't pay the tax, but what do they do

24 with that money that they save once they

1	come and purchase a carton of cigarettes or
2	gallon of gas?
3	They go back into New York State and
4	spend it again. In a roundabout way you are
5	getting your tax money somewhere, so those
6	are the things that need to be recognized
7	and understood.
8	SENATOR NOZZIOLO: My experience,
9	J.C., is that's not the case in every corner
10	of the State that has been confronted with
11	the establishment of Native American
12	businesses.
13	Lastly, I would like to ask you about
14	the issue of violence.
15	You heard the Governor's counsel talk
16	about an analysis done by the New York State
17	Police relative to potential threats of
18	violence.
19	You don't seem like a violent person at
20	all. I would hope that the Nation would not
21	condone violence, would not promote violence
22	and would do all it could to ostracize those
23	who would.
24	Do you have a comment about that?

1	MR. SENECA: Well, you don't know me
2	very well, do you?
3	You know, I think when we talk
4	violence, I think violence is precipitated,
5	certainly it's an inflammatory word, and I
6	think standing here and listening today
7	there was a lot of violence directed at
8	Indian people and what we do.
9	And I think every day as Indian people
10	we fight and we struggle against propaganda,
11	against innuendo, being attacked at every
12	angle, whether it's by the New York State
13	legislature, whether it's by a Committee,
14	whether it's by individuals, organizations,
15	the courts, the State and federal
16	governments.
17	Indian people struggle every day to
18	fight for what we have, and we are going to
19	continue to fight for that.
20	And when violence occurs and things
21	that have happened in the past, it was
22	precipitated on the State's behalf.
23	The Seneca Nation people weren't armed,
24	we didn't have guns, we didn't have clubs.

<pre>we didn't invade anybody's territ</pre>	cory.
--	-------

When 1,000 state troopers invaded our
territory back in 1992 what would you do if
somebody invaded d your neighborhood and
threatened your livelihood and your family
and your women and your children and your
elders?

You would fight back, wouldn't you?

That's what our people did. If that's

violence to you, I look at it defending our

people and our nation. And certainly our

people will step forward and defend our

nation at any cost at any time to defend

what we have so that we protect those

rights.

Not for us just today that live here in this world in 2009, but our future generations.

We come here and defend these treaties that certainly haven't had the review of a committee or a court to rule on what they mean.

We learn about these things from our ancestors, from our fathers, our mothers,

our grandparents, tell us about this is the way it's supposed to be, these are the

things to believe in. This is what it 3 means. That's what we fight for and we will 5 continue to fight for that. 6 If that's violent, I'm sorry, that's 8 the way it is. And that's all I can say as straightforward as that. SENATOR NOZZIOLO: It's very 10 11 disappointing. MR. SENECA: I don't condone violence 12 and certainly we shouldn't do that. 13 SENATOR NOZZIOLO: It's very 14 disappointing that it took you the entire 15 answer to say you don't condone violence. 16 17 MR. SENECA: I don't. SENATOR NOZZIOLO: I think we are a 18 nation of laws, I don't mean to be 19 discussing the question of how many 20 21 sacrifices there have been made to defend the Constitution and the laws that we have 22

253

1 MR. SENECA: What is that democracy
2 based upon? The United States was based on
3 the Six Nations Confederacy, the original

and the way of life that we have, the

democracy that we have.

23

4	people, that's what was based on, the United
5	States.
6	SENATOR NOZZIOLO: Then you more than
7	anyone else should appreciate the fact that
8	we are a nation of laws.
9	MR. SENECA: And when we stepped
10	forward to help this country when it was
11	being born back in those days, we got
12	stepped all over.
13	We got lied to, we got misled, our land
14	was taken from us, our people were killed.
15	You know, you go into the State Capitol
16	here, you know what you see? Champlain
17	killing the first Indian in the Governor's
18	office.
19	Should we put up our first white guy
20	killed?
21	What would you feel like if that was up
22	on the ceiling every time I go into the
23	Governor's office I have to know it's up on
2.4	the ceiling.

1	First Indian killed. And now you tell
2	me about violence.
3	SENATOR NOZZIOLO: Two wrongs don't
4	make a right.
5	Two wrongs don't make a right, and we

6	
7	THE AUDIENCE: Then don't throw the
8	first stone.
9	SENATOR NOZZIOLO: This was not about
10	confrontation and disrespect, it was about
11	
12	SENATOR JOHNSON: Please, please.
13	SENATOR NOZZIOLO: This was not about
14	confrontation and disrespect, it was about
15	discussing mutual disagreements and trying
16	to understand through this discussion where
17	we can find common ground, and where there
18	is an ability to make laws fair for
19	everyone.
20	Thank you for your discussion.
21	MR. SENECA: Thank you, and let me
22	make it clear that certainly the law
23	enforcement of western New York and the
24	local State Police, the Sheriffs, the FBI,

1	the ATF, we have sat down at the table and
2	talked with them, they are concerned about a
3	lot of things that go on within our
4	territory and our relationships.
5	You know what, if you even tried to
6	invade our territory, I think they would

7 maybe stand by us. 8 SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you Senator Nozziolo. 9 10 Senator Maziarz. 11 SENATOR MAZIARZ: Thank you very 12 much, Mr. Chairman. 13 Mr. Seneca, you mentioned -- I believe 14 you mentioned in your opening statement that 15 the Seneca Nation has 6,300 employees, were 16 you talking about total or were you just 17 talking about in the gaming side? That's total that we 18 MR. SENECA: 19 employee in western New York as an entity. 20 SENATOR MAZIARZ: I would suspect 21 that probably makes you if not the largest, 22 maybe the second or third largest private 23 sector employer in all of western New York. 24 MR. SENECA: I believe Business First

1	said we were the fifth largest employer in
2	western New York.
3	SENATOR MAZIARZ: How many of those
4	6,300 employees are non-Native Americans?
5	Do you have any idea?
6	MR. PORTER: Senator, the numbers
7	break down roughly about 1,300 jobs from the
3	Nation government that are primarily Seneca

people, we have casino enterprises that are
predominantly non-natives and that we
actually in terms of that number is somewhat
conservative because it somewhat undercounts
the private sector since we don't track that
data as accurately, but it's a significant
number of non-natives in terms of direct
employment plus the vendors and all the
others that derive economic benefit.
SENATOR MAZIARZ: Mr. Porter, under
that same thing for Mr. Seneca, whoever
wants to answer the question, those
employees that you employ in western New
York, they all have a health insurance
benefit package associated with their
employment, don't they?

1	MR. PORTER: They have.
2	SENATOR MAZIARZ: And pension?
3	MR. PORTER: They have considerable
4	benefits, not just their salaries, but
5	associated benefits of healthcare and the
6	like and, of course, they live in taxable
7	communities, they pay property taxes and our
8	economic studies bear out the taxable
9	benefit to the state associated with our

10 nontaxable economic activity.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SENATOR MAZIARZ: Thank you. I just want to very briefly, the Chairman sort of examined this in great detail about the payments made to the state from the gaming operation, according to the Compact that was negotiated in 2002 and started on December 31st of 2003, and this figure of \$40 million, which I think was a guesstimate on the part of Governor's counsel or somebody who testified to that.

I think it's fair to say, Mr. Porter, that that is really a very minor amount of money, considering the hundreds of millions that have been paid without any dispute;

258

would you agree with that characterization?

2 MR. PORTER: I would agree with that, 3 Senator, and keep in mind, it's just

something that we are in disagreement over.

5 I mean I realize that's a lot of money,

6 but in the grand scheme of the \$750 million

7 that the enterprise has generated last year,

8 the State's over \$100 million direct payment

9 from our slot drop, this is a lot of money

and it's an example of how the Nation and

11 State have worked together to create

SENATOR MAZIARZ: I believe, and again, Mr. Chairman, living right there, I'm very familiar with this and I think that some of that delay in payment is not always, but more often, Mr. Chairman, the fault of the State not getting the proper information and again, I'm not sure if this \$40 million is a part of it, but there is a very public dispute going on between local governments, the City of Buffalo and the County of Erie as to how the split is going to be made.

opportunity and jobs in western New York.

1.5

I'm not sure that \$40 million is a part

of that, but I know right now I think that in an unusual case the Senecas want to make a payment, they just don't know how to split the profits up.

But there have been hundreds of millions of dollars paid to the City of Niagara Falls, to the County of Niagara, to the Niagara Falls School District in the Seneca Nation.

I just want to be fair to everybody who

-- particularly the press that's in the room

-- that maybe are not from western New York

get that on the record. MR. PORTER: Senator, just to really follow-up on a prior point that was made about this economic issue, I hope it's clear that there is a lot that we could disagree over with respect to interpretations of the law, of policy and history, but the one thing I don't think and what we have tried to provide you in that very extensive material is our common agreement that we are about providing opportunity, providing jobs,

that don't realize that, so I just wanted to

providing some hope for western New York, and the State indirectly as a whole.

But as you know, the Seneca Nation is not going anywhere, we have been in our territory for 1,000 years, we are going to be there for another 1,000.

Yet, when thousands of non-natives leave western New York every year to go elsewhere, it hurts us, it hurts our neighborhoods, it hurts our communities it hurts our businesses it hurts everything.

And this is not something that is unknown to you in terms of, in effect, of abating taxes for economic development

15 purposes. 16 The AMD facility in Albany got \$1 million a job in tax credits, in abatements, 17 and the State of New York created 5,000 jobs 18 19 in our casinos for basically nothing, 20 because we did it ourselves. 21 So that kind of economic opportunity, 22 if you want to kill the next Monaco that 23 exists within your borders, we are not going 24 to let it happen, but that's basically what

261

1 you have in front of you.

3

8

9

10

Is a unique historic opportunity that for most of our history we were unable to do anything with because we didn't have any money.

And now that we have started to grow,

the benefit is extending to everybody.

And that's something that we would like to invite you to continue working with us on, as we work towards tomorrow.

11 SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you, Senator
12 Maziarz, for that.

13 SENATOR ADAMS: Thank you. I must
14 apologize, I had to step out because I had
15 another hearing on a round table down the

16	hall, and I wanted to come and hear you
17	testify because I think at the heart of this
18	issue in order to have mutual discussion,
19	you have to have mutual respect.
20	And someone testified earlier due to
21	the tax issue, I quote, "It was a major
22	injustice."
23	We can't rewrite history, the major
24	injustice was not your failure to pay taxes,
	262
	202
1	the major injustice is what has happened to
2	the indigenous people of this country,
3	that's the major injustice.
4	You have the right to defend your land,
5	you have the right to defend your families,
6	you have the right to defend your people,

you have the right to defend your families,
you have the right to defend your people,
and the only way we are going to resolve
this issue is when this country deserves and
respects your right.

I admire you. Fight for your land, protect your families.

MR. SENECA: Thank you, Senator, for those comments. I really appreciate that.

I'm a true believer in that if you give respect out, that's what you'll get back.

16 SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you, Senator

17 Adams.

There are no further questions, I want to thank you Mr. Seneca and Mr. Porter for participating and for providing some very important words of thought with respect to this issue.

I truly appreciate the members of your

nation who joined us today, who clearly have

24

13

14

15

16

17

18

263

very strong positions on this issue, and it 1 helps us to take into account how this committee is going to formulate its report. 3 So I thank you very much for participating in this hearing today. 5 6 MR. SENECA: Thank you, Senator 7 Johnson, Mr. Chairman. I think, once again, I would like to 8 thank the Committee and the legislature for 9 allowing us the opportunity to come forward 10 and discuss these issues with you. 11 12 As we talked last week in Albany, I

think there are many issues we can work on together, I think we really need to establish a dialogue and develop a communication vehicle, whatever it may be, certainly we have two paths that are in front of us.

19 SENATOR JOHNSON: I agree with that,
20 and it is just beginning, I believe very
21 strongly, the Senate should establish a
22 standing subcommittee with respect to deal
23 specifically about Native American issues,
24 clearly Senator Maziarz and his insight into

264

the issue, as well as others.

1

14

15

18

19

20

There is a lot more to the dialog than 2 3 simply cigarette taxes, there is obviously -- and more than just gaming -- there are healthcare issues, there are education 6 issues that have to be addressed and clearly, as you indicated, you have been here on this land, on your land for the long 8 9 run, and so we look forward to working with you going forward, and obviously a dialogue 10 is important, so I thank you again for 11 participating today, and I appreciate your 12 13 time.

MR. SENECA: We look forward to talking to you next time.

16 SENATOR JOHNSON: Absolutely. Thank 17 you very much.

I am now going to invite David Dresser,

David Dresser, Super Seneca County, John

Companie, Madison County Attorney, Honorable

21	Peter Same, Supervisor, Town of Seneca
22	Falls.
23	D A V I D D R E S S E R
24	S. JOHN COMPANIE
	265
	263
1	PETER SAME
2	Called as witnesses, having been first
3	duly sworn by the Counsel to the Committee,
4	were examined and testified as follows:
5	SENATOR JOHNSON: We will give it a
6	moment for everybody to clear out.
7	Just to clarify, this is the first time
8	I'm meeting you three gentlemen, so if I
9	could just so I have it all set.
10	Supervisor Dresser, I will pass along
11	to you first.
12	MR. DRESSER: I would yield to Mr.
13	Companie, if it's all right.
14	SENATOR JOHNSON: That's fine. Mr.
15	Companie, I pass it on to you.
16	MR. COMPANIE: Thank you, Senator,
17	Honorable members of the Committee and thank
18	you for the privilege of testifying today.
19	I am the County Attorney for Madison
20	County, a position I have held for over 22
21	years, I was previously supervisor

22 representing the State of New York of 23 Oneida, I've been Chief Civil Counsel for 24 the County again for over 22 years and I 266 1 have been involved with Indian affairs 2 during that entire period. Currently I serve as the Chair of the National Association of Counties, County and Tribal Government Relations subcommittee. I am also a member of the New York 7 State Association of Counties, Native American Committee and locally as the City 9

American Committee and locally as the City
Attorney for the City of Cheryl.

In my prepared remarks I would like to
go over the following. As New York faces a
crisis of historic proportions I could not

agree more with Senator Johnson that,

"Literally we cannot afford to look the

other way," nor should the State.

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Our taxpayers and small businesses continue to struggle with tax burdens and be punished when they come up short.

The front page of the Syracuse Post
Standard on August 31 declared in large bold
print, "Tax man plays hardball with
delinquent businesses," and continues, "New
York State is now more aggressive in seizing

1	unpaid	+2200
1	unpara	taxes.

The article related the seizure of a 30 year business with no prior history of default owing \$61,000 in sales tax and reported in the last two years the State has more than doubled the number of businesses it has seized for unpaid taxes increasing annual collections from about \$6 million to \$14.5 million.

Senators, as we have seen today, this is a pittance compared to the taxes that go unremitted from sales by Indians to non-Indians.

According to the State's expert
economist in opposition to the Oneida's
opposition to take land in trust, Professor
Greg Gerald of the University of Rochester,
lost sales and excise taxes on such sales in
Madison and Oneida are on the order of \$449
million per year, and I understand there is
quite a bit of dispute over the numbers you
will find attached to my statement, Exhibit
16 from that report, the information was
from the Tax Department simply multiplied by

1	the then applicable rates, which by the way
2	were \$1.50 a pack, not the increased amount
3	that they are presently.
4	In my county, Madison County we have a
5	local real property tax levy of \$28 million.
6	Each \$280,000 of tax collected is 1
7	percent less our citizens pay in real
8	property taxes.
9	Consequently our citizens in the \$5 or
10	\$6 million that we would otherwise be
11	collecting, our citizens face 25 percent
12	higher real property taxes today.
13	Imagine what our fiscal position
14	locally and State wide would be had these
15	billions been collected State wide over the
16	15 years since the Attea case.
17	Now, as Mr. Comiskey said in Syracuse a
18	couple of months ago in late August, I think
19	there is a general sense in government that
20	we are short billions, we are losing
21	billions, that we ought to be going after
22	the money.
23	He then further stated when taxes go
2.4	unpaid two things happen, the pool of honest

1	taxpayers gets squeezed, and as we are
2	seeing, we lose essential services, classes
3	get overcrowded, public safety suffers, our
4	roads suffer.
5	And I couldn't agree more, this is
6	preferable certainly to the announced \$50
7	per vendor fee for collecting taxes, for the
8	deep and painful cuts that I know you are
9	now facing to meet the deficits we have
10	talked about.
11	But if we do not do something like
12	issue these coupons, not only will our
13	citizens suffer, but they are going to vote
14	with their feet, and I think those of you
15	who saw today's New York Post on the second
16	page saw the headline, tax revenue escape
17	from New York, \$1.5 million in the years
18	2005-2006 and the lost tax cost for just
19	2006-7 it was \$2.5 billion.

Now, credit must be given to the State, the last two administrations for help in the land claims and other matters, but in this area I think much more could be done.

24 What's happening now is local

1	government is spending time and money, and
2	Mr. Dresser will detail that shortly, in
3	tying to take up the State's task, and while
4	we all prefer and we certainly prefer, I've
5	been involved for over 20 years in
6	negotiations to resolve it, in fact years of
7	diplomatic efforts, respectful attempts at
8	rational persuasion have failed.
9	Moreover, what we can and do respect
10	the right of tribes to sell tax free to

Moreover, what we can and do respect the right of tribes to sell tax free to their resident members, simply put the sales tax on sales to non-Indians belongs to the State. The State needs to collect it.

To collect this revenue is not only right, but fair, and I want to just take a minute to talk about my particular circumstance in central New York.

The Oneida Indian Nation versus in this context the County of Madison.

Oneidas have established a chain of 12

Save On convenience stores on properties

throughout the county, the northerly part of
the county and part of Oneida County. 75

percent are located in my county, they are

along the busiest arteries in leaving
communities, they are not part of a greater

3	tribal holding, but they are typically
4	isolated parcels in key commercial
5	intersections.
6	As a result of their acquisition of
7	existing businesses and other businesses
8	going out of business by the inability to
9	compete, the percentage of convenience
10	stores collecting and remitting taxes in
11	northerly Madison County has decreased from
12	94 percent to 46 percent, potential
13	competitors have largely abducted the market
14	with firms as large and powerful as Walmart
15	refusing to enter and face the unfair
16	competitive advantage.
17	As a result, the Oneidas have achieved
18	a near monopoly in gas and convenience, sell
19	gas at approximately the same price, or
20	marginally less.
21	Now we have heard today and we have

Now we have heard today and we have heard previously proposals of tax parity or price parity.

24 This is not the solution. First of

22

23

272

all, it entrenches that monopoly, secondly

it deprives the State and local government

with revenues desperately needed to maintain

services and infrastructure and allows
unfair competition to prevail as non-Indian
businesses.

Moreover, given the legal authority

In turning to the Oneida, it's not necessary for them either, according to professor Gerald's report, which I urge that you get, and I can send it to you because it also details issues with respect to the impact of casino gaming and jobs creation in a far more detailed manner, according to professor Gerald's report the Oneida Nation nets \$115 million per year with approximate, that's nets, \$115 million with approximately 1,000 enrolled members and reportedly only approximately 400 in central New York.

given collection it is simply unnecessary.

Now, they contributed according to their own figures \$17 million to their government programs and services, and have 488 working in government.

1	That's almost it's a 1 to 2 ratio,
2	one employee for every 2 residents.
3	In contrast Madison County has 71,000,
4	we spend about 8 percent of that and we have

nearly 1 employee for every 100 residents.

Moreover, their enterprise value

contained in the Gerald report is \$2.15

billion, \$2.2 million for Oneida nation

member, \$6.3 million per household.

Quite simply our citizens have a far

greater need for those revenues, revenues

the U.S. Supreme Court long ago concluded we

could require collected and remitted on.

Businesses have a right to compete on an even playing field, and then just simply to conclude, I think this State needs an action plan, you need to promulgate the regulations and issue the coupons, you need to terminate the forbearance policy, you need to direct the administrative law enforcement to enforce collection and remission of taxes and you need to provide funding and direct assistance to the counties to support the local district

1	attorneys and law enforcement agencies, like
2	in Seneca and Cayuga Counties in that
3	enforcement.
4	And that concludes my formal remarks.
5	There is one other thing when it's
6	question time I would like to address the

7	issue of sovereignty, generally.
8	MR. DRESSER: Good afternoon,
9	Senators. You and the audience will be
10	pleased to know we have refined our remarks,
11	Mr. Same and I, to three minutes.
12	SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you.
13	MR. DRESSER: My name is David
14	Dresser, I am Chairman of the Indian Affairs
15	Committee of the Seneca County Board of
16	Supervisors.
17	Seneca County and Cayuga County just to
18	the east of us have been directly and
19	adversely and severely affected by the
20	failure of New York Governors to enforce the
21	laws requiring the collection of taxes on
22	cigarettes sold by Indian enterprises to
23	non-Indians.
2.4	We therefore greatly appreciate the

1	decision of this Committee to look into this
2	unauthorized and inexcusable forbearance
3	policy.
4	Since October 2003 the Cayuga Indian

Nation has operated a gas station and convenience store in each of our counties and sold gas and cigarettes without collecting State sales and excise taxes.

9 This has put competing businesses out 10 of business and severely reduces the profit margins of others. 11 12 It has also greatly reduced the sales 13 tax revenue of counties and deprive the 14 State of significant sales and excise tax 15 revenue. Seneca County has been at the forefront 16 17 of efforts to collect these taxes. In May 2006 it filed an Article 78 lawsuit against 18 19 the Commissioner of Taxation and Finance for failure to collect the county's sales taxes 20 from Indian owned businesses. 21 22 But the trial court ruled that the 23 county did not have standing to sue the

24

276

1	concurred explaining that the Petitioner had
2	no proprietary interest in a fund of money
3	that had not been collected.
4	In November 2008 the Sheriffs of Seneca
5	and Cayuga Counties confiscated a total of
6	17,600 cartons of unstamped cigarettes from
7	the two convenience stores.
8	And Supreme Court Justice Kenneth

Fisher ruled in their favor.

State, and on appeal the Third Department

10 On appeal the Fourth Department reversed his decision claiming the stores 11 were on a "Qualified Reservation." 12 The case now goes to the Court of 13 14 Appeals. 15 Section 471(e) of the tax code provides 16 for members to be issued tax exemption, 17 tribal members to be issued tax exemption 18 coupons, so even on qualified Reservations 19 sales and excise taxes will be paid by the 20 non-Indian customers. 21 Successive Governors for questionable 22 reasons have simply not directed or allowed the Department of Taxation and Finance to 23 24 print and issue these coupons.

1	One excuse given is that the Governor
2	is negotiating settlements with the tribes.
3	But laws are to be enforced, not
4	negotiated.
5	Another is fear of tribal violence,
6	which means the Governor is being
7	intimidated.
8	Seneca County urges this Committee to
9	find a means to compel Governor Paterson to
10	order the printing and issuing of these tax
11	exemption coupons, last December he signed

12	into law legislation prohibiting
13	manufacturers from selling cigarettes to
14	stamping agents who had not certified that
15	they would not sell untaxed cigarettes, and
16	he's not enforcing this law.
17	The Governor must be held accountable.
18	Thank you for your efforts.
19	SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you. Mr.
20	Same.
21	MR. SAME: Thank you, Senator, and
22	the counsel for hearing testimony, we really
23	appreciate the opportunity to come before
24	you and speak.

1	We are just a small county, two
2	counties in upstate New York, that are being
3	faced with what is being discussed today.
4	The Cayuga Indian Nation operates a
5	convenience here in Seneca Falls and Cayuga
6	County since the year 2003. Failure to
7	collect taxes on gas and cigarettes in these
8	establishments has had a devastating impact
9	on my town and county.
10	Three competing businesses have gone
11	out of business and have suffered severe or
12	have suffered severe reductions in profit

margins because of the lower prices charged by the untaxed gasoline and cigarettes that are being sold at trading establishments. When its untaxed cigarettes were received by the Sheriff in 2008 sales of cigarettes at competing stores skyrocketed. One store saw weekly cigarette sales increase from less than \$1,000 before the raid, to over \$14,000 after the raid. In Cayuga County Village of Union Springs, the single competitor of Lakeside Trading Store saw weekly cigarette sales

jump from \$234 per week to after the raid of \$5,361.

In addition to the loss suffered by individual businesses, there is a loss of tax revenue coming from the counties and States.

In 2006 the Yale economist, Byron Airs estimated that the annual tax loss to the counties and State from the operation of one Indian enterprise in Seneca Falls at about \$300,000 to \$400,000, that was before the State raised the excise tax to \$2.75.

Brian O'Connor, another economist retained by the New York State Association

of Convenience Stores, estimates the State is forfeiting over \$1 billion a year in uncollected actions.

Cayuga County stands to loose more than tax revenue with the enormous profits the Cayugas are making from the sale of untaxed gasoline and cigarettes, the tribes are buying up property with plans to place it in federal trust which would take it off the county, town, village and school tax roles.

Although the current trust application involved with the Cayugas is only for 129 acres, the tribe now owns more than 900 acres, and clearly intends to purchase more.

The upper limit would be the 64,000 acres that are part of their former Cayuga reservation.

If all the land were taken into trust, the tax base of the town of Seneca Falls would decrease by 53 percent, the tax base of our school district would decrease by 51 percent.

The effect of allowing the Cayugas to continue to sell gasoline and cigarettes to non-Indians without payment of sales and

16	excise taxes will be disastrous for my own
17	town and the county, not to mention the
18	State of New York.
19	The tribe would continue to cherry pick
20	choice locations and pay inflated prices for
21	properties and do a checkerboarding effect
22	through our communities.
23	The loss of our sales tax is bad
24	enough, the loss of our land is permanent.
	281
	281
1	Therefore I urge you, the Committee, to
2	find a solution to the problem, the sale of
3	untaxed sale of gasoline and cigarettes.
4	The future of our county is at stake.
5	Thank you.
6	SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you
7	supervisor.
8	SENATOR NOZZIOLO: Thank you, one
9	question, gentlemen, thank you for being
10	here.
11	Your views, as you heard discussed by
12	Governor Paterson's counsel today on price
13	parity, what are your thoughts on that
14	issue?
15	Oneida County has rejected it, has it
16	been discussed in Seneca or Madison

17 Counties?

MR. DRESSER: Yes, it has, Senator,

and I refer back to a letter that our county

sent to Governor Pataki when he proposed

such a system, and I will read the first

paragraph, at least.

"As you know, we had objected to the

price parity provision whereby the Nation

would keep monies collected in lieu of taxes.

"We have objected in part because every sales tax dollar not collected by a county is a dollar that must be raised by property taxation.

"We also do not know how such an agreement would be administered or enforced in a competitive economic environment.

"In three separate resolutions
previously forwarded to your office, our
county has urged the collection of taxes by
Indian enterprises to non-Native Americans
has been authorized by the U.S. Supreme
Court and has been overwhelmingly called for
by our state Assembly and Senate."

MR. COMPANIE: Senator, Madison

County has not been in favor of parity

through three administrations, we believe,
as I said in my comments, that it
institutionalizes an advantage to the
Oneidas.
The current variation that talks about
there being established some sort of a fund

from which we can then apply to have some
economic projects assuming someone approves
them is really not particularly workable,
nor are the numbers particularly impressive.

I think Mr. Kiernan talked about being
able to leverage \$38 million when, in fact,
the State is giving up in just in our two
little counties \$49 million per year, the
economics don't make sense.

SENATOR NOZZIOLO: Thank you very
much.

SENATOR JOHNSON: Senator Maziarz.
SENATOR MAZIARZ: Thank you very much

SENATOR MAZIARZ: Thank you very much for being here today. As you can see on this Committee there is some disagreement on this particular issue, but let me tell you one thing, you have a very forceful and good advocate in Senator Nozziolo because there is never a minute when he and I are in the same room that this issue does not come up.

21 Thank you. 22 SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you, Senator. Gentlemen, thank you very much for your 23 24 testimony. 284 1 Assemblyman Michael Benjamin. Senator 2 Benjamin. 3 MICHAEL BENJAMIN, called as a witness, having been first duly 4 sworn by the Counsel to the Committee, was 5 examined and testified as follows: 6 SENATOR JOHNSON: Good afternoon, 8 Assemblyman. 9 How are you today? 10 ASSEMBLYMAN BENJAMIN: I am good, 11 thank you. 12 Thank you for the opportunity to address you today. Again I would like to 13 14 thank Senator Craig Johnson and the other 15 Senators for holding today's hearing. 16 Yesterday I was amused to read that the Seneca Nation of Indians had already 17 allocated half a million dollars to defeat 18 19 State senators like yourself who dare to 20 stand up for New Yorkers against bootleggers 21 and racketeers.

Leaders of a foreign nation want to
interfere with New York elections so they
may continue to sell duty free cigarettes.

As an assemblyman from the Bronx, I have witnessed the negative impact that the forbearance policy by our State Department of Taxation and Finance has had on the budget process and in communities in the Bronx.

Each year millions of dollars are written off and as a consequence tuition assistance to each locality and other critical state assistance is reduced.

Because of Department of Taxation and Finance's failure to obtain these taxes our ability to help smokers quit and produce a balanced budget have been greatly weakened.

It is time we bring together both sides to achieve a future mutual agreement or for creating a 21st Century Compact for New York State and our Native American tribal governments.

In response to a The New York Times investigation last year, I wrote legislation

1	the Poospatuck Indians as an official tribe.
2	The Poospatuck Nation, represented by
3	fewer than 200, are not yet recognized by
4	the Bureau of Indian Affairs and appears to
5	be nothing more than a criminal enterprise.
6	The Times report detailed how the 52
7	acre Poospatuck Indian Reservation is
8	largely responsible for supplying a
9	substantial amount of illegally sold
10	cigarettes through a sophisticated bootleg
11	distribution network here in New York City.
12	Reportedly members of the tribe have
13	set up various locations on the Reservation,
14	sometimes amounting to little more than
15	signs on sheds or trees to support the
16	illegal activities.
17	According to the AP, cigarettes sold on
18	the Reservation are often priced at half
19	what they would be in New York City.
20	In 1996, 400,000 cartons of cigarettes
21	were delivered to the Poospatucks.
22	According to the State Tax Department, last
23	year they had increased that to 11.3 million
24	cartons.

1	Last year New York City sued eight
2	smoke shops on Reservation accusing them of
3	cheating the State and City of hundreds of
4	millions of dollars of tax revenue by
5	structuring and concealing bulk sales,
6	assisting in the packing of vans destined
7	for New York City and even making their own
8	bulk deliveries off the Reservation.
9	In addition to New York's efforts, U.S.
10	Attorney for Eastern District of New York
11	has aggressively pursued Poospatuck
12	traffickers by prosecuting their ringleader,
13	Rodney Morrison.
14	A jury later found Mr. Morrison, owner
15	of the Peace Pipe Smoke Shop, of selling
16	millions of contraband cigarettes without
17	the required tax stamps.
18	Since the Poospatuck Reservation seems
19	to be little more than a haven for illegal
20	bootlegging operation, my measure would
21	disrupt an extensive cigarette bootlegging
22	industry in New York City and effective shut
23	down a criminal cartel that constantly
24	breaks law to make a profit off New York

4	•
	smokers.

In addition to that measure, I'm introducing a resolution calling upon Governor Paterson to authorize the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance to execute tax warrants for the recovery of taxes owed on goods sold on reservations by tribal retailers to non-tribal consumers.

So resolution includes references to the legislation that Governor Paterson signed and on December 15, 2008 which was overwhelmingly passed by the New York State Senate and Assembly to require any stamping agent in New York to submit a certification under penalty of perjury to the State and to its suppliers indicating that it will not resell unstamped product in violation of the terms of the State tax laws.

I have undertaken these measures because recent increase in excise taxation rates on cigarettes in New York will continue to increase the sale of untaxed and unstamped cigarettes.

24 Additional losses of revenue due to the

1	continued flouting of New York State Law by
2	tribal retailers will only add to our
3	growing budget deficit for the current
4	fiscal and out years.
5	The policy of forbearance by the State
6	Department of Taxation and Finance must end.
7	Recent estimates have found that newer
8	than 20,000 Native Americans live on
9	Reservations in New York, yet in 2007 these
10	Reservations sold more than 30 million
11	cartons with a retail value of \$2 billion.
12	These figures represent nearly
13	one-third of all cigarettes sold in New York
14	State.
15	The excise tax loss is well over \$800
16	million.
17	This revenue could have cut the State's
18	2008 fiscal deficit by half. In addition to
19	these figures, law enforcement authorities
20	say Native Americans operate 5 of the top 10
21	websites selling cheap cigarettes.
22	The problem of tax free cigarettes will
23	continue to negatively impact our state
24	unless we take action.

3	shut down bootleg operations based on tribal
4	lands.
5	While law enforcement does their part,
6	it's time our government take immediate
7	action to recover the taxes owed.
8	We cannot be paralyzed by fear of a
9	repeat of the unlawful actions taken by some
10	Senecas in 1997 when they temporarily shut
11	down New York State Thruway with their
12	protest.
13	While State authorities must take care
14	to avoid violate confrontations, the
15	Governor must engage the government Governor
16	must engage in smart, purposeful
17	negotiations, but in negotiations with
18	tribal leaders, our legislature must to
19	remove criminal tribes through legislative
20	means.
21	As elected official, it is our
22	responsibility to protect all of the
23	residents of New York New York State.
24	If you continue to practice forbearance

1	we will fail to live up to our oath of
2	office and legislative responsibilities.
3	I want to commend in committee and it's

4	Chairman for taking up this thorny issue and
5	not succumbing to threats of political
6	intimidation.
7	In these perilous fiscal economic
8	times, failure to act no longer is an
9	option.
10	I look forward to working with you, my
11	fellow senators, in getting this issue
12	accomplished.
13	And last I want to say that mural that
14	was mentioned that's in the Governor's
15	Office, it should be permanently removed.
16	It's a relic of dishonorable past.
17	Thank you.
18	SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you very much
19	I appreciate your leadership in the assembly
20	on this particular issue, anybody have any
21	questions.
22	Thank you very much, Senator I
23	appreciate your testimony today.
24	I call Eric Proshansky to testify.

1	ERIC PROSHANSKY,
2	called as a witness, having been first duly
3	sworn by the Counsel to the Committee, was
4	examined and testified as follows:
5	SENATOR JOHNSON: Mr. Proshansky, the

6	floor is yours.
7	MR. PROSHANSKY: Chairman Johnson and
8	members of the Senate Investigations
9	Committee, thank you very much for inviting
10	me to testify here today.
11	My name is Eric Proshansky, I'm an
12	Assistant Corporation Counsel in the office
13	of Michael Cardozo, Corporation Counsel of
14	the City of New York.
15	And again, I appreciate the opportunity
16	to testify concerning the State's
17	non-collection of taxes on cigarettes sold
18	to non-Native Americans on Indian
19	Reservations.
20	You may well ask why a lawyer for New
21	York City, which has no Indian Reservations
22	within its boundaries, has anything to say
23	on this subject.

1	of the State's policies the City has been
2	flooded with untaxed bootleg cigarettes, and
3	the City has been forced to undertake steps
4	to repair the effects of the State's
5	nonenforcement on the City's financial and
6	public health.

My response would be that as a result

The City has been required to turn to the federal courts by bringing lawsuits under the federal racketeering statute and the Federal Contraband Cigarette Trafficking Act against state licensed stamping agents and Native American cigarette sellers on the Poospatuck Reservation, as well as against internet sellers.

During the five years of litigation that we have conducted thus far the City has become quite knowledgeable about the effects of the State's failure to enforce its existing laws.

One result of the City's litigation,
however, is a series of extensive, very well
reasoned decisions in which the United
States District Court for the Eastern
District of New York has held that the

present State Law supports an injunction

against further sales of untaxed cigarettes

by Native Americans to the public.

Most important, two federal judges have ruled that sales of untaxed cigarettes by Native Americans to the public violate existing State Law and Federal Law and that violation of the law occurs whether or not

9	the State chooses to enforce its law.
10	Let me offer you some examples of the
11	consequences of the State's practices over
12	the last few years.
13	With the acquiescence of the State
14	certain cigarette wholesalers deliver
15	unlimited quantities of untaxed cigarettes
16	to stores located on the Indian
17	Reservations.
18	In the City's lawsuit against those
19	wholesalers, we discovered that in 2007
20	alone, two wholesalers delivered to the
21	Poospatuck Reservation on Long Island
22	10,300,000 cartons of cigarettes.
23	If the cartons delivered to the
24	Poospatuck Reservation had actually been

1	personally consumed on the Reservation, as
2	they must be in order to be legitimately
3	exempt from taxes under the United States
4	Supreme Court precedent, every man, woman
5	and child on the Reservation would have had
6	to smoke 900 packs a day.
7	Those deliveries amounted to a tax loss
8	of \$155 million per year in 2007 alone.
9	Now, there have been a lot of numbers

10	thrown around today. That is simply one
11	year, one Reservation, \$155 million, and
12	these are figures that were proven in court.
13	They are reliable because the numbers
14	come from the wholesalers themselves.
15	For the present year, September 2008 to
16	2009 the same two wholesalers sold 5 million
17	cartons to the Poospatuck Reservation,
18	amounting to a tax loss to the state only of
19	\$137 million. Again, one year, one
20	Reservation.
21	And again, these figures come from the
22	wholesalers' sworn filings with the state.
23	Neither of these numbers include the
24	City's tax losses, so that if we assume that

1	these cigarettes were bootlegged into the
2	City where they replaced sales in the City,
3	in addition to the \$155 million loss in 2007
4	there would be another \$155 million loss to
5	the City and in 2008-2009 something on the
6	order of \$100 million.
7	The City also learned that by
8	permitting the Poospatuck Reservation to be
9	loaded up with millions of cartons of
10	unstamped cigarettes, the State has
11	essentially allowed the construction of a

huge duty free zone to which bootleggers

flock, buying van loads of cigarettes,

cartons of unstamped cigarettes that are
then brought back into the City to supply

City stores and City street sellers with

bootlegged unstamped cigarettes.

One bootlegger in our case testified to
her five or six year career driving out from

her five or six year career driving out from
the Bronx to the Poospatuck Reservation at
least five days a week, loading her van up
with 900 to 1,200 cartons of Newport
cigarettes, and reselling the Newport
cigarettes to street sellers or stores in

1 the City the same day.

1.5

If she sold these Newports early in the

day, she made a second trip back to the

Reservation.

Another bootlegger with a three or four year career that Poospatuck Reservation sellers made his business even easier by driving three or four van loads of cigarettes into the City every weekend to his storage site.

Now, in the City's case against the wholesalers, when the City challenged the

wholesaler's right to flood the Poospatuck
Reservation with unstamped cigarettes that
the wholesalers know are bootlegged back
into the City, incredibly to us the
wholesalers' argument to the court is that
they have the State's permission to deliver
as many cigarettes to the Reservation as
they wish to; that was their defense.

When the City goes to the Lorillard
Tobacco Company and challenges their right

When the City goes to the Lorillard

Tobacco Company and challenges their right

to supply these wholesalers with Newport

cigarettes that Lorillard knows are then

bootlegged back into the City, Lorillard

tells the City to go complain to the State

if we don't like the situation.

But the tax loss to the city and the State is only one affect of the State's failure to enforce the law.

As part of our case we asked the New York City Department of Health to conduct a study on the health effects of bootlegged cigarettes.

It's well-established in the publish health literature that maintaining high cigarette prices causes people to cut down and quit smoking entirely.

15	Doctor Thomas Freedon, who was then the
16	City's Health Commissioner and now is the
17	Director of the United States Centers for
18	Disease Control, testified in the Rodney
19	Morrison case that but for the availability
20	of cheap cigarettes in the amount
21	transported into the City by one trafficker,
22	the one I referred to earlier, 1,300 fewer
23	people per year would quit smoking.
24	That is the availability of the cheap

cigarettes brought in by one bootlegger kept

1,300 people who normally would have quit

from quitting.

And of those 1,300 people, that's per

year, 450 of them will die prematurely from

smoking related illnesses.

So those deaths, annual deaths would appear to be a result of the State's policy of non-forcement.

If tax loss and an injury to the public health are not of sufficient concern, maybe promoting crime is.

The Poospatuck Reservation is located in Suffolk County. Arrest records that were provided to the City by the Suffolk County

16	Police Department and by the Suffolk County
17	District Attorney's office show that
18	hundreds of people with New York City
19	addresses are arrested every year for
20	transporting what amounts to thousands of
21	cartons of unstamped cigarettes from the
22	Poospatuck Reservation back into the City.
23	The New York City Police Department
24	provided us with arrest records showing us

that the same individuals are arrested 8, 9,

10 and 12 times, both for transporting

cigarettes from the Reservation into the

City and for selling those cigarettes on the

City streets.

If the legislature wishes to take action now, its timing is excellent because the problem could become much worse without action by you.

There will be, very shortly, I believe, in February of next year a case argued in the New York Court of Appeals in which the principal argument will be that you, the legislature, have repealed Tax Law 471 when you passed tax law 471(e), so that in the absence of any coupons now there is no tax on Reservation cigarette sales.

That's the issue in that case. That position is based on what in the City's opinion and in the opinion of two federal judges is a very massive distortion of the legislative history that's been foisted on the state courts.

Unless it was the legislature's intent

in 2006 to repeal the existing Tax Law 471, and the City believes that the evidence in the legislative history is that was not the intent, but was, in fact, ordering the taxes be collected forthwith, then the legislature must do something now to respond to the utter distortions of the legislative history that are going to be argued to the Court of Appeals by those who support the continued course of taxing.

The simple fact is a fundamental cause of the current problem is the State's failure to implement the coupon system.

As a result of which, New York State has become really the Nation's supplier of unstamped cigarettes by means of internet sales.

18 So the State injures not only its own

19	citizens, but the rest of the country as
20	well.
21	If the failure of the State of New York
22	to enforce the laws with respect to
23	Reservation sales is directly responsible
24	for the loss of billions of dollars that
	302
1	rightfully should have gone into the public
2	treasury at a time when you were asked to
2	alone what Tim now bearing is a \$4 hillion

treasury at a time when you were asked to close what I'm now hearing is a \$4 billion budget deficit, it hardly seems like good public policy to leave so much lawful tax money in the hands of bootleggers.

State policy also promotes the same

type of criminal activity that characterizes

the illegal drug trade and it's destructive

of the public health by undermining

effective high cigarette prices on smoking

cessation.

Thank you very much for the opportunity to testify here.

15 SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you very
16 much, Mr. Proshansky.

17 Senator Winner.

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18 SENATOR WINNER: A couple of fast
19 questions.

20 You heard testimony earlier from Steve

21 Rosenthal with regard to City of New York's
22 effort or lack thereof with regard to
23 prosecuting some of the individuals that you
24 are talking about that have been arrested

303

1 numerous times for violations of the 2 transporting and selling untaxed cigarettes and the like. 3 Do you have any comment as to why the City of New York chooses to at the one hand 5 complain about the loss of revenue and on 6 the other hand not do anything about it through the criminal justice system? 8 9 MR. PROSHANSKY: Well, my 10 understanding is, and looking at the criminal records, criminal prosecution by 11 the way is done in the State Courts it's not 12 done by the City, but there are loads and 13 loads of individuals who are being 14 15 prosecuted. But I would say that the problem, just 16

But I would say that the problem, just like the drug problem, it's not playing back mole and trying to prosecute every little street seller who is selling 5 or 10 cartons of cigarettes; that's not an efficient way to approach the problem.

17

18

19

20

The efficient way to cut off the supply
and the supply is coming from the
Reservation.

304

1	The State Tax people and Deputy
2	Comiskey who testified here this morning,
3	those folks are out all the time arresting
4	people and those people are being prosecuted
5	all the time; it's a very inefficient way to
6	proceed. If you take away the supply there
7	is nobody driving those cigarettes on the
8	highways and into the City.
9	SENATOR WINNER: One other question,
10	just quickly, Mr. Rosenthal also testified
11	that in his judgment there are a number of
12	licensed establishments through the City of
13	New York that are selling openly and
14	notoriously untaxed cigarettes.
15	Does the City of New York have any role
16	through its Tax Department with regard to
17	going in and enforcing the statutes as it
18	relates to the sale of those untaxed
19	cigarettes?
20	MR. PROSHANSKY: Yes, they do, and
21	they take up that role.
22	I would debate whether the sales of

cigarettes are open and notorious. There is

1	Finance Department has a cadre of inspectors
2	that are constantly going into stores and
3	looking to see that stamped cigarettes are
4	being sold.
5	SENATOR WINNER: Do you keep data
6	with regard to your enforcement efforts?
7	MR. PROSHANSKY: Yes, the Department
8	of Finance does.
9	SENATOR WINNER: Would you provide
10	that to the committee?
11	MR. PROSHANSKY: We would.
12	SENATOR WINNER: Thank you very much.
13	SENATOR JOHNSON: Mr. Proshansky,
14	just a couple of quick questions, you
15	brought up the Rodney Morrison case.
16	Can the City actually seek a
17	restitution award for the tax revenue in the
18	Rodney Morrison case?
19	MR. PROSHANSKY: We are, yes. Rodney
20	Morrison is a Defendant in our civil case
21	and we are seeking civil damages in that
22	case that will be equivalent to the tax loss
23	to the city.
24	SENATOR JOHNSON: Is the state

_	paretelpacing with you in that civil case.
2	MR. PROSHANSKY: No.
3	SENATOR JOHNSON: Do you know why the
4	State is not participating with you in that
5	case?
6	MR. PROSHANSKY: No.
7	SENATOR JOHNSON: Is the State aware
8	you are seeking a restitution award in that
9	case?
10	MR. PROSHANSKY: I'm certain they
11	must be.
12	SENATOR JOHNSON: How much are you
13	seeking, by the way?
14	MR. PROSHANSKY: Well, we would have
15	to determine in the course of discovery what
16	his sales are, but it's many tens of
17	millions of dollars.
18	SENATOR JOHNSON: I read the decision
19	on the train ride in and one of the things
20	that struck me was that one of the shops, I
21	guess it's the Monique Smoke Shop, the
22	testimony evidence indicated that the smoke
23	shop also obtained unknown amounts of
24	cigarettes from, among other sources, an

_	enercy on the beneda Rebervation.
2	MR. PROSHANSKY: That's correct.
3	SENATOR JOHNSON: The Senecas
4	testified that through their efforts and
5	collectively with the City and the State,
6	that they worked together to shut that down,
7	so to speak.
8	Is that accurate? Was it a cooperative
9	effort between and among the parties?
10	MR. PROSHANSKY: My understanding,
11	the City has not yet worked with the Seneca,
12	my understanding about that particular sale
13	was that it was made over the internet.
14	SENATOR JOHNSON: Okay, so the
15	Monique Smoke Shop made the purchase via the
16	internet?
17	MR. PROSHANSKY: Yes, and the Seneca
18	would not have known it was going to another
19	Reservation.
20	SENATOR JOHNSON: Okay, great. Thank
21	you very much.
22	MR. PROSHANSKY: Thank you.
23	SENATOR JOHNSON: We call now Jose
2.4	Louis Morillo. I am going to ask I know the

1	hour is he left.
2	We do have his written testimony. Jim
3	Ransom, chief of the St. Regis Mohawk Tribe.
4	Welcome. Thank you for coming today and
5	thank you for sticking around. Thank you
6	for your patience.
7	J I M R A N S O M
8	Called as a witness, having been first
9	duly sworn by the Notary Public, was
10	examined and testified as follows:
11	MR. RANSOM: I am one of the few
12	tribal Chiefs with the Mohawk Tribe, we have
13	13,000 tribal members, we are the largest
14	tribe in the State and geographically we are
15	located on the Canadian border, so we are
16	also the most remote tribe in the State.
17	I want to thank you for the opportunity

I want to thank you for the opportunity to present today, I think it's important to the resolution of the issues that we be engaged in this dialogue.

Much has been said recently of Governor

Paterson's letter to the United States

Attorneys. In response I will say that any
time someone attempts to infringe on our

1 rights, we will defend ourselves.

All one has to do is review the events

3 that occurred early this summer in regards to the port of entry to Canada that goes 5 through Mohawk territory. There was no meaningful consultation by the government of Canada in that situation 8 and there was no attempt to accommodate the rights and interests of the Mohawk people. 10 That action was met with strong civil 11 disobedience because of the failure to consider our rights. Rights that are 12 enshrined in the Canadian Constitution, in 13 Canadian's Charter of Rights. 14 15 I bring this up because New York should 16 expect no less. If our rights are given fair 17 consideration, and meaningful consultation 18 19 occurs in a government to government basis, 20 then a peaceful resolution of State concerns can be found. 21 In regards to the cigarette tax issue, 22 much has been said of the need for the 23 24 collection of cigarette taxes on sales made

- 1 to non-natives on our Reservations and
 2 territories.
- 3 In our situation, in particular the

imposition of a State tax on cigarette sales
within our Reservation will harm the State
economy, not help it.

We regulate and restrict the sale of
tobacco within our community. We stamp and
require that all tobacco retailers pay a

tobacco within our community. We stamp and require that all tobacco retailers pay a tribal tobacco fee, we prohibit internet sales, we license businesses that sell cigarettes retail and they employee over 400 local residents, most of whom would be out of work if it weren't for these jobs.

We take the revenue collected from sales on the Reservation and we use it to fund essential governmental services.

A study that we did in 2003 indicated that very modest tax increases in Mohawk

Territory at that time would cost the State economy \$13 to \$20 million in lost gross domestic product over subsequent years.

In addition, the poll of northern New York voters that year showed that 60 percent

1	of voters supported Governor Paterson's
2	position at the time the new tax regulations
3	were unconstitutional and violated Indian
4	sovereignty.

5 So the State's own constituents agree

with the tribes and Nations in the State.

The efforts underway are really about catering to special interest groups. New York State taxes are currently the highest by a wide margin in history, this has created prohibition like incentives for tax evasion across state boundaries, to say nothing of the tribes in the state.

A February 2003 report titled, and I quote, "Cigarette taxes black markets and crime, lessons from the 50 year losing battle," bolsters our position that the state's high cigarette taxes are the problem, not us.

Quoting from that report which was published by the Cato Institute, and I quote, "The failure of New York's policy makers to consider the broader effects of high cigarette tax has been a mistake

repeated across the country in a stampede to maximize tax revenue from demonized product."

History shows you need to lower your cigarette taxes in terms of going forward, in recent months Governor Paterson has made

7 several public statements that indicate a 8 willingness to respect tribal sovereignty and seek a negotiated solution with us. 9 He even made a bold statement in this 10 11 year's state of the state address pledging 12 to work with us to forge a government to 13 government relationship. 14 We welcome this change in the State's 15 position. 16 A peaceful solution can be found, but 17 only if we work together on a government to government basis. 18 This is what the Governor and this 19 Committee has in mind, there is hope that 20 21 our relationship can change in positive and 22 lasting ways that benefit us all. 23 Thank you. 24 SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you.

1	SENATOR WINNER: Thank you, Chief.
2	Just one fast question, the Senecas
3	have indicated very strongly they base most
4	of their opposition to the State's effort to
5	collect sales tax and/or cigarette excise
6	taxes on their particular treaty.
7	Are you making any kind of similar
8	assertion on behalf of the Mohawks, that

9	there is a treaty that prevents the State of
10	New York from imposing these taxes?
11	MR. RANSOM: I am not, I am basing it
12	on the sovereignty of our community.
13	We have the right to
14	self-determination, we have the right to
15	commerce, we have the right to trade, we are
16	a recognized sovereign within the U.S.
17	Constitution and we conduct ourselves as
18	such.
19	SENATOR WINNER: Thank you very much.
20	SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you. Thank
21	you very much for participating today, I
22	appreciate your patience and have a safe
23	trip back.
24	Thank you. Russell Scaindra, Robin

1	Vitale American Cancer Society, and Michael
2	Seilback from the American Lung Association
3	of New York.
4	RUSSELL SCIANDRA
5	R O B I N V I T A L E
6	MICHAEL SEILBACK
7	Having been first duly sworn by the
8	Counsel to the Committee, was examined and
9	testified as follows:

10	SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you. As you
11	know, the hour is growing.
12	MR. SCIANDRA: We will be brief.
13	SENATOR JOHNSON: We have your
14	written testimony.
15	MR. SCIANDRA: Thank you for holding
16	this hearing on one of the biggest health
17	problems facing New York today, rampant
18	civil tax evasion, and we wanted to come
19	today because we thought it was important to
20	make the point that this issue was not only
21	about money, it's about public health.
22	We have to remember cigarettes kill
23	25,000 New Yorkers a year, treating
24	cigarette caused disease causes \$8 billion a

year in New York, of which more than \$5

billion is paid by Medicaid.

So the health effects of cigarette tax

evasion and the cost to New York State's

budget and local budgets from tax evasion is

not only on the revenue side, it's also on

the outgoing side.

10

11

More than 500 peer reviewed studies and experience in State after State show that higher taxes are one of the most effective ways to reduce smoking among both children

12	and adults.
13	Every 10 percent increase in the price
14	of cigarettes reduces youth smoking by about
15	7 percent, and overall consumption by 4
16	percent.
17	And we estimate based on those models
18	that if the tax were collected, 100,000 New
19	Yorkers would quit smoking. We think that's
20	a very conservative estimate.
21	It's based on a survey of smokers in
22	New York State done by the State Health
23	Department that asked them about their
24	purchasing behavior.

1	That survey and a study based on it
2	done by the Health Department, concluded
3	that more than 200 million tax free packs
4	are purchased on Indian Reservations.
5	And I will point out that Mr. Comiskey
6	said 250 million packs are going to the
7	Reservations, so we are pretty close.
8	We estimate that lost revenue since the
9	last tax increase in June of 2008 is now
10	over \$830 million.
11	And again, we base that on the numbers
12	we developed. I was surprised to hear that

13 the estimates from the Tax Department are so 14 low. 15 I mean they have told us that there is 16 25 million untaxed cartons being sold, at \$27.50 a carton that's \$687.5 million in 17 18 taxes, not counting sales taxes and New York 19 City tax that you are losing out on. 20 So if one quarter of those cigarettes 21 are, in fact, going out-of-state and therefore not subject to New York State 22 taxes, you end up with \$500 million a year 23 24 that you're losing in revenue, and so we

317

think our conclusion actually is pretty
solid.

MS. VITALE: In the interests of
brevity I will concur with everything my
colleague from the American Cancer Society
has just said.

I do send apologies from Dr. William

8

10

11

12

13

14

I do send apologies from Dr. William Borden, who was supposed to be testifying with us, but ironically he is a preventive cardiologist and he had to leave for a patient's appointment where he is offering some tobacco counseling.

So with that I will just reiterate that the American Heart Association does stand

and support this effort to implement this

tax enforcement and emphasizes that 25,000

New Yorkers die from tobacco related disease

annually, and the State accrues more than \$8

billion in medical costs each year related

to tobacco use we obviously want to make

sure that every tool is utilized in the

effort to prevent these mortalities from

happening, and we truly believe in order to

do that we must finally enforce this tax

1 evasion issue.

As the tobacco related, tobacco is the number one related cause of death from heart disease and stroke, and as a result we believe firmly that lives depend on your actions today.

So thank you very much for your attention.

9 SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you.

MR. SEILBACK: Today is the first briefing that I have actually been given from the administration on the current status of the negotiations with the various tribes.

This process has been going on way too

16	long. You heard the health effects and the
17	reason why we are here today we are just
18	urging you to continue your pressure,
19	certainly the three of you and your
20	colleagues so that we can finally get a
21	resolution to this, because the public, the
22	health of the public and of your
23	constituents depends on it.
24	Thank you.

1	SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you. Thank
2	you very much.
3	SENATOR WINNER: Thank you for your
4	patience.
5	SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you for your
6	testimony. Please get home safe.
7	Next on the list, James Calvin, Kevin
8	Beyer, Sandy Pope, Russell LaCoste, Jack
9	Rugen, James Wood.
10	JAMES CALVIN
11	K E V I N B E Y E R
12	S A N D Y P O P E
13	RUSSELL LA COSTE
14	JACK RUBIN
15	JAMES WOOD
16	Called as witnesses, having been first
17	duly sworn by the Counsel to the Committee,

18	were examined and testified as follows:
19	MR. RUGEN: Jack Rugen, I am
20	representing the United Franchise Owners of
21	Long Island and New York.
22	MR. WOOD: James Wood, I have my own
23	company and on my copy I have highlighted
24	I made these copies and they didn't have
	320
	320
1	them all highlighted, so you can see the key
2	points.
3	SENATOR JOHNSON: Gentlemen, thank
4	you very much.
5	As the hour is late and we do have your
6	written testimony, I ask you to try to do
7	your best to summarize.
8	Please note that we do take into
9	account the full testimony, your full
10	written testimony will be incorporated into
11	our deliberation, thank you.
12	MR. RUGEN: I am here representing
13	7-Eleven franchisees operating over 300
14	stores in the five boroughs of New York
15	City, Nassau and Suffolk counties of Long
16	Island and upstate counties of Orange,
17	Putnam, Rockland and Westchester.
18	Cigarette sales in our stores have

plummeted. On a personal note, in 1989 I
franchised my store, my cigarette sales at
the time were below the market average,
through hard work and business cunning I
lifted those sales to 35 percent above the
7-Eleven market average.

Since the introduction of exorbitant
federal and State excise taxes on cigarettes
and tobacco, those sales have fallen
dramatically, and today those sales are
again below the market average.

In fact, there are three 7-Elevens in Shirley whose cigarette sales are practically nonexistent. One of the key drivers of this dramatic downward spiral is the close proximity of the Poospatuck Tribe Reservation to these and my store.

When I questioned many of my customers whom I have known for 20 years, why they are not buying cigarettes from me, I get explanations like I've been getting them at the Indian Reservation in Mastic, or I am buying them online at the Indian Reservations.

Some even have been so bold to exclaim,
"Why should I buy them from you when I can

21	buy them on the Reservation for half your
22	price?"
23	I looked at this particular customer
24	with wonder and say gee, you don't look like
	322
1	a Native American.
2	The customer was arrogant enough as to
3	reply, "They don't enforce that."
4	I have even been told that neighbors
5	band together and purchase some 200 to 300
6	worth of cigarettes at the time at the
7	Poospatuck smoke shop.
8	I respect the God given right of Native
9	American sovereignty, but sovereignty is
10	complicated, there is a perplexing
11	uncertainty as to what it is exactly or
12	where it can be found or who possesses it
13	and who does not.
14	But certainly sovereignty does not
15	sanction non-sovereign, non-Native Americans
16	to enjoy the indigenous sovereignty granted
17	to Native American Indians.
18	It is time to enforce the legislation
19	already on the books.
20	It is time to take punitive actions
21	against those who would evade taxes and

22	shift the burden on law abiding tax paying
23	retailers.
24	It is time to level the playing field.
	323
1	Thank you for your attention to this
2	important issue, and your commitment to the
3	people and the cigarette retailers of the
4	City of New York.
5	SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you. Mr.
6	Wood.
7	MR. WOOD: I just had a question for
8	you first. Do any of you own a business?
9	SENATOR WINNER: I run a law
10	business.
11	MR. WOOD: Let me ask you this, what
12	would you do if your government came in to
13	you, beat you up, ripped down your business,
14	burned your business, burned your house and
15	took you off your property? What kind of
16	government is that?
17	Do you think it's like communism, and
18	wait, I'm not done yet, then they put your
19	business, your business so they got their
20	stores running instead of yours.
21	SENATOR JOHNSON: Mr. Wood, can we
22	get your testimony?
23	MR. WOOD: I know, I was just asking

1	I saw last night. I was saying would that
2	happen in New York State?
3	MR. ADLER: I think the thrust of
4	this is it's the panel that asks the
5	questions and it's the witnesses that
6	hopefully provide the panel with facts and
7	information.
8	If you could respect that it would
9	really help the process.
10	Thank you, sir.
11	MR. WOOD: I just wanted to do that
12	because I was going on the sovereignty
13	issue, they don't understand the word
14	sovereignty, but this is just the beginning,
15	but I was going to start with this, but I
16	saw this video last night and I saw that
17	happened and I didn't think that could ever
18	happen this the United States, but it did,
19	it happened on the Anendogua Indian
20	Reservation. The State Police and the
21	Sheriff's Department and the fire department
22	stayed off the land, said we can't go on, we
23	have no have permission to go on, and I saw
24	these videos of these businesses being

1 burned down and the owners beat up and they

2	start their own stores there.
3	It's like the Seneca Nation, they are
4	good people, they got individual stores and
5	they have business stores and they try to do
6	everything they can that's right, but I will
7	get back to my presentation, but I just
8	wanted to make that noted that it did happen
9	on March 2nd, 1998 and Channel 5 news in
10	Syracuse has it.
11	First thing I was going to talk about
12	is sovereignty. I know I am visually
13	impaired, not as bad as the Governor, so I
14	am going to skip over a loss of stuff to
15	make this quick, but basically in the U.S.
16	Constitution which we go under, not
17	treaties, but in Section 2, Section 8 and
18	Section 6 and Section 10 deal with the
19	Indians.
20	And commerce in Section 8 is only
21	supposed to be dealt with the United States
22	Government, not New York State.
23	And Section 10 says we are not even
24	supposed to do Compacts with them or even

1	write a letter.
2	So that's the first part. The second
3	part is Governor Pataki in a speech in 1997
4	where he said let me make my message to all
5	Indian Nations clear, it is your land, we
6	respect your sovereignty, and if a
7	legislature acts as I am requesting, you
8	have the right to sell tax free gasoline and
9	cigarettes from no interference from New
10	York State.
11	That's what he said in Erie County, but
12	the thing is, like everybody is talking
13	about selling to non-natives, they don't
14	think about Canada selling to New York State
15	residents and so on.
16	The next thing is in the 1950s
17	cigarettes were \$1.40 a carton and the New
18	York State tax was 3 cents a pack. Now the
19	tax is 2 to 3 times the base price of the
20	cigarettes.
21	You know, there is something wrong with
22	that picture, there is too much tax we know

in this New York State, but we can remedy

this.

The next thing you guys are talking about smuggling and all that, but Philip Morris has agreed to pay over \$1 billion for smuggling offenses in Europe and has been linked to the drug trade in Columbia, yet -- offenses in Europe has been linked to the drug trade -- their right to tobacco legislation in U.S. there are laws -- basically I can't read that.

What's happening is Philip Morris is being charged for racketeering and drug smuggling, using drug money to buy their cigarettes, I have that in the references in the back of the notes which I am giving you, but yet Philip Morris is still in business and they are talking billions of dollars, yet here in the United States we let people make their laws with the legislators, it's because of the money, it's not right.

And then the Attea case is another

landmark against Indian Nations, all the

Attea was they were saying the BIA limited

partnerships they had a federal traders

license, didn't oversee the New York State

1 license and New York City says no, it
2 didn't, that's what happened in court and

- 3 you have a copy of that in there.
- 4 Now, also New York State allows anyone
- 5 in the state to possess 2 cartons, up to 2
- 6 cartons of cigarettes untaxed, it's in this
- 7 right here, this CG 15, right here it says,
- 8 "A New York State resident can have up to 2
- 9 cartons," that's like 40 million of untaxed
- 10 cigarettes.
- 11 The other thing I've got in here is to
- stop the smuggling that you are doing in New
- 13 York City.
- If you go -- I don't know if any of you
- 15 have been to an Indian store on the
- Reservation, but most cigarettes are \$45 to
- 17 \$50 a carton for cigarettes, but yet in
- North Carolina they are only like \$35 a
- 19 carton on the shelves.
- 20 A person can take a van from New York
- 21 City, go down to North Carolina, by 17 cases
- of cigarettes, bring it back here and make
- \$20,000.
- Now they are talking about 60 percent

- of the stores are Arab owned, now and they
- figure there is terrorism, Tobacco Alcohol
- 3 and Firearms, apples ATF has done a survey

4 on that. If 1,000 people from the community do that, that's like \$20 million a week, \$1 6 billion a year in unpaid taxes on those 8 cigarettes, and it's funding the terrorists. 9 The way to do it is parity, but not 10 parity with the Indians, parity with the 11 lower tax states. 12 If you do parity with the lower taxed States, all of a sudden people from New York 13 to Pennsylvania and New Jersey and Canada 14 and wherever to buy cigarettes, those people 15 from those states would come here and buy 16 17 their cigarettes, I missed that part, that's 18 what I have to say. 19 SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you very much, Mr. Wood, we appreciate your time. 20 I'm going to call the last four 21 witnesses together, so if James Simermeyer 22 and David Jacobs, Douglas Anderson and Jed 23

Morey, I'm going to start with Mr. Morey's

330

1	testimony.
2	JAMES SIMERMEYEF
3	JED MOREY
4	DOUG ANDERSON
5	DAVID JACOBS

24

6	Called as witnesses, having been first
7	duly sworn by the Counsel to the Committee,
8	were examined and testified as follows:
9	MR. SIMERMEYER: James Simermeyer,
10	attorney for the Poospatuck Indians.
11	MR. MOREY: Jed Morey, Long Island
12	Press.
13	MR. ANDERSON: Doug Anderson,
14	Ambassador to the UN.
15	MR. JACOBS: David Jacobs, from the
16	Convenience Stores Association.
17	SENATOR JOHNSON: Mr. Morey, I'm
18	going to start with you.
19	MR. MOREY: Thank you, I will be
20	brief.
21	I offer this testimony to the committee
22	today as somebody who has done a lot of
23	reporting on this issue and gathered a lot
24	of exposure by traveling to native

1	territories and looking at a lot of cases
2	and thereby reporting.
3	New York State is in dire financial
4	straits and its politicians, in my opinion,
5	are seeking refuge through taxation to cover
6	up their own negligence.

7	They claim that the sale of cigarettes
8	to non-Natives from the Reservation is
9	unjustly enriching Native Americans and is
10	contrary to established law in New York.
11	Of course none of this was a real issue
12	until our government ran out of money.
13	So while the State is struggling to
14	maintain solvency, several Reservations are
15	gaining economic momentum, and essentially
16	you tolerate them so long as they are poor.
17	But now that the tables have turned,
18	your true colors are showing.
19	When billions of dollars from the
20	landmark tobacco settlements were disbursed
21	among the 46 states enjoined in the lawsuit,
22	New York did what it does best, it took the
23	funds in one shot, wrapped them up in fancy

bonded o	ut our	future.
----------	--------	---------

To make up for further budget shortfalls the State hiked taxes on cigarettes and artificially inflated the price of tobacco, thereby creating a disparity in pricing and calling it off the Reservation and causing a rift between retailers and the tribes, as you have heard

Wall Street financial instruments, and

9	today.
10	New York continued to maintain its
11	pattern of reckless spending and found
12	itself on the wrong side of this recession.
13	Long before the cigarette industry was
14	booming on Reservations, Indian Nations sold
15	cigarettes as a means of basic survival.
16	Now they are being persecuted for
17	succeeding.
18	Unfortunately the very unsympathetic
19	view cigarettes hold in our society casts a
20	dark cloud over the critical issue of
21	taxation and jurisdiction and places in
22	doubt the immutable right of
23	self-determination these tribes enjoy.
24	Instead of working with tribal leaders

1	the government inquiries about the
2	possibility of obtaining Federal Law
3	enforcement support against these Nations
4	and crafts unilateral policies that directly
5	affect tribal lands, but without tribal
6	consent these unilateral policies are
7	unenforceable and exist in a vacuum, no
8	different than attempting to legislate
9	activities within France or Canada.

Mr. Benjamin who testified today
actually introduced, as he said, legislation
to abolish the Poospatuck Reservation,
writing that it seems to be nothing more
than a criminal enterprise.

Mr. Benjamin would exile a people whom
Judge Masomoto in her October 8th decision

of this year, which nobody else referenced, found to have, "Met it's burden of establishing by a preponderance of the evidence that they are recognized as belonging to a sovereign nation."

What Mr. Benjamin and this panel don't understand is that the very nature of sovereignty, by definition, holds that no

legislative decision, judicial decision or
executive decision outside Reservation land
has any bearing on activity conducted on
Reservation territory.

The Supreme Court of the United States of America has repeatedly ruled that Indian Nations are Sovereign Nations recognized by but not governed by the Constitution of the United States.

But in the end this is not about taxes, bootlegging or the black market, this is an

issue of sovereignty and you are out of your
jurisdiction, you are out of your league,
and you are out of your mind if you think
that these Nations, if you think that these
Nations and its leaders would give up their
rights with respect to this.
So before you examine the operations of
the long house, I suggest you turn your
attention to cleaning up your own.
SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr.
Morey.
Mr. Simermeyer.

MR. SIMERMEYER: Yes, thank you. I

represent the Poospatuck Reservation Indians and I have been involved with these cases for perhaps five years now. The unfortunate thing is as you see the testimony today and you see statements made testimony, so much of this stuff is based upon newspaper articles or rumors or statements that people say and there are no facts, no facts presented. For instance, as mentioned by the prior speaker, Judge Masomoto recently after an exhaustive hearing, evaluated the claim of

the Poospatuck Indians as to whether they should be immune from being sued in federal court, and they had to prove their pedigree they went all the way back to the 1600s and they showed that they are the same people, same place that were there at that time as they are today. This has not been mentioned, this has been ignored by some people actually having the nerve to promote a Bill to try to remove these people from existence. Outrageous. Unbelievable that somebody would actually do this without any

knowledge or any effort to make themselves knowledgeable about these people.

And secondly, the City promotes a case and they piled on everyone they could possibly pile on against this tribe.

It's the smallest tribe in New York, and the poorest tribe in New York. What happened was you had a private case by millionaire Katsamidis from Gristede's who started the case, the City ran in behind them, then the City encouraged they encouraged Suffolk County to commence the same exact case, this whole tribe has five federal lawsuits against them prompted by

Blumberg and his crowd, five lawsuits.

Now, anyone else would crumble under

that amount of stuff, why wasn't this tribe

crumbling? They have nowhere to go.

This is the tribe that belongs there,

this is their land, it is their land, it

will remain to be their land so all these

has no standing, they come, the City has no

lawsuits will not have effect on them for

two reasons, first of all because the State

understanding, they are asking the State to
collect taxes, the State couldn't do it, so
they commence an action, they commenced
several actions and they found as many as
they possibly could to commence actions
against this tribe. Everyone sat back and
didn't say a word.

Negotiations, this tribe has not been invited to the table to negotiate with the Governor, there has been no attempt, although there has been letters from the tribe to the Governor's office requesting some sort of sit down in order to negotiate these things.

There was criminal activity on the

16	Reservation, how did this criminal get
17	removed from the actions of the tribe?
18	The tribe commenced the action. They
19	commenced the action in federal court.
20	10 years ago they wrote a letter to the
21	federal prosecutor's office and said we have
22	a problem here, help us resolve this
23	problem.
24	Not until somebody was murdered did the

federal government step forward and come
after this person. And who are the key
witnesses to this? Tribal members who were
also abused by this action.

So when you talk about criminal ring leaders and activity, you should know what you talk about before you make the statements.

This tribe has taken it upon itself to govern itself, its people and to control what's going on.

Even on its Reservation they are taking major courses, they have thrown Philip

Morris off the Reservation for selling

cigarettes, and they have attempted to make every effort they possibly can to provide for their people.

They have a taxation on cigarettes that are sold that goes directly to the benefit and welfare of their community, to senior citizens, children, education, health and welfare.

And also the shops have moved the

23 And also the shops have moved the 24 population of the Reservation from

1 unemployment to employment.

2 And the community as well has benefited 3 from the activities of this tribe.

So not only do you hear statements that are inaccurate and just rumors basically, but things based on a newspaper article have been the starting point for litigation, the starting point for presenting a bill to have this tribe removed from the State of New York.

Amazing. I would just like that the -that this committee deal with this tribe in
all fairness as it would the other tribes
and anyone else that comes before it with
these issues, and give this tribe an
opportunity to sit down and talk to you and
understand this tribe, learn about this
tribe and perhaps negotiate with this tribe

19 in good faith. 20 There has been a lot of talk about good faith and fairness. Well, this is something 21 that really calls for attention, too. 22 23 Thank you. 24 SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you very 340 1 much. 2 Mr. Jacobs. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 3 MR. JACOBS: I am David Jacobs representing the 4 5 Store Owners of the Akwesasne operating on 6 -- the Reservation is located along the U.S.-Canadian border in Franklin County New 7 York. 8 9 Our elected government and state leaders, Mohawk Tribal Council, has been in 10 existence for over 200 years and is a 11 federally recognized tribe. 12

I am here today on behalf of the

Akwesasne Convenience Store Association to

voice our opposition to New York's attempt

to collect taxes generated by the sale of

cigarettes to non-natives on Indian

18 Reservations.

19

20

Our concern regarding the sales tax issue center around two specific themes,

21	governmental jurisdiction and the economic
22	future of our Reservation community.
23	First, any attempt by the State to
24	collect taxes is a direct violation of our
	341
1	sovereignty as American Indians.
2	United States Government recognizes a
3	limited sovereignty for all Indian tribes,
4	but even with those limitations, the tribes
5	are given equal status to that of states.
6	Aside from criminal and civil law
7	enforcement, New York State does not have
8	jurisdiction over Mohawk territory.

Legally, only the federal government can supersede the jurisdiction of the Mohawk government. Morally, no government should.

New York State must respect the government to government relationship that it's obligated to maintain with the Mohawk Government, as well as the governments of other neighboring states.

What would be the reaction of government officials and business people in Vermont, New Jersey, Pennsylvania be if New York was to insist other states collect New York State taxes based on percentage of

22 sales made to New Yorkers? 23 Such an idea is preposterous and 24 unthinkable. But you consider similar 1 behavior towards Indian Nations acceptable. 2 Thus, if our tribal council and members of the Mohawk tribe do not wish to have states collecting taxes on our Reservation, and they don't, they won't, New York has no 5 authority to impose it. 7 For more than two centuries the United States and individual states have chipped 9 of American Indians. 10

342

away at the sovereign rights of territories Unscrupulous politicians conducted nefarious deals with naive and trusting

Indian leaders which resulted in a virtual

theft of millions and millions of acres of 14

land. 15

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Along with this loss of territory came a loss of power, strength for American Indian people.

On the Reservation is poverty, disease and death. Some native leaders sought to take advantage of the circumstances that this had created by forcing Indian Nations to sign treaties surrendering sovereign

1	Some tribes had few other choices but
2	to acquiesce to foreign authorities and
3	taxation, however the Six Nations of the
4	Iroquois Confederacy did not.
5	The Iroquois Nations were never
6	conquered. The treaties signed were born of
7	piece, friendship and cooperation.
8	A trust, albeit uneasy, was
9	established, but that trust has been
10	violated time and time again by the mixed
11	messages and two-faced attitudes coming out
12	of non-Native governments.
13	Historically, New York State has
14	emphasized its desire to stimulate economic
15	development on Indian Reservations by making
16	Indian economic development a top priority.
17	This approach was designed to help the
18	Mohawks and other Indian stem the tide of
19	rampant unemployment and widespread poverty.
20	Likewise, the United States Government
21	continually talks about self-determination
22	and economic self-sufficiency for Indian
23	people.
24	We as Indian people would like nothing

1	more than one day to be sufficient,
2	self-sufficient because there can never be
3	true sovereignty without economic
4	independence.
5	While New York and the federal
6	government pledge more assistance for
7	economic development on tribal lands, they
8	also call for taxation and measures which
9	will destroy our economies and leave more of
10	our people without jobs.
11	A recent unemployment figure from the
12	Mohawk Reservation according to the New York
13	Bureau of indian Affairs is three times that
14	of the national average, and that figure is
15	not unusual for Reservations throughout the
16	United States, some are even greater.
17	Labor Department officials in New York
18	can't even imagine figures that high for the
19	non-Native work force, but Mohawk people
20	live that nightmare every day.
21	It is safe to assume that many of these
22	unemployed people have no other alternative
23	but public assistance to support their
24	families.

1	If the State's welfare system is
2	already overburdened, what will happen when
3	more unemployed Mohawks who have lost their
4	jobs after business closed because of your
5	taxes seek financial support from the State?
6	What will that cost the taxpayers each
7	year?
8	How is that fair compared to the
9	alleged amounts of lost revenues from
10	cigarette taxes?
11	We receive mixed messages; become
12	independent, but not too independent.
13	Be successful, but not too successful.
14	Earn money, but not too much money.
15	But to our people the real message is
16	all too clear, we must stand firm and assert
17	our sovereignty now or we will live with the
18	consequences for many years to come.
19	We do not wish to alienate New York
20	State, but we do expect the State to respect
21	our authority and treat us in the same honor
22	given to other governments.
23	As Felix Cohen, a man considered to be
24	the father of federal Indian law has said,

- there are many circumstances which apply to

 American Indians that can also be applied to

 other groups throughout history.

 Allow me to quote Mr. Cohen, "The

 American Indian plays much the same role in

 our American society that the Jews played in
- American Indian plays much the same role in our American society that the Jews played in Germany. Like the miner's canary, the Indian marks the shift from fresh air to poison gas in our political atmosphere, and our treatment of the American Indian even more the treatment of other minorities marks the rise and fall of our democratic faith."
- 13 Gentlemen, do not lessen our faith in 14 your brand of democracy.
- Thank you.

- 16 SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you sir.
- 17 MR. ANDERSON: Before I speak, I
- 18 would like to address some issues.

it up and take it.

- 19 First of all, before I state my
 20 statements, I would like to pass this letter
 21 over to you people, if somebody could pick
- 23 Second is I know you people had a lot 24 of issues about price parity and talking

- 1 about that from the State level from the
- 2 local government, Paterson and everybody,

3	that's a violation of the antitrust laws,
4	that's federal offenses.
5	The other thing, too, is that you speak
6	about the sovereignty. Our sovereignty is
7	based on one condition and that is if
8	that you have the ability to write passports
9	that are accepted by member states to the
10	United Nations, you're truly a sovereign
11	nation, we don't. The Shoshanna people have
12	a passport, we are truly sovereign, and I
13	want to read my letter.
14	The Senate Standing Committee on
15	Investigation in Government Operations, Mr.

The Senate Standing Committee on
Investigation in Government Operations, Mr.
Greg M. Johnson, Chairman, legislative
office Albany, New York.

Reference, non-Native Americans not taxed with dealing with the Iroquois people.

Senate Committee, now I thank you. We thank the Senate Standing Committee, the Borough of Manhattan Community College, the citizens of the United States and the Unkechauga, the real people of mother earth.

1	We are the Unkechauga, one of the Six
2	Nations of the Iroquois Confederacy of
3	Turtle Island, a/k/a North America.

4	Canada and the United States are
5	representative governments. The cardinal
6	principle of a representative government is
7	non-taxation without representation.
8	Remember the Boston tea party, 1773?
9	Canada and the United States could not make
10	laws without all parties the Unkechauga, the
11	real people of mother earth and the Iroquois
12	people.
13	Senator Whipple, 1889 and former
14	Congress, the Iroquois people owned the
15	northeastern part of the United States, the
16	Iroquois people own all Crown land in Canada
17	and the United States of turtle island,
18	a/k/a North America.
19	1914 1924 I mean, Canada and United
20	States grant the citizenship to the Indians.
21	The Hopi and the Iroquois deannounce
22	citizenship in 1925.
23	1957 international law, the court ruled
24	treaty provisions may not be given as the

1	U.S. domestic law if it conflicts with the
2	Constitution.
3	Read versus Colber, 1957. All the
4	Unkechauga people that have treaties with
5	Canada and the United States have conflicts

	6	with the U.S. Constitution, Article 6,
	7	Section 2.
	8	Canada's Constitution Act of 1932
	9	Section 35 of that document which describes
1	LO	itself as the supreme law of the land, both
1	11	recognize and affirms existing aboriginal
1	12	treaties, the United Nations Charter is
1	L3	binding in the United States under article
1	L 4	6, Section 2 of the U.S. Constitution,
1	15	treaties, in which the United Nations
1	L 6	Charter is one, are considered the supreme
1	L 7	law.
1	L8	Canada and the United States lack
1	19	jurisdiction and authority to bring their
2	20	laws into the sovereign lands of the
2	21	Iroquois people.
2	22	Therefore Canada and the United States,
2	23	in particular New York State, must pay tax

with interest and penalties.

If you are located within the
northeastern part of the United States or
Crown land on our Unkechauga, the real
people of mother earth, or the indigenous
people, then you are part of the Iroquois
people.

7 Non-Native Americans not taxed, 8 citizens of the United States voted yes to pass laws in 1912, 1913 and 1914. 9 1912 the United States sold war bonds 10 to support World War I, the Iroquois people 11 12 purchased those war bonds. The Iroquois 13 people performed a government function. 14 1913 the United States collected 15 federal taxes to support the security of the nation. 16 1914 the United States Treasury said if 17 you are a citizen of the United States and 18 in dealing with the Iroquois people, there 19 20 will be no taxes, 663323, therefore the standing committee on investigation and 21 government operations of New York, you do 22 not have jurisdiction or authority to 23

351

dealing with the Iroquois people.

I await your response. Ambassador

Douglas S. Anderson, Ambassador to the U.S.

All these letters, all these papers will be sent to the UN, to the EUs, the European

Union and to the Organization of American

collect taxes from non-Native Americans

7 Historians States.

24

2

3

5

8 SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you. Thank

9	you very much. I appreciate your time. I
10	just have a couple of questions, and they
11	are for Mr. Simermeyer.
12	Are the Poospatucks recognized by the
13	Federal Bureau of Indian affairs?
14	MR. SIMERMEYER: No, they never
15	applied for that.
16	There was a hearing before Judge
17	Masomoto to have sovereign immunity applied
18	to the tribe so they can't be sued in
19	federal court.
20	So there is a federal common law
21	standard of doing that called the Montoya
22	standard which we had a hearing on and the
23	tribe, through a preponderance of evidence,

1	in that case in federal court.
2	SENATOR JOHNSON: So what's the
3	position that the tribe takes with respect
4	to the facts that were elicited in the
5	verdict that was rendered in the criminal
6	trial of Mr. Morrison before Judge Patucci
7	MR. SIMERMEYER: It's interesting,
8	that's a criminal trial for him
9	specifically

24 met that standard so they could not be sued

The reason why if you look at it and read the details of the case, you will see that the tribal members were the ones who started the prosecution to remove him from the job because of his activities.

So it's not something as if -- calling him a ringleader, that's absurd, if you look at the facts the people that were abused and injured by Morrison's activity were tribal members, I was part of a letter that went to the federal government 7 to 10 years ago asking them to do something about Morrison, and until someone was murdered, nothing was done.

24 SENATOR JOHNSON: What's the position

the tribe takes with respect to the facts
elicited and the decision that was rendered
by Judge Amon in August 2009, the case City
of New York versus Golden Feather Smoke, et
al.

MR. SIMERMEYER: Clearly she took a position that's anti -- it goes against the Cayuga decision, she said state court got it wrong, I got it right, once they review it they will get it right.

Basically she was saying that 471

12	applies, not 471(e), in spite of the fact a
13	week before her decision the Cayuga decision
14	from the Fourth Department came out and she
15	ignored it.
16	Her ruling was they got it wrong, so of
17	course we had to appeal that, it's being
18	appealed now at the Second Circuit, and that
19	case, perhaps will then be certified to the
20	Court of Appeals to determine whether or not
21	471(e) applies or not and whether Cayuga got
22	it wrong.
23	SENATOR JOHNSON: She interpreted it,
24	the Cayuga decision, she evaluated Cayuga?

1	I'm not following what you're saying.
2	MR. SIMERMEYER: Basically she said
3	that.
4	SENATOR JOHNSON: She said the Fourth
5	Department was wrong and the Court of
6	Appeals
7	MR. SIMERMEYER: 4 to 1 decision,
8	they got it wrong and she's right.
9	We are appealing it, according to the
10	Second Circuit it's their priority to send
11	it, if they want they can send it the to
12	Court of Appeals and ask the Court of

13 Appeals to certificate whether or not she 14 got it wrong or they are right. 15 SENATOR JOHNSON: I am a little confused about something, sovereign immunity 16 17 before a Judge Masomoto, why wasn't the 18 sovereign immunity issue adjudicated before 19 Judge Amon? 20 MR. SIMERMEYER: You have to see the 21 history. It was cases piled up against this tribe, first Katsamidis is the owner of 22 Gristede's supermarket, wanted to be a 23 politician, commenced a case against them 24

355

and Judge Amon ruled whether or not the 1 2 tribe could be sued will determine whether 3 or not you are entitled to sovereign immunity. So what she did there was she said they 5 had to have a hearing based on that, after 6 about a year of discovery, the case was sent 8 to the Judge Masomoto who at that time was a magistrate to have a hearing. 10 So the hearing was conducted, a 11 decision was determined that the tribe, in fact, is entitled to sovereign immunity 12 based in federal comity law. 13

SENATOR JOHNSON: And just one final

15 -- two final questions, one, do the 16 Poospatuck purchase cigarettes from stores 17 in the Seneca Nation? MR. SIMERMEYER: That I'm not sure 18 19 of. 20 I know the purchases -- the information 21 that the City obtained and the reason why 22 they had so much information was because 23 they purchased all through licensed wholesalers, New York State licensed 24

356

1 wholesalers. The information was readily available. I know there are no internet sales on the 3 Reservation. SENATOR JOHNSON: A final question to 6 clarify, you represented one of the parties, one of the sued Defendants in that, in this 7 case, in the Golden Feather Smoke case, you 8 9 didn't represent the Nation or the tribe? MR. SIMERMEYER: The Nation wasn't 10 sued, it was individual shops. But I 11 12 represented all of the Indians who were sued 13 in the action. 14 SENATOR JOHNSON: Okay, great.

15

MR. SIMERMEYER: All the ones who

were blood right members and had a license and authority to operate pursuant to the tribal rules and regulations. SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you very much, gentlemen. Thank you very much for your testimony today. I appreciate your testimony and your patience and please get home safe.

24 Thank you. As of right now this

concludes today's hearing with respect to taxation of cigarette sales, Native American cigarette sales.

I know there were some individuals who left. What I'm going to do for right now is determine if any of them do want to have an opportunity to come before the Committee, I have been informed that the Senate will be in session at least on the 10th for an extraordinary session, I may, I may, and I stress may, reconvene if there is interest.

If not we certainly have a lot of written testimony from witnesses who had -- we will simply reach out to them to see if the written testimony is enough.

With that I appreciate everybody's time and patience and attendance today.

18	I specifically want to thank the
19	Borough of Manhattan Community College for
20	hosting us.
21	I want to thank my staff for assistance
22	in this process, I thank you all and good
23	day and I appreciate your time.
24	